

FY 2012 Annual Business Strategies

Flood Control, Library, Stadium and Other Special Districts
Adopted Budget



Maricopa County

Table of Contents

Maricopa County Districts

Flood Control District

Motion	1
Transmittal Letter	3
Budget Summary	
Mission, Vision	5
Consolidated Sources, Uses and Fund Balance by Fund Type	6
Appropriated Expenditures and Other Uses by Department, Fund and Function Class	7
Sources and Uses of Funds	8
Sources and Uses by Program and Activity	9
Sources and Uses by Category	10
Sources and Uses by Fund and Function	11
Fund Transfers In	12
Fund Transfers Out	12
Staffing by Program and Activity	13
Staffing by Market Range Title	13
Staffing by Fund	13
Revenue Sources and Variance Commentary	
Property Taxes	14
Levy Limit	15
Licenses and Permits	16
Intergovernmental Revenues	17
Payments in Lieu of Taxes	17
Other Intergovernmental Revenue	17
Miscellaneous Revenue	17
Other Financing Sources	17
Fund Transfers In	18
Fund Balance Summary and Variance Commentary	18
Estimating Fund Balances	18
Fund Balance Summary	19
Appropriated Budget Reconciliations	20
Capital Improvement Program	
Summary	23
Project Detail	24
Managing for Results (MFR) for All Projects	24

Library District

Motion	53
Transmittal Letter	55
Mission, Vision	57
Strategic Goals	57
Budget Summary	
Consolidated Sources, Uses and Fund Balance by Fund Type	58


Appropriated Expenditures by Department Fund and Function Class	59
Sources and Uses of Funds	60
Sources and Uses by Program and Activity	61
Sources and Uses by Category	62
Sources and Uses by Fund and Function	63
Fund Transfers In	64
Fund Transfers Out	65
Staffing by Program and Activity	66
Staffing by Market Range Title	66
Staffing by Fund	67
Revenue Sources and Variance Commentary	
Property Taxes	68
Levy Limit	70
Intergovernmental Revenues	71
Payments in Lieu of Taxes	71
Intergovernmental Charges for Service	72
Fines and Forfeits	72
Miscellaneous Revenue	72
Fund Balance Summary and Variance Commentary	72
Appropriated Budget Reconciliations	75

Stadium District

Motion	79
Transmittal Letter	81
Mission, Vision	83
Strategic Goals	83
Budget Summary	
Consolidated Sources, Uses and Fund Balance by Fund Type	84
Appropriated Expenditures and Other Uses by Department, Fund and Function Class	85
Source and Uses of Funds	86
Sources and Uses by Program and Activity	87
Sources and Uses by Category	88
Sources and Uses by Fund and Function	89
Fund Transfers In	90
Fund Transfers Out	91
Staffing by Program and Activity	92
Staffing by Market Range Title	92
Staffing by Fund	92
General Adjustments	92
Revenue Sources and Variance Commentary	
Special Sales Tax	95
Licenses and Permits	95
Other Charges for Service	95
Miscellaneous Revenue	95
Other Financing Sources	95
Fund Transfers	95
Fund Balance Summary and Variance Commentary	96
Appropriated Budget Reconciliations	98
Debt Service	100

Improvement Districts

Motion	105
Direct Assessment Special Districts	107
Street Lighting Improvement District Levies	108



Flood Control District

Motion

Approve the Flood Control District FY 2012 Budget in the amount of \$97,426,423 by total appropriation for each fund and function for the Flood Control District.

Also, pursuant to A.R.S. §48-3620 certify to the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors this Budget as adopted, and pursuant to A.R.S. §48-252, submit a copy of the Flood Control District's FY 2012 Final Budget to the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors and the Maricopa County Treasurer.




Flood Control District Transmittal Letter

To: Andrew Kunasek, Chairman, District 3
Fulton Brock, District 1
Don Stapley, District 2
Max W. Wilson, District 4
Mary Rose Wilcox, District 5

The Recommended FY 2012 expenditure budget for the Flood Control District is \$97,426,423. Budgeted capital project expenditures are budgeted at \$60,000,000 in Fiscal Year 2012, a \$548,481 decrease from Fiscal Year 2011. The budget continues to provide for a focused effort in conducting floodplain delineations so properties are designated as being located in or out of floodplains and floodways before building on the land is started.

Property values continue to decline in Maricopa County. Commercial property declined more steeply than residential property between FY 2011 and FY 2012. The FY 2012 budget has been developed with the tax levy for the median home owner to remain flat. This has decreased the Flood Control District Levy to \$62,401,172 in FY 2012. This is a levy decrease of \$5,618,420. While the levy is reduced in FY 2012 the Flood Control District tax rate will increase from \$0.1489 to \$0.1780. The District was directed by the Board of Directors to implement a cap of 2% on its tax levy growth, excluding new construction, in order to protect taxpayers from tax increases due to increases in assessed property values. Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA) revenue totals \$11,637,000 for cost-sharing with other governmental entities on capital projects. In FY 2012, the Flood Control District has budgeted operating revenue of \$63.9 million, which is \$6.7 million (9.5%) less than in Fiscal Year 2011.



The Flood Control District Capital Improvement Program budget reflects strong activity in the construction phase of major infrastructure projects, which are geographically distributed to benefit all five County Supervisory Districts. Additionally, the budget provides for continued funding of \$1.55 million for the Floodprone Property Acquisition Program. In all, the Flood Control District has 70 scheduled projects totaling \$420,395,091 in their five-year Capital Improvement Program.

I wish to offer my appreciation to the Board of Directors for their support and guidance during the budget development process. I believe this budget is sustainable, responsible, and aligns with the District's mission.

Sincerely,

David R. Smith, County Manager



Flood Control District

Analysis by Angie Flick, Management and Budget Supervisor

Mission

The mission of the Flood Control District of Maricopa County is to provide flood hazard identification, regulation, remediation, and education to the people in Maricopa County so that they can reduce their risks of injury, death, and property damage due to flooding while enjoying the natural and beneficial values served by floodplains.

Vision

The vision of the Flood Control District of Maricopa County is that the people of Maricopa County and future generations will have the maximum amount of protection from the effects of flooding through fiscally responsible flood control actions and multiple-use facilities that complement or enhance the beauty of our desert environment.



Summary


Consolidated Sources, Uses and Fund Balance by Fund Type

	SPECIAL REVENUE	DEBT SERVICE	CAPITAL PROJECTS	SUBTOTAL	ELIMINATIONS	TOTAL
BEGINNING FUND BALANCE	\$ 50,758,016	\$ -	\$ 34,447,306	\$ 85,205,322	\$ -	\$ 85,205,322
SOURCES OF FUNDS						
OPERATING						
PROPERTY TAXES	61,777,160	-	-	61,777,160	-	61,777,160
LICENSES AND PERMITS	315,400	-	-	315,400	-	315,400
PAYMENTS IN LIEU OF TAXES	186,613	-	-	186,613	-	186,613
INTEREST EARNINGS	1,000,000	-	-	1,000,000	-	1,000,000
MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE	607,956	-	-	607,956	-	607,956
TOTAL OPERATING SOURCES	\$ 63,887,129	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 63,887,129	\$ -	\$ 63,887,129
NON-RECURRING						
GRANTS	566,100	-	-	566,100	-	566,100
OTHER INTERGOVERNMENTAL	-	-	11,637,000	11,637,000	-	11,637,000
MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE	34,800	-	-	34,800	-	34,800
TRANSFERS IN	-	-	45,000,000	45,000,000	(45,000,000)	-
TOTAL NON-RECURRING SOURCES	600,900	-	56,637,000	57,237,900	(45,000,000)	12,237,900
TOTAL SOURCES	\$ 64,488,029	\$ -	\$ 56,637,000	\$ 121,125,029	\$ (45,000,000)	\$ 76,125,029
USES OF FUNDS						
OPERATING						
PERSONAL SERVICES	\$ 15,735,287	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 15,735,287	\$ -	\$ 15,735,287
SUPPLIES	1,896,807	-	-	1,896,807	-	1,896,807
SERVICES	16,981,548	-	-	16,981,548	-	16,981,548
CAPITAL	2,246,681	-	-	2,246,681	-	2,246,681
TOTAL OPERATING USES	\$ 36,860,323	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 36,860,323	\$ -	\$ 36,860,323
NON-RECURRING						
PERSONAL SERVICES	-	-	2,800,000	2,800,000	-	2,800,000
SERVICES	566,100	-	-	566,100	-	566,100
CAPITAL	-	-	57,200,000	57,200,000	-	57,200,000
OTHER FINANCING USES	45,000,000	-	-	45,000,000	(45,000,000)	-
TOTAL NON-RECURRING USES	45,566,100	-	60,000,000	105,566,100	(45,000,000)	60,566,100
TOTAL USES	\$ 82,426,423	\$ -	\$ 60,000,000	\$ 142,426,423	\$ (45,000,000)	\$ 97,426,423
STRUCTURAL BALANCE	\$ 27,026,806	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 27,026,806	\$ -	\$ 27,026,806
ENDING FUND BALANCE:						
RESTRICTED	\$ 32,838,334	\$ -	\$ 31,084,306	\$ 63,922,640	\$ -	\$ 63,922,640
UNASSIGNED	(18,712)	-	-	(18,712)	-	(18,712)

Appropriated Expenditures and Other Uses by Department, Fund and Function Class

		FY 2011 ADOPTED	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2012 ADOPTED	(INC.)/DEC FROM REV.
690	FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT				
991	FLOOD CONTROL				
	OPERATING	\$ 36,603,605	\$ 36,603,605	\$ 36,860,323	\$ (256,718)
	NON RECURRING NON PROJECT	40,000,000	40,000,000	45,000,000	(5,000,000)
	All Functions	\$ 76,603,605	\$ 76,603,605	\$ 81,860,323	\$ (5,256,718)
989	FLOOD CONTROL GRANTS				
	NON RECURRING NON PROJECT	\$ 800,000	\$ 800,000	\$ 566,100	\$ 233,900
990	FLOOD CONTROL CAPITAL PROJECTS				
	APS ES ESCO IMPROVEMENTS	\$ 548,481	\$ 548,481	\$ -	\$ 548,481
	CITY OF CHANDLER	5,000	5,000	-	5,000
	PHOENIX DAM SAFETY PROGRAM	-	-	-	-
	CITY OF SCOTTSDALE	3,550,000	305,000	-	305,000
	TOWN OF GUADALUPE	-	-	-	-
	TOWN OF QUEEN CREEK	55,000	974,000	-	974,000
	SOSSAMAN CHANNEL	10,000	1,000	-	1,000
	AGUA FRIA RIVER	5,000	25,000	-	25,000
	S PHOENIX DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENT	380,000	289,000	-	289,000
	ARIZ CANAL DIVERSION CHANNEL	333,000	1,120,000	-	1,120,000
	PARADISE VLY, SCOTTSDALE, PHX	-	-	-	-
	EAST MARICOPA FLOODWAY	45,000	535,000	-	535,000
	SALT GILA RIVER	10,000	306,000	-	306,000
	SALT RIVER UPSTREAM INDIAN BEND	-	-	-	-
	WHITE TANKS DAM #4	3,367,000	2,232,000	-	2,232,000
	MCMICKEN DAM	10,000	70,000	-	70,000
	BUCKEYE NO 1	520,000	812,000	-	812,000
	BUCKEYE SUN VALLEY ADMP	25,000	634,000	-	634,000
	GRANITE REEF WASH	1,060,000	40,000	-	40,000
	SPOOK HILL FRS	40,000	169,000	-	169,000
	POWERLINE FRS	3,198,000	2,420,000	-	2,420,000
	Harquahala FRS	-	40,000	-	40,000
	SADDLEBACK FLOOD REMED STRUCT	330,000	271,000	-	271,000
	WICKENBURG ADMS	15,000	45,000	-	45,000
	WITTMAN ADMP	-	80,000	-	80,000
	AGUILA ADMP	-	-	-	-
	HASSAYAMPA RIVER	-	-	-	-
	CAVE BUTTES DAM	263,000	631,000	-	631,000
	SKUNK CREEK	-	-	-	-
	New River Dam	-	70,000	-	70,000
	UPPER NEW RIVER	-	-	-	-
	SKUNK CREEK NEW RIVER	-	-	-	-
	SPOOK HILL ADMP	210,000	321,000	-	321,000
	EAST MESA ADMP	100,000	118,000	-	118,000
	GLENDALE PEORIA ADMP	979,000	4,134,000	-	4,134,000
	WHITE TANKS ADMP	15,909,000	16,253,000	-	16,253,000
	QUEEN CREEK ADMP	8,358,000	11,318,000	-	11,318,000
	GILBERT CHANDLER ADMP	-	-	-	-
	HIGLEY ADMP	-	-	-	-
	ADOBE DAM ADMP	-	-	-	-
	DURANGO ADMP	905,000	2,021,000	-	2,021,000
	ACDC ADMP	-	-	-	-
	SCATTER WASH CHANNEL	-	-	-	-
	MARYVALE ADMP	7,400,000	8,345,000	-	8,345,000
	METRO ADMP	5,745,000	4,034,000	-	4,034,000
	MAINT ROAD PAVING PROJECT	1,000,000	628,000	-	628,000
	SMALL PROJECT ASSISTANCE	2,000,000	1,505,000	2,000,000	(495,000)
	FLOODPRONE PROP ACQUISITION	1,550,000	249,000	50,000	199,000
	FLOOD CONTROL CIP	-	-	57,950,000	(57,950,000)
	PROJECT RESERVES FLOOD	2,623,000	-	-	-
	All Functions	\$ 60,548,481	\$ 60,548,481	\$ 60,000,000	\$ 548,481
900	ELIMINATIONS				
	NON RECURRING NON PROJECT	\$ (40,000,000)	\$ (40,000,000)	\$ (45,000,000)	\$ 5,000,000
	TOTAL FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT	\$ 97,952,086	\$ 97,952,086	\$ 97,426,423	\$ 525,663

Sources and Uses of Funds



Maricopa County Annual Business Strategies
FY 2012 Adopted Budget

Flood Control District

Sources and Uses by Program and Activity

PROGRAM / ACTIVITY	FY 2010 ACTUAL	FY 2011 ADOPTED	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2011 FORECAST	FY 2012 ADOPTED	REVISED VS ADOPTED VAR	%
SOURCES							
FCMP - FLOODPLAIN REGULATION COMPLNCE	\$ 12,942	\$ 15,000	\$ 15,000	\$ 5,000	\$ 5,000	(10,000)	-66.7%
FREV - FLOODPLAIN PERMITTING	201,565	210,000	210,000	179,362	275,900	65,900	31.4%
69FH - FLOOD HAZARD REGULATION	\$ 214,507	\$ 225,000	\$ 225,000	\$ 184,362	\$ 280,900	\$ 55,900	24.8%
FCSR - FLOOD CUSTOMER SERVICE	\$ -	\$ 35,900	\$ 35,900	\$ 11,945	\$ -	(35,900)	-100.0%
69HE - FLOOD HAZARD OUTREACH	\$ -	\$ 35,900	\$ 35,900	\$ 11,945	\$ -	(35,900)	-100.0%
FLDP - FLOODPLAIN DELINEATION	\$ 525,616	\$ 800,000	\$ 800,000	\$ 210,966	\$ 566,100	(233,900)	-29.2%
69HI - FLOOD HAZARD IDENTIFICATION	\$ 525,616	\$ 800,000	\$ 800,000	\$ 210,966	\$ 566,100	(233,900)	-29.2%
DAMS - DAM SAFETY	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 100,000	\$ 4,080,000	\$ 4,080,000	N/A
HAZD - FLOOD CONTROL CAPITAL PROJECTS	52,316,832	4,908,000	4,908,000	9,465,969	7,557,000	2,649,000	54.0%
MAIN - FLOOD CTRL STRUCTURE MAINT	10,071	-	-	53,897	-	-	N/A
69HR - FLOOD HAZARD REMEDIATION	\$ 52,326,903	\$ 4,908,000	\$ 4,908,000	\$ 9,619,866	\$ 11,637,000	\$ 6,729,000	137.1%
ODIR - EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT	\$ 750,029	\$ 211,000	\$ 211,000	\$ 257,531	\$ 4,000	(207,000)	-98.1%
RWAY - REAL ESTATE SERVICES	3,599,943	1,892,308	1,892,308	1,151,513	230,964	(1,661,344)	-87.8%
99AS - ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES	\$ 4,349,972	\$ 2,103,308	\$ 2,103,308	\$ 1,409,044	\$ 234,964	(1,868,344)	-88.8%
GGOV - GENERAL GOVERNMENT	\$ 53,908,943	\$ 68,308,897	\$ 68,308,897	\$ 68,430,693	\$ 62,963,773	(5,345,124)	-7.8%
99GV - GENERAL GOVERNMENT	\$ 53,908,943	\$ 68,308,897	\$ 68,308,897	\$ 68,430,693	\$ 62,963,773	(5,345,124)	-7.8%
GISA - GIS APPLICATION DEV AND SUPP	\$ 685,282	\$ 790,400	\$ 790,400	\$ 819,939	\$ 442,292	(348,108)	-44.0%
99IT - INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	\$ 685,282	\$ 790,400	\$ 790,400	\$ 819,939	\$ 442,292	(348,108)	-44.0%
TOTAL PROGRAMS	\$ 112,011,223	\$ 77,171,505	\$ 77,171,505	\$ 80,686,815	\$ 76,125,029	(1,046,476)	-1.4%
USES							
FCMP - FLOODPLAIN REGULATION COMPLNCE	\$ 561,511	\$ 715,802	\$ 715,802	\$ 672,099	\$ 704,037	\$ 11,765	1.6%
FREV - FLOODPLAIN PERMITTING	1,175,111	1,851,565	1,851,565	1,669,632	1,844,373	7,192	0.4%
69FH - FLOOD HAZARD REGULATION	\$ 1,736,622	\$ 2,567,367	\$ 2,567,367	\$ 2,341,731	\$ 2,548,410	\$ 18,957	0.7%
EDAY - FLOOD CNTRL PUBLIC INFORMATION	\$ 198,485	\$ 251,452	\$ 251,452	\$ 222,205	\$ 248,750	\$ 2,702	1.1%
FCSR - FLOOD CUSTOMER SERVICE	406,798	388,063	388,062	352,413	375,635	12,427	3.2%
FWRN - FLOOD WARNING	1,122,375	1,190,275	1,190,275	1,177,309	1,226,855	(36,580)	-3.1%
MASM - FLOOD SAFETY EDUCATION	660,986	774,503	774,503	658,056	787,682	(13,179)	-1.7%
69HE - FLOOD HAZARD OUTREACH	\$ 2,388,644	\$ 2,604,293	\$ 2,604,292	\$ 2,409,983	\$ 2,638,922	\$ (34,630)	-1.3%
FLDP - FLOODPLAIN DELINEATION	\$ 2,799,288	\$ 3,017,844	\$ 3,017,844	\$ 2,312,949	\$ 2,782,981	\$ 234,863	7.8%
PLNG - FLOOD HAZARD PLANNING	5,060,949	6,961,221	6,961,221	5,510,234	7,290,521	(329,300)	-4.7%
69HI - FLOOD HAZARD IDENTIFICATION	\$ 7,860,237	\$ 9,979,065	\$ 9,979,065	\$ 7,823,183	\$ 10,073,502	\$ (94,437)	-0.9%
DAMS - DAM SAFETY	\$ 6,353,206	\$ 15,051,492	\$ 14,462,493	\$ 15,328,792	\$ 13,027,615	\$ 1,434,878	9.9%
HAZD - FLOOD CONTROL CAPITAL PROJECTS	78,593,968	49,815,210	50,404,210	40,101,973	51,271,943	(867,733)	-1.7%
MAIN - FLOOD CTRL STRUCTURE MAINT	5,595,668	6,540,501	6,540,500	6,448,347	7,172,906	(632,406)	-9.7%
69HR - FLOOD HAZARD REMEDIATION	\$ 90,542,842	\$ 71,407,203	\$ 71,407,203	\$ 61,879,112	\$ 71,472,464	\$ (65,261)	-0.1%
BDGT - BUDGETING	\$ 87,021	\$ 135,335	\$ 135,333	\$ 105,898	\$ 142,056	\$ (6,723)	-5.0%
FACI - FACILITIES MGMT PROF SUPP SVCS	901,290	1,643,347	1,643,347	1,628,834	1,168,219	475,128	28.9%
FSAC - FINANCIAL SERVICES	351,602	347,612	347,613	330,936	379,715	(32,102)	-9.2%
HRAC - HUMAN RESOURCES	176,784	236,868	236,868	238,532	237,652	(784)	-0.3%
ODIR - EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT	1,113,598	1,675,370	1,675,372	1,054,888	2,837,453	(1,162,081)	-69.4%
PROC - PROCUREMENT	335,861	350,453	350,453	356,841	313,374	37,079	10.6%
RECO - RECORDS MANAGEMENT	54,028	68,500	68,500	67,360	39,048	29,452	43.0%
RWAY - REAL ESTATE SERVICES	904,869	984,930	984,930	848,321	971,341	13,589	1.4%
99AS - ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES	\$ 3,925,053	\$ 5,442,415	\$ 5,442,416	\$ 4,631,610	\$ 6,088,858	\$ (646,442)	-11.9%
CSCA - CENTRAL SERVICE COST ALLOC	\$ 1,306,871	\$ 1,419,286	\$ 1,419,286	\$ 1,419,288	\$ 1,274,433	\$ 144,853	10.2%
GGOV - GENERAL GOVERNMENT	(20,000,000)	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
ISFC - INTERNAL SERVICE FUND CHARGES	1,400,615	1,409,137	1,409,137	1,380,853	200,501	1,208,636	85.8%
99GV - GENERAL GOVERNMENT	\$ (17,292,514)	\$ 2,828,423	\$ 2,828,423	\$ 2,800,141	\$ 1,474,934	\$ 1,353,489	47.9%
BUAS - BUSINESS APPLICATION DEV SUPP	\$ 420,522	\$ 508,972	\$ 508,972	\$ 508,956	\$ 656,269	\$ (147,297)	-28.9%
DACR - DATA CENTER	209,854	373,403	373,403	398,374	388,370	(14,967)	-4.0%
DESK - DESKTOP SUPPORT	524,926	457,058	457,058	474,303	308,872	148,186	32.4%
GISA - GIS APPLICATION DEV AND SUPP	1,591,674	1,748,081	1,748,081	1,649,490	1,727,138	20,943	1.2%
HDSP - HELP DESK SUPPORT	30,832	35,806	35,806	36,302	48,684	(12,878)	-36.0%
99IT - INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	\$ 2,777,808	\$ 3,123,320	\$ 3,123,320	\$ 3,067,425	\$ 3,129,333	\$ (6,013)	-0.2%
TOTAL PROGRAMS	\$ 91,938,692	\$ 97,952,086	\$ 97,952,086	\$ 84,953,185	\$ 97,426,423	\$ 525,663	0.5%

Sources and Uses by Category

CATEGORY	FY 2010 ACTUAL	FY 2011 ADOPTED	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2011 FORECAST	FY 2012 ADOPTED	REVISED VS ADOPTED VAR	%
TAXES							
0601 - PROPERTY TAXES	\$ 72,659,844	\$ 67,353,206	\$ 67,353,206	\$ 67,353,206	\$ 61,777,160	\$ (5,576,046)	-8.3%
SUBTOTAL	\$ 72,659,844	\$ 67,353,206	\$ 67,353,206	\$ 67,353,206	\$ 61,777,160	\$ (5,576,046)	-8.3%
LICENSES AND PERMITS							
0610 - LICENSES AND PERMITS	\$ 680,722	\$ 633,600	\$ 633,600	\$ 529,489	\$ 315,400	\$ (318,200)	-50.2%
SUBTOTAL	\$ 680,722	\$ 633,600	\$ 633,600	\$ 529,489	\$ 315,400	\$ (318,200)	-50.2%
INTERGOVERNMENTAL							
0615 - GRANTS	\$ 525,506	\$ 800,000	\$ 800,000	\$ 210,966	\$ 566,100	\$ (233,900)	-29.2%
0620 - OTHER INTERGOVERNMENTAL	11,689,449	4,908,000	4,908,000	9,565,969	11,637,000	6,729,000	137.1%
0621 - PAYMENTS IN LIEU OF TAXES	143,654	155,691	155,691	157,168	186,613	30,922	19.9%
SUBTOTAL	\$ 12,358,609	\$ 5,863,691	\$ 5,863,691	\$ 9,934,103	\$ 12,389,713	\$ 6,526,022	111.3%
MISCELLANEOUS							
0645 - INTEREST EARNINGS	\$ 1,105,456	\$ 800,000	\$ 800,000	\$ 920,319	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 200,000	25.0%
0650 - MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE	4,579,209	2,521,008	2,521,008	1,949,698	642,756	(1,878,252)	-74.5%
SUBTOTAL	\$ 5,684,665	\$ 3,321,008	\$ 3,321,008	\$ 2,870,017	\$ 1,642,756	\$ (1,678,252)	-50.5%
ALL REVENUES	\$ 91,383,840	\$ 77,171,505	\$ 77,171,505	\$ 80,686,815	\$ 76,125,029	\$ (1,046,476)	-1.4%
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES							
0680 - TRANSFERS IN	\$ 20,627,383	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	N/A
ALL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES	\$ 20,627,383	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	N/A
TOTAL SOURCES	\$ 112,011,223	\$ 77,171,505	\$ 77,171,505	\$ 80,686,815	\$ 76,125,029	\$ (1,046,476)	-1.4%
CATEGORY	FY 2010 ACTUAL	FY 2011 ADOPTED	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2011 FORECAST	FY 2012 ADOPTED	REVISED VS ADOPTED VAR	%
PERSONAL SERVICES							
0701 - REGULAR PAY	\$ 2,444,105	\$ 754,133	\$ 754,133	\$ 1,836,941	\$ 723,506	\$ 30,627	4.1%
0705 - TEMPORARY PAY	65	-	-	234	-	-	N/A
0710 - OVERTIME	160	-	-	96	-	-	N/A
0750 - FRINGE BENEFITS	661,003	221,888	221,888	511,531	203,016	18,872	8.5%
0790 - OTHER PERSONNEL SERVICES	(12)	-	-	924	-	-	N/A
0796 - PERSONNEL SERVICES ALLOC-IN	14,222,571	17,147,030	17,045,030	14,979,949	17,608,765	(563,735)	-3.3%
SUBTOTAL	\$ 17,327,892	\$ 18,123,051	\$ 18,021,051	\$ 17,329,675	\$ 18,535,287	\$ (514,236)	-2.9%
SUPPLIES							
0806 - SUPPLIES-ALLOCATION IN	\$ 1,153,674	\$ 1,906,952	\$ 1,906,952	\$ 1,752,071	\$ 1,896,807	\$ 10,145	0.5%
SUBTOTAL	\$ 1,153,674	\$ 1,906,952	\$ 1,906,952	\$ 1,752,071	\$ 1,896,807	\$ 10,145	0.5%
SERVICES							
0812 - OTHER SERVICES	\$ 547,627	\$ 800,000	\$ 800,000	\$ 229,677	\$ 566,100	\$ 233,900	29.2%
0825 - REPAIRS AND MAINTENANCE	-	-	-	180,136	-	-	N/A
0830 - INTERGOVERNMENTAL PAYMENTS	194,448	117,745	117,745	117,745	-	117,745	100.0%
0873 - SERVICES-ALLOCATION IN	12,823,140	17,179,836	17,179,836	13,667,351	16,981,548	198,288	1.2%
SUBTOTAL	\$ 13,565,215	\$ 18,097,581	\$ 18,097,581	\$ 14,194,909	\$ 17,547,648	\$ 549,933	3.0%
CAPITAL							
0910 - LAND	\$ 8,473,263	\$ 4,518,000	\$ 8,679,000	\$ 5,608,564	\$ 7,922,000	\$ 757,000	8.7%
0915 - BUILDINGS AND IMPROVEMENTS	-	548,481	548,481	11,786	-	548,481	100.0%
0920 - CAPITAL EQUIPMENT	99,978	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
0930 - VEHICLES & CONSTRUCTION EQUIP	236,204	-	-	504,482	-	-	N/A
0940 - INFRASTRUCTURE	30,129,644	52,882,000	48,823,000	44,142,593	49,278,000	(455,000)	-0.9%
0956 - CAPITAL-ALLOCATION IN	325,439	1,876,021	1,876,021	1,409,105	2,246,681	(370,660)	-19.8%
SUBTOTAL	\$ 39,264,528	\$ 59,824,502	\$ 59,926,502	\$ 51,676,530	\$ 59,446,681	\$ 479,821	0.8%
ALL EXPENDITURES	\$ 71,311,309	\$ 97,952,086	\$ 97,952,086	\$ 84,953,185	\$ 97,426,423	\$ 525,663	0.5%
OTHER FINANCING USES							
0880 - TRANSFERS OUT	\$ 20,627,383	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	N/A
ALL OTHER FINANCING USES	\$ 20,627,383	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	N/A
TOTAL USES	\$ 91,938,692	\$ 97,952,086	\$ 97,952,086	\$ 84,953,185	\$ 97,426,423	\$ 525,663	0.5%

Sources and Uses by Fund and Function

FUND / FUNCTION CLASS	FY 2010 ACTUAL	FY 2011 ADOPTED	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2011 FORECAST	FY 2012 ADOPTED	REVISED VS ADOPTED	
						VAR	%
989 FLOOD CONTROL GRANTS NON-RECURRING	\$ 525,506	\$ 800,000	\$ 800,000	\$ 210,966	\$ 566,100	\$ (233,900)	-29.2%
FUND TOTAL SOURCES	\$ 525,506	\$ 800,000	\$ 800,000	\$ 210,966	\$ 566,100	\$ (233,900)	-29.2%
991 FLOOD CONTROL OPERATING	\$ 79,167,685	\$ 70,581,286	\$ 70,581,286	\$ 70,438,556	\$ 63,887,129	\$ (6,694,157)	-9.5%
NON-RECURRING	1,200	882,219	882,219	471,324	34,800	(847,419)	-96.1%
FUND TOTAL SOURCES	\$ 79,168,885	\$ 71,463,505	\$ 71,463,505	\$ 70,909,880	\$ 63,921,929	\$ (7,541,576)	-10.6%
990 FLOOD CONTROL CAPITAL PROJECTS NON-RECURRING	\$ 52,316,832	\$ 44,908,000	\$ 44,908,000	\$ 49,565,969	\$ 56,637,000	\$ 11,729,000	26.1%
FUND TOTAL SOURCES	\$ 52,316,832	\$ 44,908,000	\$ 44,908,000	\$ 49,565,969	\$ 56,637,000	\$ 11,729,000	26.1%
900 ELIMINATIONS NON-RECURRING	\$ (20,000,000)	\$ (40,000,000)	\$ (40,000,000)	\$ (40,000,000)	\$ (45,000,000)	\$ (5,000,000)	12.5%
FUND TOTAL SOURCES	\$ (20,000,000)	\$ (40,000,000)	\$ (40,000,000)	\$ (40,000,000)	\$ (45,000,000)	\$ (5,000,000)	12.5%
DEPARTMENT OPERATING TOTAL SOURCES	\$ 79,167,685	\$ 70,581,286	\$ 70,581,286	\$ 70,438,556	\$ 63,887,129	\$ (6,694,157)	-9.5%
DEPARTMENT NON-RECURRING TOTAL SOURCES	\$ 32,843,538	\$ 6,590,219	\$ 6,590,219	\$ 10,248,259	\$ 12,237,900	\$ 5,647,681	85.7%
DEPARTMENT TOTAL SOURCES	\$ 112,011,223	\$ 77,171,505	\$ 77,171,505	\$ 80,686,815	\$ 76,125,029	\$ (1,046,476)	-1.4%
FUND / FUNCTION CLASS	FY 2010 ACTUAL	FY 2011 ADOPTED	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2011 FORECAST	FY 2012 ADOPTED	REVISED VS ADOPTED	
						VAR	%
989 FLOOD CONTROL GRANTS NON-RECURRING	\$ 547,627	\$ 800,000	\$ 800,000	\$ 229,678	\$ 566,100	\$ 233,900	29.2%
FUND TOTAL USES	\$ 547,627	\$ 800,000	\$ 800,000	\$ 229,678	\$ 566,100	\$ 233,900	29.2%
991 FLOOD CONTROL OPERATING	\$ 29,684,620	\$ 36,603,605	\$ 36,603,605	\$ 32,083,313	\$ 36,860,323	\$ (256,718)	-0.7%
NON-RECURRING	40,627,383	40,000,000	40,000,000	40,000,000	45,000,000	(5,000,000)	-12.5%
FUND TOTAL USES	\$ 70,312,003	\$ 76,603,605	\$ 76,603,605	\$ 72,083,313	\$ 81,860,323	\$ (5,256,718)	-6.9%
990 FLOOD CONTROL CAPITAL PROJECTS NON-RECURRING	\$ 41,079,062	\$ 60,548,481	\$ 60,548,481	\$ 52,640,194	\$ 60,000,000	\$ 548,481	0.9%
FUND TOTAL USES	\$ 41,079,062	\$ 60,548,481	\$ 60,548,481	\$ 52,640,194	\$ 60,000,000	\$ 548,481	0.9%
900 ELIMINATIONS NON-RECURRING	\$ (20,000,000)	\$ (40,000,000)	\$ (40,000,000)	\$ (40,000,000)	\$ (45,000,000)	\$ 5,000,000	-12.5%
FUND TOTAL USES	\$ (20,000,000)	\$ (40,000,000)	\$ (40,000,000)	\$ (40,000,000)	\$ (45,000,000)	\$ 5,000,000	-12.5%
DEPARTMENT OPERATING TOTAL USES	\$ 29,684,620	\$ 36,603,605	\$ 36,603,605	\$ 32,083,313	\$ 36,860,323	\$ (256,718)	-0.7%
DEPARTMENT NON-RECURRING TOTAL USES	\$ 62,254,072	\$ 61,348,481	\$ 61,348,481	\$ 52,869,872	\$ 60,566,100	\$ 782,381	1.3%
DEPARTMENT TOTAL USES	\$ 91,938,692	\$ 97,952,086	\$ 97,952,086	\$ 84,953,185	\$ 97,426,423	\$ 525,663	0.5%

Fund Transfer In

Fund/Function/Transfer	FY 2011 ADOPTED	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2011 FORECAST	FY 2012 ADOPTED
CAPITAL PROJECTS	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 45,000,000
<u>Operating</u>	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
<u>Non-Recurring</u>	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 45,000,000
990 - FLOOD CONTROL CAPITAL PROJECTS	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 45,000,000
NON-RECURRING	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 45,000,000
TOTAL BEFORE ELIMINATIONS	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 45,000,000
<u>Operating</u>	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
<u>Non-Recurring</u>	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 45,000,000
ELIMINATIONS	\$ (40,000,000)	\$ (40,000,000)	\$ (40,000,000)	\$ (45,000,000)
<u>Operating</u>	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
<u>Non-Recurring</u>	\$ (40,000,000)	\$ (40,000,000)	\$ (40,000,000)	\$ (45,000,000)
ALL FUNDS	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Fund Transfer Out

Fund/Function/Transfer	FY 2011 ADOPTED	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2011 FORECAST	FY 2012 ADOPTED
OTHER SPECIAL REVENUE	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 45,000,000
<u>Operating</u>	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
<u>Non-Recurring</u>	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 45,000,000
991 - FLOOD CONTROL	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 45,000,000
NON-RECURRING	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 45,000,000
TOTAL BEFORE ELIMINATIONS	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 45,000,000
<u>Operating</u>	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
<u>Non-Recurring</u>	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 45,000,000
ELIMINATIONS	\$ (40,000,000)	\$ (40,000,000)	\$ (40,000,000)	\$ (45,000,000)
<u>Operating</u>	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
<u>Non-Recurring</u>	\$ (40,000,000)	\$ (40,000,000)	\$ (40,000,000)	\$ (45,000,000)
ALL FUNDS	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Staffing by Program and Activity

PROGRAM/ACTIVITY	FY 2010 ADOPTED	FY 2011 ADOPTED	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2011 FORECAST	FY 2012 ADOPTED	REVISED TO ADOPTED VARIANCE	VAR %
ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES							
BUDGETING	.40	.40	.40	.40	.40	-	0.0%
EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT	2.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	-	0.0%
FINANCIAL SERVICES	.60	.60	.60	.60	.60	-	0.0%
PROGRAM TOTAL	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	-	0.0%
FLOOD HAZARD IDENTIFICATION							
FLOOD HAZARD PLANNING	.65	.65	.65	.65	.65	-	0.0%
FLOODPLAIN DELINEATION	.05	.05	.05	.05	.05	-	0.0%
PROGRAM TOTAL	.70	.70	.70	.70	.70	-	0.0%
FLOOD HAZARD OUTREACH							
FLOOD CUSTOMER SERVICE	.15	.15	.15	.15	.15	-	0.0%
PROGRAM TOTAL	.15	.15	.15	.15	.15	-	0.0%
FLOOD HAZARD REGULATION							
FLOODPLAIN PERMITTING	.75	.75	.75	.75	.75	-	0.0%
FLOODPLAIN REGULATION COMPLNCE	.40	.40	.40	.40	.40	-	0.0%
PROGRAM TOTAL	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	-	0.0%
FLOOD HAZARD REMEDIATION							
DAM SAFETY	.15	.15	.15	.15	.15	-	0.0%
FLOOD CONTROL CAPITAL PROJECTS	.85	.85	.85	.85	.85	-	0.0%
FLOOD CTRL STRUCTURE MAINT	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	-	0.0%
PROGRAM TOTAL	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	-	0.0%
DEPARTMENT TOTAL	7.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	-	0.0%

Staffing by Market Range Title

MARKET RANGE TITLE	FY 2010 ADOPTED	FY 2011 ADOPTED	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2011 FORECAST	FY 2012 ADOPTED	REVISED TO ADOPTED VARIANCE	VAR %
Administrator	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	-	0.0%
Attorney - Senior Counsel	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	-	0.0%
Director - Flood Control Dist	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	-	0.0%
Engineering Manager	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	-	0.0%
Financial Supervisor - Dept	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	-	0.0%
Operations Manager	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Operations/Program Manager	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	-	0.0%
Department Total	7.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	-	0.0%

Staffing by Fund

DEPARTMENT/FUND	FY 2010 ADOPTED	FY 2011 ADOPTED	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2011 FORECAST	FY 2012 ADOPTED	REVISED TO ADOPTED VARIANCE	VAR %
991 FLOOD CONTROL	7.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	-	0.0%
Department Total	7.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	-	0.0%

Revenue Sources and variance Commentary


Property Taxes

The Flood Control District collects property taxes on the secondary net assessed values of real property. Unlike the County primary property tax levy, there is no constitutional limitation on growth in District secondary property taxes. However, the Flood Control District Board of Directors has chosen to impose growth limitations similar to those imposed on the County primary levy in order to minimize the burden on taxpayers. As a result, beginning in FY 2007 the secondary levy associated with the Flood Control District was capped at 2% annual growth on property taxed in the prior year.

Flood Control District Preliminary Tax Levy			
Fiscal Year	Net Assessed Value (Thousands)	Tax Rate (per \$100 N.A.V.)	Tax Levy
2002	19,544,069	0.2319	45,042,553
2003	21,174,169	0.2119	45,322,696
2004	24,140,629	0.2119	44,165,629
2005	26,585,248	0.2119	50,550,367
2006	29,605,196	0.2119	62,733,411
2007	32,778,027	0.2047	67,096,622
2008	45,937,945	0.1533	70,422,870
2009	54,751,263	0.1367	74,674,333
2010	54,862,329	0.1367	74,996,804
2011	45,681,391	0.1489	68,019,592
2012	35,056,838	0.1780	62,401,172

The Board of Supervisors must adopt the Flood Control District's property tax levy on or before the third Monday in August for the fiscal year that begins on the previous July 1. Real property taxes are paid in arrears in two installments, due November 1 and May 1.

The schedule above lists the District's secondary net assessed values, tax rates, and secondary property tax levies for the last ten fiscal years, plus the assessed values and the tax rates for FY 2012. The Flood Control District's property tax rate increases from \$0.1489 to \$0.1780 per \$100 net assessed value.



As indicated in the table below, secondary property tax revenue has declined and is projected to decrease in FY 2012.

FY 2012 PROPERTY TAX LEVY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT									
Description	Net Assessed Value	Salt River Proj. Effective Net Assessed Value	Total Net Assessed Value w/SRP	Revenue from 1- cent Levy	Tax Rates	Property Tax Levy	SRP Payment in Lieu of Taxes (PILT)	Total Tax Levy & PILT	
FY 2012 Adopted	\$ 35,056,838,356	\$ 104,838,912	\$ 35,161,677,268	\$ 3,516,168	\$ 0.1780	\$ 62,401,172	\$ 186,613	\$ 62,587,785	
FY 2011 Adopted	\$ 45,681,391,282	\$ 104,842,101	\$ 45,786,233,383	\$ 4,578,623	\$ 0.1489	\$ 68,019,592	\$ 156,110	\$ 68,175,702	
FY 2010 Adopted	\$ 54,862,329,172	\$ 105,522,275	\$ 54,967,851,447	\$ 5,496,785	\$ 0.1367	\$ 74,996,804	\$ 144,249	\$ 75,141,053	

Levy Limit

FY 2012 FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT SECONDARY PROPERTY TAX LEVY vs. SELF-IMPOSED LEVY LIMIT			
FY 2012 Adjusted Allowable Levy Limit	\$ 83,996,185		
Maximum Tax Rate (per \$100 Assessed Value)	0.2396		
FY 2012 Secondary Levy (excluding SRP)	\$ 62,401,172		
Secondary Tax Rate (per \$100 Assessed Value)	0.1780		
Amount Under Limit:	\$ 21,595,012	25.7%	
	0.0616		

FY 2012 FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT SECONDARY PROPERTY TAX LEVY vs. "TRUTH-IN-TAXATION" LEVY			
FY 2012 "Truth-in-Taxation" Secondary Levy	\$ 68,501,062		
"Truth-in-Taxation" Tax Rate (per \$100 Assessed Value)	0.1954		
FY 2012 Secondary Levy	\$ 62,401,172		
Secondary Tax Rate (per \$100 Assessed Value)	0.1780		
Amount Under/(Over) "Truth-in-Taxation" Levy	\$ 6,099,890	8.9%	
	0.0174		
FY 2012 Median Residential Full Cash Property Value	\$ 124,500		
"Truth-in-Taxation" Tax Bill on Median-Valued Home	\$ 24.33		
Property Tax Bill on Median-Valued Home	22.16		
Tax Bill Savings/(Increase)	\$ 2.17	8.9%	


FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT SECONDARY PROPERTY TAX LEVY FY 2011 vs. FY 2012 TAX BILL IMPACT ON MEDIAN HOME			
FY 2011:			
Median Residential Full Cash Property Value	\$	148,800	
Secondary Tax Rate (per \$100 Assessed Value)		0.1489	
Property Tax Bill	\$	22.16	
FY 2012:			
Median Residential Full Cash Property Value	\$	124,500	
Secondary Tax Rate (per \$100 Assessed Value)		0.1780	
Property Tax Bill	\$	22.16	
Tax Bill Savings/(Increase)	\$	(0.00)	0.0%

The FY 2012 budgeted property tax revenue of \$61,777,160 is based on an historical collection rate of 99.0%. The table below reflects the estimated revenue collection for FY 2012.

Property Tax Collection Analysis Flood Control District			
FY	Levy Amount	Estimated Collections	Rate
2012	\$ 62,401,172	\$ 61,777,160	99%

Licenses and Permits

The Flood Control District collects revenue from customers for drainage plans, plan site reviews, and licenses. Rates for licenses and permits are approved by the Board of Directors, unless otherwise set forth in statute. The revenue generated from licenses and permits is used to offset the cost of issuing the permits. The chart to the right shows the FY 2010 actual, the FY 2011 forecast and the FY 2012 budgeted revenue for this category. In FY 2011, the District projected a decrease from FY 2010 for licenses and permits due to the decrease in construction and development in the County.



Intergovernmental Revenues

Intergovernmental revenues are received by the Flood Control District from other government or public entities, and include payments in lieu of taxes, grants, and payments required by intergovernmental agreements (IGA's). Intergovernmental revenues come from a variety of sources, including the Federal government, local cities and the State of Arizona. Included in the intergovernmental classification are grant revenues that typically carry restrictions on how they may be expended.

Payments in Lieu of Taxes

Payments in lieu of taxes are collected from the Salt River Project (SRP) and the federal government. Although it is a public entity, SRP estimates its net assessed value and makes payments in lieu of property taxes to each taxing jurisdiction based on its property tax rates. The table to the right reflects historical payments and the projected FY 2012 payments in lieu of taxes. The increase in revenue is reflective of FY 2012 increase in the District's secondary property tax rate.

SRP Payments in Lieu of Taxes	
Fiscal Year	
2003	136,905
2004	152,557
2005	196,239
2006	191,727
2007	199,736
2008	149,582
2009	133,384
2010	144,249
2011*	156,110
2012**	186,613


* Forecast
** Budget

Other Intergovernmental Revenue

Other Intergovernmental Revenue includes a variety of payments from other jurisdictions, usually as required by IGA's with the District. The District's FY 2012 budget is reflective of receiving \$11,637,000 for cost sharing of capital projects.

Miscellaneous Revenue

The Flood Control District classifies miscellaneous revenues as any revenues that do not fall within a more specific revenue category. Examples of miscellaneous revenues include sale of copies, interest earnings, building rental, insurance recoveries, land sales, map sales and equipment rental as well as sales of fixed assets, and bond proceeds. Interest rates declined during FY 2011 leading to a decrease in interest revenue from FY 2010 to FY 2012.




Other Financing Sources

In the Flood Control District, Other Financing Sources are comprised solely of Fund Transfers In.

Fund Transfers In

The Flood Control District transfers fund balances from the operating to the capital fund throughout the year in order to support the District's Capital Improvement Program.



Fund Balance Summary and Variance Commentary

The schedules in the following section list estimated beginning fund balances, estimated sources and uses for the upcoming fiscal year, along with resulting estimated fund balances at the end of the upcoming fiscal year. "Beginning fund balance" represents resources accumulated within each fund as of the start of the fiscal year, based on actual and projected revenues and expenditures for prior fiscal years. Presentation of fund balances has been adjusted in accordance with Statement 54 of the Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB). For budgeting purposes, fund balances exclude any amounts defined as "non-spendable," such as fixed assets. District ending fund balances are usually classified as "restricted," because all District fund balances are subject to externally enforceable legal restrictions. However, a negative ending balance would be classified as "unassigned."

Estimating Fund Balances

The process for estimating all beginning fund balances for the upcoming fiscal year begins with audited actual fund balance information as of the end of the prior fiscal year, as presented in the *Maricopa County Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR)*. Beginning balances for the upcoming fiscal year are estimated by taking the audited ending balance for the prior fiscal year, adding to the forecasted sources for the current fiscal year, and subtracting the forecasted uses for the current fiscal year.

Fund Balance Summary

Flood Control Fund (991)

	FY 2010 ACTUAL	FY 2011 ADOPTED	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2011 FORECAST	FY 2012 ADOPTED
Beginning Spendable Fund Balance	\$ 43,092,131	\$ 46,405,987	\$ 46,405,987	\$ 51,950,161	\$ 50,776,728
Sources:					
Operating	\$ 79,167,685	\$ 70,581,286	\$ 70,581,286	\$ 70,438,556	\$ 63,887,129
Non-Recurring	1,200	882,219	882,219	471,324	34,800
Total Sources:	\$ 79,168,885	\$ 71,463,505	\$ 71,463,505	\$ 70,909,880	\$ 63,921,929
Uses:					
Operating	\$ 29,684,620	\$ 36,603,605	\$ 36,603,605	\$ 32,083,313	\$ 36,860,323
Non-Recurring	40,627,383	40,000,000	40,000,000	40,000,000	45,000,000
Total Uses:	\$ 70,312,003	\$ 76,603,605	\$ 76,603,605	\$ 72,083,313	\$ 81,860,323
Structural Balance	\$ 49,483,065	\$ 33,977,681	\$ 33,977,681	\$ 38,355,243	\$ 27,026,806
Accounting Adjustments	\$ 1,148	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Ending Spendable Fund Balance:					
Restricted	\$ 51,950,161	\$ 41,265,887	\$ 41,265,887	\$ 50,776,728	\$ 32,838,334
Total Ending Spendable Fund Balance	\$ 51,950,161	\$ 41,265,887	\$ 41,265,887	\$ 50,776,728	\$ 32,838,334

Flood Control Grants Fund (989)

	FY 2010 ACTUAL	FY 2011 ADOPTED	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2011 FORECAST	FY 2012 ADOPTED
Beginning Spendable Fund Balance	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (18,712)
Sources:					
Non-Recurring	525,506	800,000	800,000	210,966	566,100
Total Sources:	\$ 525,506	\$ 800,000	\$ 800,000	\$ 210,966	\$ 566,100
Uses:					
Non-Recurring	547,627	800,000	800,000	229,678	566,100
Total Uses:	\$ 547,627	\$ 800,000	\$ 800,000	\$ 229,678	\$ 566,100
Accounting Adjustments	\$ 22,121	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Ending Spendable Fund Balance:					
Unassigned	-	-	-	(18,712)	(18,712)
Total Ending Spendable Fund Balance	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (18,712)	\$ (18,712)

Capital Project Fund (990)

	FY 2010 ACTUAL	FY 2011 ADOPTED	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2011 FORECAST	FY 2012 ADOPTED
Beginning Spendable Fund Balance	\$ 26,283,758	\$ 24,075,924	\$ 24,075,924	\$ 37,521,531	\$ 34,447,306
Sources:					
Non-Recurring	52,316,832	44,908,000	44,908,000	49,565,969	56,637,000
Total Sources:	\$ 52,316,832	\$ 44,908,000	\$ 44,908,000	\$ 49,565,969	\$ 56,637,000
Uses:					
Non-Recurring	41,079,062	60,548,481	60,548,481	52,640,194	60,000,000
Total Uses:	\$ 41,079,062	\$ 60,548,481	\$ 60,548,481	\$ 52,640,194	\$ 60,000,000
Accounting Adjustments	\$ 3	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Ending Spendable Fund Balance:					
Restricted	\$ 37,521,531	\$ 8,435,443	\$ 8,435,443	\$ 34,447,306	\$ 31,084,306
Total Ending Spendable Fund Balance	\$ 37,521,531	\$ 8,435,443	\$ 8,435,443	\$ 34,447,306	\$ 31,084,306

Appropriated Budget Reconciliations

Flood Control Operations Fund (991)

	Expenditures	Revenue
OPERATING		
FY 2011 Adopted Budget	\$ 36,603,605	\$ 70,581,286
FY 2011 Revised Budget	\$ 36,603,605	\$ 70,581,286
Adjustments:		
Base Adjustments	\$ (117,742)	\$ -
<i>Internal Service Charges</i>	<i>(117,742)</i>	<i>-</i>
FY 2012 Budget Target	\$ 36,485,863	\$ 70,581,286
Adjustments:		
Employee Compensation and Benefits		
Employee Retirement and Benefits	\$ (7,314)	\$ -
<i>Emp Health Dental Prem Realloc</i>	<i>(14,112)</i>	<i>-</i>
<i>Retirement Contributions</i>	<i>6,798</i>	<i>-</i>
Fees and Other Revenues	\$ (137,236)	\$ (1,149,033)
<i>ProgRevenue Volume Inc/Dec</i>	<i>(137,236)</i>	<i>(1,149,033)</i>
General Revenues	\$ -	\$ (5,545,124)
<i>Payments in Lieu of Taxes</i>	<i>-</i>	<i>30,922</i>
<i>Property Taxes</i>	<i>-</i>	<i>(5,576,046)</i>
FY 2012 Recommended Budget	\$ 36,341,313	\$ 63,887,129
<i>Percent Change from Target Amount</i>	<i>-0.4%</i>	<i>-9.5%</i>
Adjustments:		
Base Adjustments	\$ 519,010	\$ -
<i>Other Base Adjustments</i>	<i>519,010</i>	<i>-</i>
<i>Equipment/Vehicle Carryover</i>	<i>\$ 441,522</i>	
<i>Baseline ISF</i>	<i>\$ 77,488</i>	
FY 2012 Adopted Budget	\$ 36,860,323	\$ 63,887,129
<i>Percent Change from Target Amount</i>	<i>1.0%</i>	<i>-9.5%</i>

Flood Control Operations Fund (991) (continued)

	Expenditures	Revenue
NON RECURRING NON PROJECT		
FY 2011 Adopted Budget	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 882,219
FY 2011 Revised Budget	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 882,219
Adjustments:		
Non Recurring	\$ (40,000,000)	\$ (882,219)
<i>Other Non-Recurring</i>	<i>(40,000,000)</i>	<i>(882,219)</i>
FY 2012 Budget Target	\$ -	\$ -
Adjustments:		
Capital Improvement Program	\$ 45,000,000	\$ -
<i>Transfer to Capital Proj Fund</i>	<i>45,000,000</i>	<i>-</i>
Non Recurring	\$ -	\$ 34,800
<i>Other Non-Recurring</i>	<i>-</i>	<i>34,800</i>
FY 2012 Adopted Budget	\$ 45,000,000	\$ 34,800

Flood Control Grants Fund (989)

	Expenditures	Revenue
NON RECURRING NON PROJECT		
FY 2011 Adopted Budget	\$ 800,000	\$ 800,000
FY 2011 Revised Budget	\$ 800,000	\$ 800,000
Adjustments:		
Grants, Donations and Intergovernmental Agreements		
Grants	\$ (800,000)	\$ (800,000)
<i>Grant Reconciliation</i>	<i>(800,000)</i>	<i>(800,000)</i>
FY 2012 Budget Target	\$ -	\$ -
Adjustments:		
Grants, Donations and Intergovernmental Agreements		
Grants	\$ 566,100	\$ 566,100
<i>Grant Reconciliation</i>	<i>566,100</i>	<i>566,100</i>
FY 2012 Adopted Budget	\$ 566,100	\$ 566,100

Flood Control Capital Projects Fund (990)

	Expenditures	Revenue
NON-RECURRING		
FY 2011 Adopted Budget	\$ 60,548,481	\$ 44,908,000
FY 2011 Revised Budget	\$ 60,548,481	\$ 44,908,000
Adjustments:	Agenda Item:	
Capital Improvement Program		
<i>Flood CIP Reallocation Dec 10</i>	<i>C-69-11-030-2-00</i>	
	\$ (1,006,000)	\$ -
	<i>(1,006,000)</i>	<i>-</i>
FY 2012 Budget Target	\$ 59,542,481	\$ 44,908,000
Adjustments:		
Capital Improvement Program	\$ 457,519	\$ 11,729,000
<i>Capital Improvement Prog Adj</i>	<i>457,519</i>	<i>6,729,000</i>
<i>Transfer to Capital Proj Fund</i>	<i>-</i>	<i>5,000,000</i>
FY 2012 Adopted Budget	\$ 60,000,000	\$ 56,637,000
<i>Percent Change from Target Amount</i>	<i>0.8%</i>	<i>26.1%</i>



Capital Improvement Program Summary

The Flood Control District primarily mitigates existing regional flood hazards through its five-year Capital Improvement Program (CIP) – the revolving five-year plan for accomplishing capital projects.

The CIP drives design and construction of new infrastructure in concert with the District's planning activities and also addresses modification and replacement of existing infrastructure. The District maintains its five-year CIP as mandated by State statutes and as directed by the District's General Policies under District Resolutions 88-08, 88-08A and 2010R008.

Since 1993, proposed capital projects have been reviewed for merit by the District's annual CIP Prioritization Procedure, although project recommendations resulting from this process are contingent upon ultimate project adoption through Resolutions by the District's Board of Directors. The CIP Prioritization Procedure solicits and evaluates project requests from the District's client communities and other local agencies, generally resulting from completed drainage planning studies.

The evaluation procedure allocates points based on:

- Readiness
- Hazard Mitigation Need
- Federal Cost Share
- Municipal Cost Share

The process promotes a balanced approach to the evaluation of proposed projects, identifying and supporting flood control and regional drainage projects that not only provide long-term protection to individuals and property from flash floods and seasonal flooding, but that also promote community development, protect natural habitats and maintain watercourse flow paths. The procedure favors projects that involve cost-sharing partnerships, allowing the District to best leverage limited financial resources, and allows the District to limit future structural maintenance responsibilities to projects that are multi-jurisdictional, regional or involve main watercourses.



Project Detail

A total of 3 capital project bins are budgeted for FY 2012, and recommended to the Board by the Flood Control District. The projects are as follows:

	Previous Actuals	Projected FY 2011	Year 1 FY 2012	Year 2 FY 2013	Year 3 FY 2014	Year 4 FY 2015	Year 5 FY 2016	5-Year Total	Total Project
Flood Control District Primary CIP	\$ 102,239,291	\$ 58,267,000	\$ 57,950,000	\$ 53,000,000	\$ 46,000,000	\$ 45,000,000	\$ 46,000,000	\$ 247,950,000	\$ 408,456,291
Flood Control District Small Project Assistance Program		1,505,000	2,000,000	2,000,000	2,000,000	2,000,000	2,000,000	10,000,000	11,505,000
Flood Control District Floodprone Property Acquisition	155,800	228,000	50,000	-	-	-	-	50,000	433,800
Project Total	\$ 102,395,091	\$ 60,000,000	\$ 60,000,000	\$ 55,000,000	\$ 48,000,000	\$ 47,000,000	\$ 48,000,000	\$ 258,000,000	\$ 420,395,091

Managing for Results (MFR) for All Projects

Purpose Statement:

The purpose of the Flood Hazard Remediation program is to provide flood hazard protection through structural and non-structural solutions to the public so that they can live with minimal risk of loss of life or property due to flooding.

Strategic Goals:

By 2013, Maricopa County Public Works will provide to the residents and visitors of Maricopa County required public works infrastructure by delivering 90% of Public Works Capital projects identified in the 5-year Capital Improvement Program.

Strategic Plan Program Supported:

- Flood Hazard Remediation

Strategic Activities Supported:

- Flood Control Capital Projects
- Dam Safety

Result Measures:

RESULT MEASURE	FY 2011 Year-To-Date Actual	FY 2011 Year-End Projected	Projected with Capital Improvement
Percent of Capital Dollars Expended	41%	95%	100%

Results:

District CIP MfR results are not applicable at the individual project level or across multiple fiscal years and must be presented as gross, individual fiscal year figures. The District's Key Result Measure for the Capital Project activity reports the percent of total capital budget expended. By default, as it entails CIP expenditures, this project contributes to the results of that measure. Additionally, the District measures the percent of area benefitted by projects completed in a given fiscal year (compared to the area benefitted by all projects in the five-year CIP). The District has calculated a total of 689 square

miles of area benefitted by projects in the five-year CIP; projects projected for FY 2011 completion will benefit 30 square miles.

Common Flood Control CIP Acronyms

ACDC – Arizona Canal Diversion Channel
ADMP – Area Drainage Master Plan
ADOT – Arizona Department of Transportation
CFS – Cubic Feet per Second
FPAP – Floodprone Property Assistance Program
FRS – Flood Retarding Structure
IGA – Intergovernmental Agreement
MCDOT – Maricopa County Department of Transportation
NRCS – Natural Resource Conservation Service



Flood Control District Primary Capital Improvement Program

Managing Department: Flood Control District

Project Partners: See Project Descriptions

Scheduled Completion Dates:

Improvement	District	Scheduled Completion
Central Chandler Storm Drain Improvements	1	Outside 5-year CIP
Upper Camelback Wash Improvements	2	FY 2014
Cloud Rd. & Sossaman Rd. Basin and Outlet	1	FY 2012
Vulcan Materials Settlement Acquisition	4	FY 2013
Agua Fria River Levee Safety Improvements	4	Outside 5-year CIP
Laveen Area Conveyance Channel	5	FY 2012
27th Ave. & South Mountain Ave. Basin	5	FY 2015
43rd Ave. & Baseline Rd. Basin	5	FY 2015
Arizona Canal Diversion Channel Improvements	3, 4	FY 2012
Berneil Channel Modifications	2	Outside 5-year CIP
Rittenhouse Basin	1	Outside 5-year CIP
Chandler Heights Basin	1	Outside 5-year CIP
East Maricopa Floodway Low Flow Channel	1	Outside 5-year CIP
Tres Rios	5	FY 2012
White Tanks FRS No.4 Outlet	4	FY 2015
White Tanks FRS No.4 Rehabilitation	4	FY 2015
McMicken Dam	4	Outside 5-year CIP
Buckeye FRS No.1 Rehabilitation	4	FY 2016
Downtown Buckeye Regional Basin & Storm Drain	4	Outside 5-year CIP
SR-85 Oglesby Outfall Channel	4	Outside 5-year CIP
Watson Drainage System	4	Outside 5-year CIP
Skyline Wash Basin and Outlet	4	Outside 5-year CIP
Granite Reef Wash Drainage Improvements	2	Outside 5-year CIP
Spook Hill FRS / SR-202L Modification	2	FY 2012
PVR Rehabilitation / Replacement	1	Outside 5-year CIP
Powerline FRS Fissure Risk Zone Mitigation	1	FY 2013
Harquahala FRS Erosion Hazard Reduction	4	Outside 5-year CIP
Saddleback FRS Modifications	5	FY 2014
Wickenburg Downtown Flooding Hazard Mitigation	4	FY 2012
Martin Acres Flood Hazard Mitigation	4	Outside 5-year CIP
Cave Buttes Dam Modifications	3	Outside 5-year CIP
Cave Buttes Access Rights Acquisition	3	FY 2012
New River Dam Outlet Improvements	4	FY 2014
Oak St. Detention Basin and Storm Drain	2	FY 2014
Ellsworth Rd. & McKellips Rd. Drainage System	2	FY 2016
Siphon Draw Drainage Improvements	1	FY 2012
Rose Garden Lane Channel	4	FY 2012
Pinnacle Peak Rd. Channel (99th Ave. to Agua Fria River)	4	FY 2013
107th Ave. & Union Hills Dr. Drainage Improvements	4	Outside 5-year CIP
White Tanks FRS No.3 Modifications	4	FY 2012

Improvement	District	Scheduled Completion
White Tanks FRS No.3 Outlet Channel	4	FY 2013
Reems Road Channel and Basin	4	FY 2012
Bullard Wash (Phase II)	4	Outside 5-year CIP
Loop 303 Drainage Improvements	4	Outside 5-year CIP
Northern Parkway Drainage Improvements	4	FY 2013
Sonoqui Wash Channelization (Chandler Heights to Crismon)	1	Outside 5-year CIP
Sonoqui Wash Channelization (Main Branch)	1	Outside 5-year CIP
Queen Creek Wash (Recker to Higley)	1	Outside 5-year CIP
75th Ave. Storm Drain and DRCC Phase I	5	FY 2012
DRCC (107th Ave. to Agua Fria)	5	Outside 5-year CIP
DRCC (75th Ave. to 107th Ave.)	5	Outside 5-year CIP
Van Buren St. Channel (99th Ave. to Agua Fria River)	5	Outside 5-year CIP
Camelback Rd. Storm Drain (59th Ave. to 75th Ave.)	5	FY 2012
Bethany Home Rd. Storm Drain (79th Ave. to 59th Ave.)	5	Outside 5-year CIP
Downtown Phoenix Drainage System (Phase I)	5	Outside 5-year CIP
Old Cross Cut Canal (Arizona Canal to Indian School Rd.)	3	FY 2012
Arcadia Drive Storm Drain (Camelback Rd. to Lafayette Blvd.)	3	Outside 5-year CIP
Lafayette Interceptor Drain & Outlet	3	FY 2016
East Maricopa Floodway Maintenance Road Paving	1, 2	FY 2012
Capital Improvement Program Project Reserve	N/A	N/A

Purpose Statement:

The Primary Capital Improvement Program typically funds projects that have been recommended by the District's Capital Improvement Program Prioritization Procedure, reducing flooding hazards to Maricopa County residents through construction of new facilities and improvements to existing flood control facilities.

Project Descriptions:

022.01.32 – Central Chandler Storm Drain Improvements (022A)

Project Partner: City of Chandler

Recommended by the City of Chandler's March 2006 Storm Water Master Plan Update, this project removes all local drainage connections to an existing Salt River Project "Chandler Drain" irrigation tailwater system and establishes a distinct City storm drain system. Improvements are contained within a two-square-mile area of downtown Chandler subject to historic flooding problems.

The District anticipates entering a cost-share agreement for design and construction of the project; however, the project has been delayed due to a lack of City funding. The FY 2012 budget includes labor costs related to planning for the project. Design funding is tentatively scheduled for FY 2013.

027.10.32 – Upper Camelback Wash Flood Hazard Mitigation (027A)

Project Partner: City of Scottsdale

The City of Scottsdale's Storm Water Master Plan recommended improvements to mitigate flooding hazards in the Upper Camelback Wash watershed. Conveyance capacity of the existing system of

open channels and street conveyance is limited to a 2-year event level in some areas, creating a flood hazard for an estimated 600 structures. The proposed project will improve system capacity to convey the 100-year storm event.

Project design is in progress, under the City's lead, with the District providing cost-share funding under IGA FCD 2009A006. Construction is anticipated to begin during FY 2012.

043.01.30 – Cloud Road and Sossaman Road Basin and Outlet (043A)

Project Partner: Town of Queen Creek

The Town of Queen Creek completed the Cloud Road Channel capacity study in March 2003. The study recommended construction of a new basin and outlet in the area of Cloud Road and Sossaman Road to mitigate historical flooding to nearby homes along Cloud Road to the west.

The project consists of a basin located at approximately the southeast corner of Cloud Road and Sossaman Road and an outlet along Sossaman Road from the basin site north to Sonoqui Wash. The project would provide 100-year flood protection for over 120 homes in the area as well as protect public infrastructure including roads and utilities. Construction is anticipated to be completed during FY 2012.

109.01.30 – Vulcan Materials Settlement Acquisition (109B)

Project Partner: N/A

Under the terms of a District settlement agreement with Vulcan Materials, the District will acquire rights-of-way for implementation of Agua Fria River improvements bordering a Vulcan Materials sand and gravel operation south of Bell Road. The District's costs will be reimbursed entirely by Vulcan Materials. Right-of-way acquisition is in progress.

109.02.30 – Agua Fria River Levee Safety Mitigation (109A)

Project Partner: City of Avondale

Channelization of the Agua Fria River, completed by the District and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers in the 1980s, included construction of soil cement levees. The District entered IGA 2001A009 with the City of Avondale, granting the City a non-exclusive easement over certain District fee-held land, including channelized portions of the Agua Fria River, to facilitate construction of a City trail system. Maintenance of the Agua Fria River levees poses a hazard to District personnel, as the levees were originally constructed without pipe rail fall protection, and a trail atop the levees would pose a similar hazard to the public.

IGA FCD 2008A009 establishes a 50/50 cost share between the City and the District for installation of pipe rail along levees between Buckeye Road and McDowell Road. The District's cost share is capped at \$440,000, including a per-foot ceiling. The City, under the IGA, acts as lead agency for installation of the pipe rail, and retains operation and maintenance responsibility over the installed railing. Project construction is awaiting City funding.

117.08.31 – Laveen Area Conveyance Channel (117A)

Project Partner: City of Phoenix

The Laveen Area Conveyance Channel improved the Maricopa Drain into a regional flood control facility capable of containing and conveying a 100-year flood event in the Laveen area from 43rd Avenue to the Salt River – a distance of approximately 5.8 miles. An associated flood detention basin at

43rd Avenue and Southern Avenue mitigates peak flood flows getting to the conveyance channel. The peak discharge at the outfall of the channel for the 100-year storm event is estimated to be 2,800 cubic feet per second. The channel and basin are grass-lined, and a concrete low-flow channel carries tailwater to the Salt River.

This project was constructed by a public-private partnership involving the Flood Control District, City of Phoenix, Maricopa County Department of Transportation and the Salt River Project. The District's project involvement is complete, with the exception of litigation related to project rights-of-way condemnations, and the project is being maintained by the city of Phoenix.

117.09.32 – 27th Avenue and South Mountain Avenue Basin

Project Partner: City of Phoenix

The District's South Phoenix Drainage Improvement Project Feasibility Study evaluated the watershed generally bounded by 43rd Avenue to the west, Central Avenue to the east, South Mountain Avenue to the south and the Salt River to the north. The study identified and compared alternative solutions to mitigate flooding hazards in the watershed and selected a recommended plan. Plan elements included several detention basins and a storm drain system to provide an outfall to the Salt River, including a basin located at 27th Avenue and South Mountain Avenue. Project design is anticipated to begin in FY 2012.

117.09.33 – 43rd Avenue and Baseline Road Basin

Project Partner: City of Phoenix

The District's South Phoenix Drainage Improvement Project Feasibility Study evaluated the watershed generally bounded by 43rd Avenue to the west, Central Avenue to the east, South Mountain Avenue to the south and the Salt River to the north. The study identified and compared alternative solutions to mitigate flooding hazards in the watershed and selected a recommended plan. Plan elements included several detention basins and a storm drain system to provide an outfall to the Salt River, including a basin located at 43rd Avenue and Baseline Road. Project design is anticipated to begin in FY 2012.

118.01.30 – Arizona Canal Diversion Channel Storm Drain Improvements (118A)

Project Partner: N/A

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, in partnership with the District, constructed the ACDC in the 1980s. The District owns, operates and maintains the channel.

Storm drain inlet pipes have begun to exhibit cracking along vertical-walled segments of the ACDC. This cracking, if unaddressed, will allow storm water flows to enter the wall backfill to saturate and further consolidate, potentially jeopardizing the integrity of the 20-foot vertical wall.

This project will address this deficiency and will involve engineered storm drain improvements to extend the life of the channel wall. Storm drain inlets are being modified in three phases to allow for the exploration of multiple solutions. The project is anticipated to be substantially completed by FY 2012.

120.XX.X1 – Berneil Channel Modifications

Project Partner: Town of Paradise Valley

The Berneil Channel is operated and maintained by the Town of Paradise Valley and generally conveys storm water between Scottsdale Road at Mountain View Road southwest to the Indian Bend Wash at

approximately the 66th Street alignment. The channel is undersized for the 100-year event; in sections, it is unable to contain events of a 2-year return frequency.

The Town submitted a project for modification of the Berneil Channel to the District's prioritization procedure, and the project was recommended. Ideally, a modification project would increase channel capacity to convey the 100-year event, but funding constraints may limit capacity improvements to address 10-year events.

The Town has completed a preliminary project study. Advancement of the project to final design and construction is largely dependent upon the availability of Town and District funding.

121.03.32 – Rittenhouse Basin (121A)

Project Partner: Town of Gilbert

The District's East Maricopa Floodway (EMF) Mitigation Study identified drainage and flooding issues associated with the 15,000 cfs 100-year flow exceeding the EMF's 8,500 cfs capacity. The study proposed two large off-line detention basins – the Rittenhouse and Chandler Heights Basins – to mitigate EMF flows.

Construction of Rittenhouse Basin flood control features is complete, and the project is operated and maintained by the Town of Gilbert. The District will contribute limited funding to aesthetically-enhance the site in the future.

121.03.33 – Chandler Heights Basin (121B)

Project Partner: Town of Gilbert

The District's East Maricopa Floodway (EMF) Mitigation Study identified drainage and flooding issues associated with the 15,000 cfs 100-year flow exceeding the EMF's 8,500 cfs capacity. The study proposed two large off-line detention basins – the Rittenhouse and Chandler Heights Basins – to mitigate EMF flows.

Chandler Heights Basin reduces flows from the Queen Creek and Sonoqui washes into the EMF. Construction is being accomplished in at least five phases. Design and the first two phases of construction have been completed. Future phases of construction will involve excavation of an additional 3 million cubic yards of material, and will be accomplished as funding becomes available. Although basin construction is being accomplished by the District alone, it is anticipated that the Town of Gilbert will purchase an easement on the completed basin site, fund recreational amenities, and assume certain operation and maintenance obligations in the future.

121.XX.X1 – East Maricopa Floodway Low Flow Channel

Project Partner: N/A

The United States Soil Conservation Service (now Natural Resources Conservation Service) completed the East Maricopa Floodway (EMF) in 1989 in partnership with the District and others. This 27-mile long earthen channel runs parallel to the Roosevelt Water Conservation District canal from north of Brown Road to Hunt Highway, and continues in a southwesterly direction through the Gila River Indian Community to an outlet at the Gila River. The EMF is a principal flood control feature for the east valley, intercepting floodwater flow impacting the Buckhorn-Mesa, Apache Junction-Gilbert and

Williams-Chandler watersheds. The EMF is operated and maintained by the District, with the exception of segments that run through privately-owned golf courses.

Due to the topography of the area, the EMF has a particularly shallow slope. Combined with the EMF's earthen bottom, this causes nuisance ponding along much of the structure. In addition to causing mosquito control issues, this creates maintenance difficulties, as maintenance equipment is unable to function in the saturated channel bottom.

The District is attempting to address these issues through comparatively minor maintenance modifications; however, should the issues remain, the District would construct a concrete low flow channel along much of the length of the EMF.

126.01.31 – Tres Rios (126A)

Project Partners: City of Phoenix, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

The Tres Rios Project is a federal project under the auspices of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and sponsored locally by the City of Phoenix.

The project is located along the Salt and Gila Rivers, from about 83rd Avenue to the Agua Fria River, and consists of the restoration of habitat within and along the river. It involves construction of wetlands, open water marshes and riparian corridors, and a flood control levee along the north bank of the river from approximately 105th Avenue to the Agua Fria River to remove property and homes along the river from the floodplain.

The District's participation in accordance with the project resolution and IGA includes design review and coordination, \$2 million in levee construction funding, operation and maintenance of the levee, and contribution of District-owned land required for the project.

The levee design and construction will occur in three phases – from 105th to 115th Avenue, 115th to 123rd Avenue, and along 123rd to Southern Avenue. Construction of the first two phases is complete. Construction of the third phase is on hold pending right-of-way acquisition by the City.

201.01.31 – White Tanks FRS No. 4 Outlet (201A)

Project Partner: N/A

The District's Buckeye Area Drainage Master Plan (ADMP) examined alternatives to convey flows from White Tanks FRS No. 4's impoundment area to the Gila River. The ADMP recommended a channel designed to intercept and convey the 100-year flood flow along its length, while simultaneously serving as an outlet to the dam and a multiuse trail corridor.

Due to the slowing of development in the area subsequent to the ADMP, the ADMP-recommended project now lacks a viable project partner for implementation. The District has initiated design of a storm drain outlet that will solely accomplish the District's dam safety needs. Remaining regional flows may be addressed by a future ADMP update. The project's construction schedule will depend upon the availability of District funding.

201.02.31 – White Tanks FRS No. 4 Rehabilitation (201B)

Project Partner: Natural Resources Conservation Service

White Tanks Flood Retarding Structure (FRS) No. 4 was constructed in 1954 by the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) (then Soil Conservation Service). By agreement, the District operates and maintains the structure.

The District completed Phase I Assessments for White Tanks FRS No. 4, and the Arizona Department of Water Resources (state agency with regulatory authority) classified the dam as having safety deficiencies; corrective action is required to bring the dam into compliance with dam safety standards and requirements. Deficiencies include transverse cracking of the embankment, inadequate left and right spillways and unprotected corrugated metal pipe outlets. The National Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) identified these same deficiencies as requiring corrective action. The District submitted an application to NRCS for federal funding assistance under Public Law 106-472 (Small Watershed Amendment) in May 2004, and the District has entered into an IGA with NRCS to accomplish project construction. Construction will be in two to three phases, the first of which is in progress.

202.02.31 – McMicken Dam Project (202B)

Project Partner: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

The McMicken Dam Project was constructed by the U.S Army Corps of Engineers in 1954 and 1955 to protect Luke Air Force Base, the Litchfield Park Naval Air Facility and agricultural activities in the area from flooding; it also provides flood protection for critical public facilities and infrastructure including hospitals, schools, police and fire stations, freeways and other public roadways, railroads and the Beardsley Canal. The McMicken Dam Project includes McMicken Dam itself (approximately nine miles in length), the McMicken Dam Outlet Channel (approximately six miles in length) and the McMicken Dam Outlet Wash (approximately four miles in length) that discharges to the Agua Fria River.

The ability of the McMicken Dam Project to maintain the current level of flood protection for the benefit of the public in an increasingly urbanized environment is in question due to significant concerns regarding aging infrastructure, land subsidence, earth fissuring, urbanization encroachment and current dam safety standards. These dam safety issues have led the District to determine that an overall rehabilitation or replacement of the dam is required. Alternatives may include a modified dam, floodways or basins which will provide a minimum of 100-year flood protection. The District has pursued, and continues to pursue, federal funding assistance for this project. Should such funding be non-viable, the District may evaluate the potential of initiating a unilateral project.

Project planning will include the coordination of any interested stakeholders for the incorporation of a recreational cost share component to the rehabilitation project.

207.01.31 – Buckeye FRS No. 1 Rehabilitation (207A)

Project Partner: Natural Resources Conservation Service

Buckeye FRS No.1 is the westernmost of a series of three flood control dams designed and built by the Soil Conservation Service (now the Natural Resources Conservation Service, or NRCS) between 1973 and 1975. The dam is located along the southern slopes of the White Tank Mountains and parallels the north side of Interstate 10 for 7.1 miles west to the Hassayampa River. The dam is operated and maintained by the District and is regulated by the Arizona Department of Water Resources (ADWR).

Since its construction, the dam has experienced considerable transverse cracking. ADWR has identified the transverse cracking in Buckeye FRS No.1 as a dam safety deficiency requiring corrective action. The District completed Phase I Assessments of the dam, and has requested NRCS federal cost share assistance under Public Law 106-472 for a rehabilitation project to address dam safety concerns and to maintain flood control benefits to downstream properties for the next 100 years.

The District completed a planning-level assessment of potential alternatives, including a modified dam, a channel/levee system and combinations of both providing a minimum of 100-year flood protection. The selected alternative consists of dam rehabilitation. Design is in progress, and construction will be accomplished in phases.

211.03.31 – Downtown Buckeye Regional Basin and Storm Drain Project (211A)

Project Partner: Town of Buckeye

The Town of Buckeye historically experienced flooding conditions downtown in the vicinity of Monroe Avenue (Maricopa County Highway 85). The District completed a Candidate Assessment Report that identified potential structural solutions: a 10-year storm drain system and outfall, and 100-year retention basins.

This project will relieve historic downtown Buckeye of frequent flooding by implementing storm drains, channels, retention basins, and an outlet infrastructure that will mitigate flood damages to residential, commercial, and industrial properties, government buildings, and schools, while increasing traffic safety and improving the community's flood insurance program rating.

Design is complete, and construction is being accomplished in phases as funding becomes available.

211.XX.X1 – SR-85 Oglesby Outfall Channel

Project Partner: Arizona Department of Transportation

The District completed the Buckeye Area Drainage Master Plan (ADMP) in June 2009. The ADMP recommended construction of five north-south regional drainage channels and basins to capture regional storm water flow and convey it to the Gila River: the White Tanks System, the Watson System, the Rooks System, the Oglesby System, and the Palo Verde System. The Oglesby System's outfall would be constructed as a co-use Arizona Department of Transportation/District facility, built in conjunction with State Route 85 (SR-85) improvements from north of Baseline Road to the Gila River. ADOT has tentatively agreed to this plan, which would require ADOT to upsize its planned freeway channel to accommodate regional flows in exchange for District cost share participation.

The portion of the Oglesby System upstream of SR-85 would be completed in the future – likely without the use of public funding – as the area develops. Project schedule will depend upon ADOT's SR-85 improvement schedule.

211.XX.X2 – Watson Drainage System

Project Partner: Town of Buckeye

The District completed the Buckeye Area Drainage Master Plan (ADMP) in June 2009. The ADMP recommended construction of five north-south regional drainage channels and basins to capture regional storm water flow and convey it to the Gila River: the White Tanks System, the Watson System, the Rooks System, the Oglesby System, and the Palo Verde System.

The Town of Buckeye submitted the full ADMP-recommended plan to the District's FY 2012 prioritization procedure, and the plan was recommended. The Watson System will be the first to be implemented. A pre-design effort is anticipated to begin in FY 2012 or FY 2013.

211.XX.X3 – Skyline Wash Basin and Outlet

Project Partner: Town of Buckeye

Skyline Wash originates in the White Tanks Mountains and results in alluvial fan-type flood flows downstream. Flows ultimately pond behind Buckeye FRS No.3 and subsequently drain to the Hassayampa River.

The Skyline Wash Basin and Outlet Project is a variation on a recommendation identified by the District's Sun Valley Area Drainage Master Plan. The project proposes to construct a basin at the apex of the alluvial fan floodplain and to convey flows through a combination of new channels and existing natural washes to the Buckeye FRS No.3. The project would result in elimination of the alluvial fan floodplain, impacting both existing properties and future development.

Project implementation is awaiting a cost share agreement between the District and the Town of Buckeye.

265.01.30 – Granite Reef Wash Drainage Mitigation Project (265A)

Project Partner: City of Scottsdale

The City of Scottsdale has historically experienced flooding in developed areas along Granite Reef Wash. The City initiated a study to propose solutions to this flooding hazard, and has recommended installation of a drainage system, principally along the Pima Road alignment, from Chaparral Road south to Salt River. In addition to mitigating flooding in the immediate residential area, the project would reduce flood flows to the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community's (SRPMIC's) undeveloped Section Twelve, at the junction of State Route 101 Loop and State Route 202.

With the City as the lead agency, project implementation is awaiting the selection of an outfall alignment south of McKellips Road by the SRPMIC.

300.01.31 – Spook Hill FRS / Red Mountain Freeway Modification (300A)

Project Partner: Arizona Department of Transportation

Spook Hill FRS is a structural plan element of a Watershed Work Plan, prepared by the Natural Resources Conservation Service (formerly Soil Conservation Service) in January 1963, for the Buckhorn-Mesa Watershed Project located in Maricopa and Pinal counties. The dam was designed to impound floodwaters for a 100-year flood event and direct flows in excess of the 100-year flood event through an emergency spillway.

The Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) was the lead agency in an effort to modify Spook Hill FRS to accommodate construction of the Power Road to University Drive segment of the Loop 202 freeway, which passes over the dam and within the dam's floodway. Modifications were completed in FY 2009 and corrected all identified dam safety deficiencies through installation of a central filter throughout the dam to protect against cracking, slope erosion repair, and replacement of the principal spillway.

The District will provide easements to ADOT on District land, generating revenue that is expected to completely offset its \$5 million contribution to the modification costs. Easement value is under legal negotiation, and the project remains active pending settlement.

310.01.30 – PVR FRS Rehabilitation/Replacement (310A)

Project Partner: Natural Resources Conservation Service

The Powerline, Vineyard Road, and Rittenhouse (PVR) Flood Retarding Structures (FRSs) are located in northwest Pinal County, south of Apache Junction and parallel to the Central Arizona Project (CAP) canal between Baseline Road and Ocotillo Road. Per its agreements with the Soil Conservation Service (now Natural Resources Conservation Service, or NRCS), the District operates and maintains the structures. The FRSs protect approximately 169 square miles of residential, commercial, and agricultural land in Maricopa and Pinal Counties from being flooded, and protect structures such as the CAP canal, Williams Gateway Airport, and the Loop 202 San Tan Freeway.

The Arizona Department of Water Resources recently reclassified the PVR FRSs as high hazard potential, medium size structures. The District prepared a Final Failure Mode Analysis Report, Structures Assessment Program Phase I (FFMA), in July 2002, that identified defects in the structures due to the age of the structures, proximity to fissures, subsidence of the area and cracking caused by drying shrinkage. The FFMA recommended rehabilitation of the structures which could include structural improvements to the dam or replacement of the dams with channels, basins or other flood control facilities.

The District has initiated a study to propose an ultimate recommended solution for the structures. Following alternative selection, the District would pursue federal cost share funding, with implementation likely occurring late in the five-year CIP.

310.01.31 – Powerline FRS Fissure Risk Zone Mitigation (310B)

Project Partner: Natural Resources Conservation Service

The District identified an earth fissure at Powerline FRS leading the Arizona Department of Water Resources to classify the dam as “unsafe, non-emergency, elevated risk.”

Appropriate reservoir storage monitoring and warning procedures have been put in place for this site-specific condition at the dam. Site-specific dam safety remedial work, otherwise known as interim dam safety measures, are required to assure the safety of the dam until its overall rehabilitation or replacement. Construction of the interim dam safety measures is in progress and is expected to be completed during FY 2012.

330.01.30 – Harquahala FRS Erosion Hazard Reduction (330A)

Project Partner: N/A

Harquahala FRS is a compact earth-fill dam which detains floodwater from the southwest side of the Big Horn Mountains, the Harquahala Plain and Saddle Mountain. The water is conveyed to the Harquahala Floodway and the Saddleback Diversion Channel and outfalls south at the tributary of Centennial Wash. The structure is 11.5 miles in length.

Harquahala FRS has exposed earthen slopes that will be subject to long-term erosion. This project provides a comprehensive rock mulch and hydroseed treatment for the slopes that will reduce this hazard and increase the dam's life. Project schedule will depend upon funding availability.

331.01.30 – Saddleback FRS Modifications (331A)

Project Partner: N/A

The Saddleback Flood Retarding Structure (FRS), located just south of Interstate 10, is a compact earth-fill dam which receives floodwaters discharged from the Harquahala FRS and runoff water from a more than 22-square mile drainage area. The floodwater is conveyed to the Saddleback Diversion Channel via the principal spillway and outfalls south at the tributary of Centennial Wash. The structure is 5.1 miles in length and has a height of 21 feet, with a storage capacity of 3,620-acre feet. The U.S. Soil Conservation Service, now the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), was the federal sponsor for construction. The District and the U.S. Soil Conservation Service were cost share partners on the initial construction of this structure.

Saddleback FRS has experienced the formation of numerous erosion holes and longitudinal cracking along the entire length of centerline of the dam crest. The issue cannot be addressed by normal maintenance work and has developed into a dam safety issue which is continuing to worsen over time. The District has identified a need to modify the central material zone of the dam known as the central filter and to reduce erosion through the placement of rock mulch. Design of modifications is in progress, and construction is expected to begin in FY 2013.

343.01.31 – Wickenburg Downtown Flooding Hazard Mitigation (343A)

Project Partner: Town of Wickenburg

The Wickenburg Downtown Flooding Hazard Mitigation project includes approximately 5,000 feet of channel and levee improvements to capture the floodplain associated with Sol's Wash and a tributary, Hospital Wash, and convey 100-year flows from upstream of Tegner Street to the Hassayampa River. The project provides a 100-year level of protection to portions of the Wickenburg downtown area subject to flooding and will provide flood control benefits along much of Sol's Wash within the Wickenburg town limits. It also conveys the 100-year flows to the Highway 93 Interim Bypass Bridge over Sol's Wash, allowing the Interim Bypass embankment to be constructed as a levee to contain the Hassayampa River floodplain in the area. Construction was completed in FY 2009. The project remains active pending FEMA acceptance of revised floodplain limits.

344.01.30 – Martin Acres Flood Hazard Reduction Project (344A)

Project Partner: City of Surprise

The Martin Acres subdivision has historically experienced flooding from the watercourse identified by the Wittmann Area Drainage Master Plan as Wash 3 East. This project proposes to address this hazard, likely through a combined channel and basin system. Details of a proposed solution will be identified through a design concept report, to be completed by the District in a partnership with the City of Surprise.

Project implementation will be dependent upon design concept recommendations and District and City funding availability.

350.01.30 – Cave Buttes Dam Modifications (350B)

Project Partner: N/A

Cave Buttes Dam was constructed by the District in 1980, functionally replacing the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' 1923-era Cave Creek Dam.

A substantial flood event in 1993 resulted in a significant impoundment of water behind the dam, and seepage occurred along the dam's left abutment. To prevent deterioration of embankment material from recurring seepage, the District pursued an analysis and investigation of the issue. This investigation has indicated that permanent modification to the dam is required.

Modification will likely include the construction of a gated outlet with a drainage channel and a seepage collection system at the downstream abutment contacts. Initial investigations are in progress.

350.01.31 – Cave Buttes Dam Additional Access Rights Acquisition (350A)

Project Partner: N/A

A survey of District land rights identified additional rights required for operations and maintenance access to Cave Buttes Dam.

The District intends to acquire a roadway easement from the Arizona State Land Department. Acquisition is expected to occur during FY 2012.

370.XX.X1 – New River Dam Outlet Improvements (TBD)

Project Partner: N/A

The District and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers constructed the New River Dam and associated works in 1985 as part of the New River and Phoenix City Streams Flood Control Project, providing enhanced flood protection for downstream Maricopa County residents, and the District operates and maintains the dam. Erosion related to the dam's outlet channel will potentially impact District maintenance access, and has caused outlet flow restrictions and resultant stagnant impoundments contrary to design specifications.

District engineering efforts have identified that these conditions require corrective action, including improvements to the Dam's outlet channel.

420.04.31 – Oak Street Detention Basin and Storm Drain (420D)

Project Partner: City of Mesa

The Spook Hill Area Drainage Master Plan (ADMP), completed in 2002, identified regional flood control infrastructure necessary for a 35-square-mile area located in northeast Mesa. The ADMP watershed extends from the Utery Mountains on the north and the Apache Trail on the east, to the Buckhorn-Mesa structures on the west and south.

The Oak Street Detention Basin and Storm Drain project is the third scheduled project in support of this ADMP and involves construction of a basin at Oak Street and Hawes Road, and storm drains east along Oak Street and north along Hawes Road. The project will provide protection in conjunction with drainage infrastructure constructed by the Hermosa Vista/Hawes Road and McDowell Road projects. Design is complete. Construction schedule will depend upon District and City funding availability.

420.05.31 – Ellsworth Road and McKellips Road Drainage Improvements (420E)

Project Partner: City of Mesa

The Spook Hill Area Drainage Master Plan (ADMP), completed in 2002, identified regional flood control infrastructure necessary for a 35-square-mile area located in northeast Mesa. The ADMP watershed extends from the Utery Mountains on the north and the Apache Trail on the east, to the Buckhorn-Mesa structures on the west and south.

The Ellsworth Road and McKellips Road project is the fourth scheduled project in support of this ADMP and likely will include construction of a basin at Ellsworth Road and McKellips Road, an interceptor drain east along McKellips Road, and an outlet generally along 94th Street. The basin rights-of-way are in place, owned by the City of Mesa. The project will provide protection to local, previously-developed subdivisions, where historic flooding has been noted. A design concept study is complete. The project's implementation schedule will depend upon District and City funding availability.

442.11.31 – Siphon Draw Drainage Improvements (442B)

Project Partner: City of Mesa

The Siphon Draw project is the final element of the recommended plan for the East Mesa ADMP for the area south of the Superstition Freeway and north of Warner Road.

The project includes a channel along Meridian Road that intercepts the flow entering Maricopa County from Pinal County and conveys the flow to a detention basin constructed east of Meridian Road and north of Elliot Road.

Project construction was completed during FY 2011. The project remains active as storm-related damage is addressed. Implementation of future channel phases will require additional partnering agreements and will likely accompany construction of adjoining Meridian Road segments.

450.02.32 – Rose Garden Lane Channel (450A)

Project Partner: City of Peoria

The District completed the Glendale/Peoria Area Drainage Master Plan Update Study (G/P ADMPU) in May 2001 which made several recommendations for regional drainage infrastructure to provide 100-year protection for the watershed. The Rose Garden Lane Channel is a high priority recommendation of the G/P ADMPU and ranks as a high priority flood control project for the City of Peoria. The City Council of Peoria adopted the recommendations of the G/P ADMPU in May 2001, and the Board of Directors of the District adopted the G/P ADMPU recommendations in December 2001 (Resolution FCD 2001R012).

This project involves construction of an open channel along the north side of Rose Garden Lane and a basin at the Agua Fria River outfall, and the project will provide a 100-year level of protection for an area between approximately Lake Pleasant Road and the Agua Fria River, south of Rose Garden Lane. The channel will accept and convey flows that would otherwise flow over Rose Garden Lane and overflow the Beardsley Channel.

Intergovernmental agreements between the District and the City of Peoria are in place for design and construction of the project, and the City is the lead agency for all tasks. Channel construction is complete. The basin site has been acquired, and excavation is being accomplished through a material auction at no cost to the project partners.

450.06.31 – Pinnacle Peak Rd. Drainage (99th Ave. to Agua Fria River) (450D)

Project Partner: City of Peoria

The District and the Cities of Glendale and Peoria developed the Glendale/Peoria ADMP Update (ADMPU) to identify existing and future drainage and flooding problems in the watershed, and to develop cost-effective solutions to alleviate those problems. The ADMPU recommended a number of solutions to flooding problems including a project along Pinnacle Peak Road from 87th Avenue to the Agua Fria River.

The City of Peoria is accomplishing the 100-year conveyance drainage component from 87th Avenue to 99th Avenue through development stipulations.

This project provides for drainage from 99th Avenue west to an ultimate outfall in the Agua Fria River. The project will also provide roadway improvements along this alignment to be paid for by the City of Peoria. Construction is in progress.

450.XX.X1 – 107th Ave. and Union Hills Dr. Drainage Improvements

Project Partner: City of Peoria, City of Surprise

The area downstream of 107th Avenue and Union Hills Drive has historically experienced flooding, particularly in the City of Surprise's Coyote Lakes subdivision. Existing drainage systems along Union Hills Drive are considered inadequate. The proposed project will likely include a combination of open channels and basins, along with utilization of existing drainage features, to relieve flooding in the area.

The District is completing a design concept report. Further project implementation will be dependent upon formulation of an agreement with the cities of Peoria and Surprise, and funding availability.

470.04.30 – White Tanks FRS No. 3 Modifications (470A)

Project Partner: Natural Resources Conservation Service

White Tanks FRS No.3 is ranked first in the nation by Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) under their dam rehabilitation priority ranking process. The District and NRCS have proceeded with a rehabilitation project under the "Small Watershed Rehabilitation Amendment" (Public Law 106-472), which authorizes NRCS to assist watershed project sponsors with rehabilitation of aging dams on a 65 percent federal, 35 percent local cost share basis, and NRCS is additionally providing technical assistance for the project. The District and NRCS completed the final work plan and environmental assessment in 2004 and signed an IGA for the project early in FY 2005.

In FY 2004, the District contracted an alternative evaluation and chose to modify the dam. The first phase of dam modification is complete. The first phase included plugging and abandoning existing corrugated metal pipe (CMP) outlets, construction of principal pipe outlets to replace the existing CMP outlets, and construction of a soil cement embankment section and cutoff walls in the dam's south fissure risk zone.

The second and final phase of construction is in progress and includes a new emergency spillway drop structure and non-fissure risk zone embankment segments.

470.04.32 – White Tanks FRS No. 3 Outlet Channel (470F)

Project Partner: N/A

In FY 2006, the District examined a series of alternatives associated with the rehabilitation of White Tanks FRS No.4. Project recommendations included an outlet channel from White Tanks FRS No. 3 to White Tanks FRS No.4.

This project combines soft structural channel and a box culvert along a five-mile stretch of Jackrabbit Trail to convey storm water from behind White Tanks FRS No.3 to White Tanks FRS No.4; it provides additional protection from southeasterly flows for residents east of Jackrabbit Trail. From Bethany Home Road south to Interstate 10 (I-10), the project provides a 100-year level of protection. After completion of the White Tanks FRS No.4 Outlet project, storm water conveyed by this project would subsequently be conveyed to the Gila River. Construction is expected to begin prior to FY 2012.

470.12.31 – Reems Road Channel and Basin (470C)

Project Partner: City of Surprise

This project includes a flood control channel along the west side of Reems Road from south of Waddell Road to the Dysart Drain at the Falcon Dunes Golf Course, and a 42-acre basin north of Olive Avenue, providing 100-year flood protection.

The District and the City of Surprise have geographically split project responsibilities, with the City being responsible for constructing the channel from Peoria Avenue north to Waddell Road, and the District being responsible for constructing the channel and basin south of Peoria Avenue, and also cost sharing with the City of Surprise on the box culvert to be constructed at Peoria Avenue. MCDOT agreed to cost share to extend box culverts at Olive and Butler to their ultimate length. The District also entered into cost-share agreements with two developers for extended box culverts on developer-owned property.

Portions of the City of Surprise's component of the project are in place, and the District's component of the project is substantially complete, with the exception of storm damage repairs that are in progress.

470.13.31 – Bullard Wash Phase II (470D)

Project Partner: City of Goodyear

Bullard Wash is included within the Loop 303 Corridor/White Tanks ADMP, which recommends wash improvements. Phase I of the project, from the Gila River to Lower Buckeye Road, was constructed as a District/City of Goodyear project. Phase II includes an earthen/greenbelt channel along the Bullard Wash alignment from Lower Buckeye Road to McDowell Road and a detention basin just south of McDowell Road. Landscaping and trails are anticipated along the channel alignment and within the basin.

The project will channelize the floodplain north of the Phoenix-Goodyear Airport. It will reduce the floodplain width, and protect the Phoenix-Goodyear Airport and nearby development from flooding. This stormwater would otherwise collect in streets, farm fields, and residential and commercial areas. Design of Bullard Wash from Lower Buckeye Parkway to I-10 is complete, and IGAs with the City for construction of the project are in place.

Construction timing is dependent upon the availability of District and City funding and will likely be phased, with work being completed outside the five-year CIP.

470.14.31 – Loop 303 Drainage Improvements (470E)

Project Partner: Arizona Department of Transportation

The Loop 303 Corridor/White Tanks ADMP consisted of an area drainage master plan to determine guidelines for stormwater management and structural mitigation measures for flooding in the White Tanks area. This included analysis of approximately 220 square miles of watershed, which extends from Grand Avenue south to the Gila River, and from the White Tank Mountains east to the Agua Fria River. The study identified drainage problems, updated the existing hydrology due to development and new hydrologic methodology, developed cost-effective solutions for a stormwater collection and conveyance system, and identified a preferred outfall alternative associated with State Route 303 Loop (SR-303L).

Under the District's agreement with the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT), the District will be responsible for project implementation from Van Buren Street south to the Gila River, while ADOT will be responsible for project implementation the project north of Van Buren Street to approximately Bell Road. Construction of the District's portion of the project will precede construction of SR-303L. Design is in progress.

470.15.31 – Northern Parkway Drainage Improvements (470H)

Project Partners: Maricopa County Dept. of Transportation, City of Glendale

The Maricopa County Department of Transportation (MCDOT)/Glendale Northern Parkway project constructs a drainage channel along the north side of the parkway from SR-303L to Reems Road and outlets to the existing Dysart Drain. The project serves both roadway drainage and regional flood control purposes, providing 100-year protection for local farms, future development and roadway traffic. The District intends to enter into a cost-share arrangement with MCDOT and the City of Glendale. Design and right-of-way acquisition are in progress by MCDOT, and construction is anticipated to begin in FY 2012.

480.04.32 – Sonoqui Wash Channelization (Chandler Heights to Crismon) (480C)

Project Partners: Maricopa County Dept. of Transportation, Town of Queen Creek

The Queen Creek/Sonoqui Wash Hydraulic Master Plan recommended channelization of Sonoqui Wash. The first phase of Sonoqui Wash Channelization, completed in FY 2009, included a basin at approximately Chandler Heights Road and Sossaman Road, channelization northwest to Ocotillo Road and approximately Power Road, and channelization west along the Ocotillo Road alignment to an outfall at Queen Creek Wash at Higley Road.

The second phase of Sonoqui Wash Channelization includes the segment of the existing wash southeast from Chandler Heights Road to Riggs Road, and along Riggs Road to Crismon Road. The channel will collect and convey the 100-year flow to reduce flooding hazards to property adjacent to the wash and to capture and convey sheet flow. The existing floodplain from Chandler Heights Road to Riggs Road will be contained within the channel.

Under the project cost share agreement, the District will construct improvements upstream (west and northwest) of Ellsworth Road, and the Town of Queen Creek will construct improvements downstream of Ellsworth Road. The District will additionally construct a bridge over Sonoqui Wash at Riggs Road, with bridge costs to be reimbursed by MCDOT. Construction of the District's reach of the project is in progress.

480.04.34 – Sonoqui Wash Channelization (Main Branch) (480E)

Project Partner: Pinal County

The Queen Creek / Sonoqui Wash Hydraulic Master Plan recommended channelization of Sonoqui Wash. The first phase of channelization has been completed. The third phase of Sonoqui Wash Channelization will outfall to the second phase, which is being implemented by the District in partnership with the Town of Queen Creek.

The third phase includes channelization of the main branch of Sonoqui Wash, from Empire Road at Ellsworth Road, northeast to Riggs Road at approximately Hawes Road. This section is generally located in unincorporated Maricopa County and in Pinal County. The District anticipates partnering with Pinal County to share in features that serve to both improve the project's ability to capture flows and to provide an outlet for future Pinal County improvements. The proposed channel will be designed to collect and convey the 100-year flow, remove 345 acres and 217 homes from the floodplain and provide protection to roads and other infrastructure. Design is in progress.

480.05.31 – Queen Creek Wash (Recker to Higley) (480B)

Project Partner: Town of Gilbert

The Town of Gilbert proposed improvements to Queen Creek Wash from Recker to Higley roads to complete channel improvements that have already been accomplished upstream of Recker and downstream of Higley. This project replaces the existing wash with a natural desert 100-year capacity channel.

Project design and construction are complete with the exception of landscaping, and the project is owned, operated and maintained by the Town of Gilbert. The project remains active pending future completion of landscape and aesthetic enhancements by the Town.

565.04.31 – 75th Avenue Storm Drain and Durango Regional Conveyance Channel (565A)

Project Partner: City of Phoenix

The 75th Avenue Storm Drain and Durango Regional Conveyance Channel (DRCC) was recommended by the Durango ADMP and was requested by the City of Phoenix. The project will provide an interim regional outfall channel and is the first phase of the DRCC project. Project improvements will reduce flooding hazards north of the Union Pacific Railroad and remove approximately 71 structures from an identified floodplain.

The City was the lead agency for design of both the storm drain and the DRCC and is the lead agency for storm drain construction. The District is the lead agency for construction of the first phase of the DRCC. Project construction is complete with the exception of the 75th Avenue Storm Drain crossing of the Union Pacific Railroad.

565.04.32 – Durango Regional Conveyance Channel (107th Avenue to Agua Fria River) (565B)

Project Partner: City of Avondale

The District completed the Durango Area Drainage Master Plan to develop and evaluate solutions to mitigate flooding hazards in the Durango drainage area. The study recommended a regional channel and basin in the vicinity of the Salt River Project Buckeye Feeder Canal to intercept storm water flows and provide an outfall to the Agua Fria River. The project would reduce flooding hazards and provide a 100-year outfall in the Durango drainage area.

This project constructs the portion of the recommended plan located between 107th Avenue and the Agua Fria River, and between Lower Buckeye Road and Southern Avenue. The City of Avondale submitted the project for consideration under the FY 2003 Prioritization Procedure, and the District anticipates participating in a cost-share agreement with the City. Project implementation is awaiting an IGA between the District and the City of Avondale.

565.04.33 – Durango Regional Conveyance Channel (75th Avenue to 107th Avenue) (565C)

Project Partner: City of Phoenix

The District completed the Durango Area Drainage Master Plan to develop and evaluate solutions to mitigate flooding hazards in the Durango drainage area. The study recommended a regional channel and basin in the vicinity of the Salt River Project Buckeye Feeder Canal to intercept storm water flows and provide an outfall to the Agua Fria River. The project would reduce flooding hazards and provide a 100-year outfall in the Durango drainage area.

This project constructs the portion of the recommended plan located between 75th Avenue and 107th Avenue, one-half mile north of the Broadway Road alignment. The channel was partially constructed as a series of linear retention basins by developers through efforts coordinated by the City of Phoenix. The project will construct basins along the channel alignment and box culverts connecting the linear basins. Upon completion, the project will serve to drain the area to the downstream channel in the City of Avondale. Design is in progress.

565.XX.X1 – Van Buren Street Channel (99th Avenue to Agua Fria River)

Project Partner: City of Avondale

This project, proposed by the City of Avondale, will provide a drainage outlet along Van Buren Street, conveying flows to the Agua Fria River. In addition to alleviating an existing flooding hazard at the 99th Avenue and Van Buren Street intersection, the project would provide an outlet for Avondale's future city center.

The District is completing a design concept study, as the proposed project would constitute a modification to the District's previously-completed Durango Area Drainage Master Plan. Following completion of the study, the District may negotiate agreements with the City of Avondale for implementation.

620.03.33 – Camelback Road Storm Drain (59th Avenue to 75th Avenue) (620F)

Project Partners: City of Phoenix, City of Glendale

The Camelback Road Storm Drain project, resulting from the District's Maryvale Area Drainage Master Study, collects and conveys sheet flow that has historically flooded the Maryvale neighborhood in the cities of Phoenix and Glendale.

The project consists of a trunk line in Camelback Road with capacity for a 10-year storm event and lateral storm drains aiding in collection. The storm drain ultimately conveys flows to the New River through the Bethany Home Outfall Channel. Construction is in progress.

620.03.34 – Bethany Home Road Storm Drain (79th Avenue to 59th Avenue) (620G)

Project Partner: City of Glendale

The Bethany Home Road Storm Drain project, resulting from the District's Maryvale Area Drainage Master Study, collects and conveys sheet flow that has historically flooded the Maryvale neighborhood in the city of Glendale.

The project consists of a 10-year storm drain in Bethany Home Road that ultimately conveys flows to the New River through the Bethany Home Outfall Channel.

The City of Glendale is the lead agency for project design and construction. Design of the storm drain from approximately 71st Avenue to 79th Avenue is in progress by the City of Glendale, and construction timing will be dependent upon City and District funding availability.

625.01.30 – Downtown Phoenix Drainage System (Phase I) (625J)

Project Partner: City of Phoenix

The FY 2008 Prioritization Procedure recommended this project as a component of the Downtown Phoenix Drainage Improvements Project that was concurrently being studied under the Metro ADMP. The Metro ADMP subsequently recommended the Downtown Phoenix Drainage Improvements Project as a subset of its recommended downtown alternative.

The project's design involves the installation of various drainage features, generally along 1st Avenue, from Van Buren Street to Hadley Street; along Jefferson Street from 19th Avenue to 3rd Avenue; and in the vicinity of Fillmore Street and 3rd Avenue. When combined with the complete downtown system recommended by the ADMP, this project will deliver a 10-year level of protection for the downtown area. Construction of the Fillmore Street components and of a Jefferson Street sub-phase is in progress by the City.

625.02.30 – Old Cross Cut Canal (Arizona Canal to Indian School Road) (625K)

Project Partner: City of Phoenix

Phoenix's Arcadia neighborhood has historically suffered from flooding, as flows originating from Camelback Mountain pond against the Arizona Canal, and no substantial drainage outlet existed.

This project improves the Old Cross Cut Canal earthen ditch, constructing two large diameter pipe culverts from Indian School Road to the north side of the Arizona Canal. This completes earlier downstream improvements to the Old Cross Cut Canal from Indian School Road to the Salt River. Canal improvements will allow the canal to jointly serve as an outlet for a future upstream drainage system in the Arcadia area. Construction is in progress.

625.02.32 – Arcadia Drive Storm Drain (Camelback to Lafayette) (625L)

Project Partner: City of Phoenix

The greater Arcadia Area Drainage Improvements Project, recommended by the District's Metro Area Drainage Master Plan, is being accomplished in multiple phases. The first phase includes construction of an outfall – the Old Cross Cut Canal Improvements Project. Later phases will include construction of interceptor drains, primarily in Camelback Road and Lafayette Boulevard, intended to collect flows upstream (north) of the Arizona Canal. This project will provide the outlet from Camelback Road to the Old Cross Cut Canal.

City funding for these future phases will likely require future bond elections, and schedule would be dependent on funding availability.

625.02.33 – Lafayette Interceptor Drain and Outlet (625M)

Project Partner: City of Phoenix

The greater Arcadia Area Drainage Improvements Project, recommended by the District's Metro Area Drainage Master Plan, is being accomplished in multiple phases. The first phase includes construction of an outfall – the Old Cross Cut Canal Improvements Project. Later phases will include construction of interceptor drains, primarily in Camelback Road and Lafayette Boulevard, intended to collect flows upstream (north) of the Arizona Canal.

Lafayette Boulevard improvements are in design. Construction timing will be dependent upon District and City funding availability.

698.10.30 – East Maricopa Floodway Maintenance Road Paving (698A)

Project Partner: N/A

Flood control facilities operated and maintained by the District were commonly built in the past with unpaved dirt maintenance roads. District maintenance activities require the use of these roads, potentially adversely impacting air quality.

This project includes chip-seal improvements to the unpaved East Maricopa Floodway (EMF) maintenance roads. The U.S. Soil Conservation Service (now Natural Resources Conservation Service) completed the EMF in 1989 in partnership with the District and others. This 27-mile long earthen channel runs parallel to the Roosevelt Water Conservation District canal from north of Brown Road to Hunt Highway, and continues in a southwesterly direction through the Gila River Indian Community to an outlet at the Gila River. The EMF is a principal flood control feature for the east valley, intercepting floodwater flow impacting the Buckhorn-Mesa, Apache Junction-Gilbert and Williams-Chandler watersheds. The EMF is operated and maintained by the District, with the exception of segments that run through privately owned golf courses. Maintenance road improvements in progress.

Capital Improvement Program Project Reserve (FCPR)

Project Partner: N/A

The project reserve provides contingency funding for unforeseen schedule or cost estimate changes.



Maricopa County Annual Business Strategies
FY 2012 Adopted Budget

Flood Control District

Funding/Cost Summary:

Funding Source	Previous Actuals	Projected FY 2011	Year 1 FY 2012	Year 2 FY 2013	Year 3 FY 2014	Year 4 FY 2015	Year 5 FY 2016	5-Year Total	Total Project
Flood Control District Property Tax	\$ 93,815,245	\$ 48,884,000	\$ 46,313,000	\$ 49,078,000	\$ 30,758,000	\$ 33,224,000	\$ 39,200,000	\$ 198,573,000	\$ 341,272,245
Partnership Contributions/IGA/IDA	8,424,046	9,383,000	11,637,000	3,922,000	15,242,000	11,776,000	6,800,000	49,377,000	67,184,046
Project Total	\$ 102,239,291	\$ 58,267,000	\$ 57,950,000	\$ 53,000,000	\$ 46,000,000	\$ 45,000,000	\$ 46,000,000	\$ 247,950,000	\$ 408,456,291
Cost by Sub-Project	Previous Actuals	Projected FY 2011	Year 1 FY 2012	Year 2 FY 2013	Year 3 FY 2014	Year 4 FY 2015	Year 5 FY 2016	5-Year Total	Total Project
Central Chandler Storm Drain Improvements (022.01.32 - 022A)	\$ 3,050	\$ 5,000	\$ 5,000	\$ 145,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 20,000	\$ 1,290,000	\$ 1,470,000	\$ 1,478,050
Upper Camelback Wash Improvements (027.10.32 - 027A)	43,212	305,000	3,039,000	5,334,000	10,000	-	-	8,383,000	8,731,212
Cloud Rd. & Sossaman Rd. Basin and Outlet (043.01.30 - 043A)	1,826,038	914,000	825,000	5,000	-	-	-	830,000	3,570,038
Vulcan Materials Settlement Acquisition (109.01.30 - 109B)	-	14,000	107,000	-	-	-	-	107,000	121,000
Agua Fria River Levee Safety Improvements (109.02.30 - 109A)	-	-	-	-	-	5,000	230,000	235,000	235,000
Laveen Area Conveyance Channel (117.08.31 - 117A)	1,111,506	48,000	60,000	10,000	-	-	-	70,000	1,229,506
23rd Ave. & Roeser Rd. Storm Drain and Detention Basin (117.09.31 - 117B)	184,031	53,000	5,000	-	-	-	-	5,000	242,031
27th Ave. & South Mountain Ave. Basin (117.09.32 - TBD)	-	50,000	420,000	1,420,000	1,420,000	20,000	-	3,280,000	3,330,000
43rd Ave. & Baseline Rd. Basin (117.09.33 - TBD)	-	50,000	420,000	1,550,000	585,000	20,000	-	2,575,000	2,625,000
Arizona Canal Diversion Channel Improvements (118.01.30 - 118A)	1,036,086	1,070,000	5,000	-	-	-	-	5,000	2,111,086
Bernell Channel Modifications (120.XX.X1 - TBD)	-	-	-	-	-	-	20,000	20,000	20,000
Rittenhouse Basin (121.03.32 - 121A)	8,198,701	535,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	10,000	30,000	8,763,701
Chandler Heights Basin (121.03.33 - 121B)	3,039,005	-	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	25,000	3,064,005
East Maricopa Floodway Low Flow Channel (121.XX.X1 - TBD)	-	-	-	-	-	-	50,000	50,000	50,000
Tres Rios (126.01.31 - 126A)	155,041	281,000	1,060,000	28,000	-	-	-	1,088,000	1,524,041
White Tanks FRS No.4 Outlet (201.01.31 - 201A)	2,520	551,000	394,000	2,445,000	6,230,000	10,000	-	9,079,000	9,632,520
White Tanks FRS No.4 Rehabilitation (201.02.31 - 201B)	1,711,049	1,151,000	2,151,000	566,000	10,780,000	3,550,000	50,000	17,097,000	19,959,049
McMicken Dam (202.02.31 - 202B)	523,492	20,000	50,000	1,285,000	1,140,000	2,568,000	7,250,000	12,293,000	12,836,492
Buckeye FRS No.1 Rehabilitation (207.01.31 - 207A)	368,349	735,000	990,000	2,020,000	7,620,000	5,400,000	60,000	16,090,000	17,193,349
Downtown Buckeye Regional Basin & Storm Drain (211.03.31 - 211A)	490,879	585,000	25,000	520,000	20,000	1,520,000	30,000	2,115,000	3,190,879
SR-85 Oglesby Outfall Channel (211.XX.X1 - TBD)	-	-	-	-	-	420,000	3,050,000	3,470,000	3,470,000
Watson Drainage System (211.XX.X2 - TBD)	-	-	40,000	685,000	850,000	850,000	30,000	2,455,000	2,455,000
Skyline Wash Basin and Outlet (211.XX.X3 - TBD)	-	-	40,000	800,000	50,000	600,000	30,000	1,520,000	1,520,000
Granite Reef Wash Drainage Improvements (265.01.30 - 265A)	-	40,000	50,000	540,000	540,000	5,060,000	10,100,000	16,290,000	16,330,000
Spook Hill FRS / SR-202L Modification (300.01.31 - 300A)	566,602	120,000	220,000	-	-	-	-	220,000	906,602
PVR Rehabilitation / Replacement (310.01.30 - 310A)	710,611	809,000	749,000	1,350,000	1,350,000	1,350,000	5,200,000	9,999,000	11,518,611
Powerline FRS Fissure Risk Zone Mitigation (310.01.31 - 310B)	260,656	1,561,000	3,146,000	20,000	-	-	-	3,166,000	4,987,656
Harquahala FRS Erosion Hazard Reduction (330.01.30 - TBD)	-	20,000	30,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	50,000	70,000
Saddleback FRS Modifications (331.01.30 - 331A)	153,454	203,000	641,000	2,150,000	40,000	10,000	-	2,841,000	3,197,454
Wickenburg Downtown Flooding Hazard Mitigation (343.01.31 - 343A)	10,398,773	35,000	10,000	-	-	-	-	10,000	10,443,773
Martin Acres Flood Hazard Mitigation (344.01.30 - 344A)	-	40,000	190,000	460,000	980,000	20,000	4,150,000	5,800,000	5,840,000
Cave Buttes Dam Modifications (350.01.30 - 350B)	67,543	591,000	300,000	260,000	535,000	180,000	5,295,000	6,570,000	7,228,543
Cave Buttes Access Rights Acquisition (350.01.31 - 350A)	747,125	30,000	170,000	-	-	-	-	170,000	947,125
New River Dam Outlet Improvements (370.XX.X1 - TBD)	-	70,000	840,000	20,000	-	-	-	860,000	930,000
Oak St. Detention Basin and Storm Drain (420.04.31 - 420D)	207,671	349,000	125,000	1,960,000	1,930,000	40,000	-	4,055,000	4,611,671
Ellsworth Rd. & McKellips Rd. Drainage System (420.05.31 - 420E)	118,765	10,000	435,000	235,000	1,100,000	3,150,000	-	4,920,000	5,048,765
Rose Garden Lane Channel (450.02.32 - 450A)	4,327,609	5,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	-	-	30,000	4,362,609
Pinnacle Peak Rd. Channel (99th Ave. to Agua Fria River) (450.06.31 - 450D)	1,389,599	3,776,000	805,000	-	-	-	-	805,000	5,970,599
107th Ave. & Union Hills Dr. Drainage Improvements (450.XX.X1 - TBD)	-	-	10,000	900,000	1,060,000	6,150,000	2,100,000	10,220,000	10,220,000
White Tanks FRS No.3 Modifications (470.04.30 - 470A)	16,946,273	8,908,000	173,000	20,000	-	-	-	193,000	26,047,273
White Tanks FRS No.3 Outlet Channel (470.04.32 - 470F)	6,655,304	1,729,000	14,765,000	1,704,000	-	-	-	16,469,000	24,853,304
Reems Road Channel and Basin (470.12.31 - 470C)	10,784,779	1,616,000	20,000	-	-	-	-	20,000	12,420,779
Bullard Wash (Phase II) (470.13.31 - 470D)	86,930	9,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	20,000	60,000	155,930
Loop 303 Drainage Improvements (470.14.31 - 470E)	6,081,216	1,913,000	3,484,000	8,400,000	5,200,000	40,000	-	17,124,000	25,118,216
Northern Parkway Drainage Improvements (470.15.31 - 470H)	23,297	775,000	2,550,000	1,550,000	-	-	-	4,100,000	4,898,297
Sonoqui Wash Channelization (Chandler Heights to Crismon) (480.04.32 - 480C)	2,008,616	8,055,000	9,589,000	120,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	9,739,000	19,802,616
Sonoqui Wash Channelization (Main Branch) (480.04.34 - 480E)	559,286	2,505,000	1,188,000	5,205,000	50,000	7,190,000	40,000	13,673,000	16,737,286
Queen Creek Wash (Recker to Higley) (480.05.31 - 480B)	450,791	1,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	25,000	476,791
75th Ave. Storm Drain and DRCC Phase I (565.04.31 - 565A)	14,642,157	369,000	10,000	-	-	-	-	10,000	15,021,157
DRCC (107th Ave. to Agua Fria) (565.04.32 - 565B)	79,555	15,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	30,000	70,000	164,555
DRCC (107th Ave. to 107th Ave.) (565.04.33 - 565C)	3,390,982	1,787,000	2,995,000	11,125,000	2,000,000	10,000	10,000	16,140,000	21,317,982
Van Buren St. Channel (99th Ave. to Agua Fria River) (565.XX.X1 - TBD)	-	-	-	-	-	-	30,000	30,000	30,000
Camelback Rd. Storm Drain (59th Ave. to 75th Ave.) (620.03.33 - 620F)	1,564,305	8,132,000	1,582,000	-	-	-	-	1,582,000	11,278,305
Bethany Home Rd. Storm Drain (79th Ave. to 59th Ave.) (620.03.34 - 620G)	2,269	10,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	20,000	1,050,000	1,085,000	1,097,269
Downtown Phoenix Drainage System (Phase I) (625.01.30 - 625J)	1,048,937	1,334,000	1,423,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	1,800,000	3,238,000	5,620,937
Old Cross Cut Canal (Arizona Canal to Indian School Rd.) (625.02.30 - 625K)	273,226	2,311,000	20,000	-	-	-	-	20,000	2,604,226
Arcadia Drive Storm Drain (Camelback Rd. to Lafayette Blvd.) (625.02.32 - 625L)	-	-	-	-	-	435,000	2,125,000	2,560,000	2,560,000
Lafayette Interceptor Drain & Outlet (625.02.33 - 625M)	-	422,000	619,000	50,000	2,320,000	4,240,000	-	7,229,000	7,651,000
East Maricopa Floodway Maintenance Road Paving (698.10.30 - 698A)	-	521,000	20,000	-	-	-	-	20,000	541,000
Budget Lineholder: FY2011 Projects Not in FY 2012-2016 CIP	-	531,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	531,000
Flood Control Project Reserve (FCPR)	-	3,298,000	2,105,000	58,000	110,000	2,067,000	1,925,000	6,265,000	9,563,000
Project Total	\$ 102,239,291	\$ 58,267,000	\$ 57,950,000	\$ 53,000,000	\$ 46,000,000	\$ 45,000,000	\$ 46,000,000	\$ 247,950,000	\$ 408,456,291

Operating Cost Summary:

Not applicable.

Flood Control District Small Projects Assistance Program

Managing Department: Flood Control District
Project Partners: See Project Descriptions

Scheduled Completion Dates:

Improvement	District	Scheduled Completion
Unallocated Small Project Assistance Program Projects	All	N/A
6th Street and Western Avenue Drainage Improvements	5	FY 2012
Cave Creek Flood Hazard Reduction	2	FY 2012
Hibbert and 1st Street Drainage Improvements	2	FY 2012
Mesa Vista Drainage Improvements	1	FY 2012
525 East Mountain View Road Drainage Improvements	3	FY 2012
1525 West Helena Drive Drainage Improvements	3	FY 2012
Bethany Home Road and 5th Avenue Drainage Improvements	3	FY 2012
69th Place and Avalon Drive Drainage Improvements	3	FY 2012
69th Place and Windsor Avenue Drainage Improvements	3	FY 2012
3201 North 66th Street Drainage Improvements	3	FY 2012

Purpose Statement:

The Small Projects Assistance Program provides limited District funding for local drainage improvements that reduce flood flows where realized property flooding has historically occurred.

Project Descriptions:

699.XX.X1 – Unallocated Small Project Assistance Program Projects

Project Partner: N/A

Using objective criteria, the Small Project Assistance Program evaluates new projects annually. This line item provides funding for future (indeterminate) projects.

699.12.30 – 6th Street and Western Avenue Drainage Improvements

Project Partner: City of Avondale

The City of Avondale proposed this project for the District's evaluation under the District's Small Project Assistance Program for FY 2012. The project was recommended, scoring tenth among ten submittals by various municipalities. The project will reduce flows that have caused documented historic flooding of two properties and will reduce flows that have caused roadway or access closures in the vicinity of the project.

699.12.31 – Cave Creek Flood Hazard Reduction

Project Partner: Town of Cave Creek

The Town of Cave Creek proposed this project for the District's evaluation under the District's Small Project Assistance Program for FY 2012. The project was recommended, scoring fourth among ten

submittals by various municipalities. The project will reduce a documented flooding/erosion hazard that has historically impacted seven residential properties.

699.12.32 – Hibbert and 1st Street Drainage Improvements

Project Partner: City of Mesa

The City proposed this project for the District's evaluation under the District's Small Project Assistance Program for FY 2012. The project was recommended, scoring second among ten submittals by various municipalities. The project will reduce flows that have caused documented historic flooding of three residential properties and will reduce flows that have caused roadway or access closures in the vicinity of the project.

699.12.33 – Mesa Vista Drainage Improvements

Project Partner: City of Mesa

The City proposed this project for the District's evaluation under the District's Small Project Assistance Program for FY 2012. The project was recommended, scoring eighth among ten submittals by various municipalities. The project will reduce flows that have caused documented historic flooding of two residential properties and will reduce flows that have caused roadway or access closures in the vicinity of the project.

699.12.34 – 525 East Mountain View Road Drainage Improvements

Project Partner: City of Phoenix

The City proposed this project for the District's evaluation under the District's Small Project Assistance Program for FY 2012. The project was recommended, scoring sixth among ten submittals by various municipalities. The project will reduce flows that have caused documented historic flooding of three residential properties and will reduce flows that have caused roadway or access closures in the vicinity of the project.

699.12.35 – 1525 West Helena Drive Drainage Improvements

Project Partner: City of Phoenix

The City proposed this project for the District's evaluation under the District's Small Project Assistance Program for FY 2012. The project was recommended, scoring ninth among ten submittals by various municipalities. The project will reduce flows that have caused documented historic flooding of two residential properties and will reduce flows that have caused roadway or access closures in the vicinity of the project.

699.12.36 – Bethany Home Road and 5th Avenue Drainage Improvements

Project Partner: City of Phoenix

The City proposed this project for the District's evaluation under the District's Small Project Assistance Program for FY 2012. The project was recommended, scoring seventh among ten submittals by various municipalities. The project will reduce flows that have caused documented historic flooding of seven properties and will reduce flows that have caused roadway or access closures in the vicinity of the project.

699.12.37 – 69th Place and Avalon Drive Drainage Improvements

Project Partner: City of Scottsdale

The City proposed this project for the District's evaluation under the District's Small Project Assistance Program for FY 2012. The project was recommended, scoring first among ten submittals by various municipalities. The project will reduce flows that have caused documented historic flooding of four residential properties (including two multifamily units) and will reduce flows that have caused roadway or access closures in the vicinity of the project.

699.12.38 – 69th Place and Windsor Avenue Drainage Improvements

Project Partner: City of Scottsdale

The City proposed this project for the District's evaluation under the District's Small Project Assistance Program for FY 2012. The project was recommended, scoring third among ten submittals by various municipalities. The project will reduce flows that have caused documented historic flooding of two residential properties and will reduce flows that have caused roadway or access closures in the vicinity of the project.

699.12.39 – 3201 North 66th Street Drainage Improvements

Project Partner: City of Scottsdale

The City proposed this project for the District's evaluation under the District's Small Project Assistance Program for FY 2012. The project was recommended, scoring fifth among ten submittals by various municipalities. The project will reduce flows that have caused documented historic flooding of two residential properties (both multifamily units) and will reduce flows that have caused roadway or access closures in the vicinity of the project.

Funding/Cost Summary:

Funding Source	Previous Actuals	Projected FY 2011	Year 1 FY 2012	Year 2 FY 2013	Year 3 FY 2014	Year 4 FY 2015	Year 5 FY 2016	5-Year Total	Total Project
Flood Control District Property Tax	\$ -	\$ 1,505,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 11,505,000
Project Total	\$ -	\$ 1,505,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 11,505,000
Cost by Sub-Project	Previous Actuals	Projected FY 2011	Year 1 FY 2012	Year 2 FY 2013	Year 3 FY 2014	Year 4 FY 2015	Year 5 FY 2016	5-Year Total	Total Project
Small Projects Assistance Program (Unallocated) (699.XX.X1 - N/A)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 106,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 8,106,000	\$ 8,106,000
Budget Lineholder: FY2011 Projects Not in FY 2012-2016 CIP	-	1,505,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,505,000
6th St. and Western Ave. Drainage Improvements (699.12.30 - TBD)	-	-	190,000	-	-	-	-	190,000	190,000
Cave Creek Flood Hazard Reduction (699.12.31 - TBD)	-	-	252,000	-	-	-	-	252,000	252,000
Hibbert and 1st Street Drainage Improvements (699.12.32 - TBD)	-	-	252,000	-	-	-	-	252,000	252,000
Mesa Vista Drainage Improvements (699.12.33 - TBD)	-	-	250,000	-	-	-	-	250,000	250,000
525 East Mountain View Rd. Drainage Improvements (699.12.34 - TBD)	-	-	252,000	-	-	-	-	252,000	252,000
1525 West Helena Dr. Drainage Improvements (699.12.35 - TBD)	-	-	252,000	-	-	-	-	252,000	252,000
Bethany Home Rd. and 5th Ave. Drainage Improvements (699.12.36 - TBD)	-	-	227,000	-	-	-	-	227,000	227,000
69th Pl. and Avalon Dr. Drainage Improvements (699.12.37 - TBD)	-	-	152,000	-	-	-	-	152,000	152,000
69th Pl. and Windsor Ave. Drainage Improvements (699.12.38 - TBD)	-	-	42,000	-	-	-	-	42,000	42,000
3201 N. 66th St. Drainage Improvements (699.12.39 - TBD)	-	-	25,000	-	-	-	-	25,000	25,000
Project Total	\$ -	\$ 1,505,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 11,505,000

Operating Cost Summary:

Not applicable.

Flood Control District Floodprone Properties Assistance Program

Managing Department: Flood Control District

Project Partners: None

Scheduled Completion Dates:

Improvement	District	Scheduled Completion
Unallocated Floodprone Properties Acquisitions	All	N/A
Bible (502-36-101Q)	4	FY 2012

Purpose Statement:

The Floodprone Properties Assistance Program provides limited District funding for acquisition and demolition of floodprone homes that cannot feasibly or cost-effectively be protected by structural projects.

Project Descriptions:

700.XX.X1 – Unallocated Small Project Assistance Program Projects

Using objective criteria, the Floodprone Properties Assistance Program evaluates new projects annually. This line item provides funding for future (indeterminate) projects.

700.10.32 – Bible (502-36-101Q)

The Bible property was recommended for acquisition/demolition under the FY 2010 Floodprone Properties Assistance Program evaluation process. The property has been acquired, and demolition and relocation are anticipated to be completed by FY 2012.

Funding/Cost Summary:

Funding Source	Previous Actuals	Projected FY 2011	Year 1 FY 2012	Year 2 FY 2013	Year 3 FY 2014	Year 4 FY 2015	Year 5 FY 2016	5-Year Total	Total Project
Flood Control District Property Tax	\$ 155,800	\$ 228,000	\$ 50,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 50,000	\$ 433,800
Project Total	\$ 155,800	\$ 228,000	\$ 50,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 50,000	\$ 433,800
Cost by Sub-Project	Previous Actuals	Projected FY 2011	Year 1 FY 2012	Year 2 FY 2013	Year 3 FY 2014	Year 4 FY 2015	Year 5 FY 2016	5-Year Total	Total Project
Floodprone Properties Acquisition (Unallocated) (700.XX.X1 - N/A)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Budget Lineholder: FY2011 Projects Not in FY 2012-2016 CIP	151,572	62,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	213,572
FPAP: Bible (502-36-101Q) (700.10.32 - 700K)	4,228	166,000	50,000	-	-	-	-	50,000	220,228
Project Total	\$ 155,800	\$ 228,000	\$ 50,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 50,000	\$ 433,800

Operating Cost Summary:

Not applicable.



Library District

Motion

Approve the Library District FY 2012 Budget in the amount of \$24,564,950 by total appropriation for each fund and function for the Library District.

Also, pursuant to A.R.S. §48-252, submit a copy of the Library District's FY 2012 Final Budget to the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors and the Maricopa County Treasurer.




Library District Transmittal Letter

To: Andrew Kunasek Chairman, District 3
Fulton Brock, District 1
Don Stapley, District 2
Max W. Wilson, District 4
Mary Rose Wilcox, District 5

The Library District revenue budget for FY 2012 is \$23,156,214 and expenditure budget for FY 2012 is \$24,564,950. The tax levy will decrease from FY 2011 to FY 2012 from \$20,479,676 to \$19,070,066 based on the median residential owner's tax levy remaining constant. The tax rate will increase from \$0.0412 to \$0.0492 per \$100 of assessed value.

The White Tank Library opened in FY 2011. It is a state of the art facility built in partnership with the Maricopa County Parks and Recreation Department. It is designed to be extremely environmentally friendly, from the exterior that blends with the surrounding park, to the lighting and power systems that have earned the building a Platinum Certification in accordance with rating standards recognized by the Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) with Net Zero Pollution. The new library was the first public library in Arizona to get that designation, and only the fourth in the nation.



Use of the District library facilities continues to grow for traditional services as well as electronic services. Freegal service allows the library user to download music. Overdrive service allows for downloadable books. The District is working on providing downloadable movies as well.

The FY 2012 budget also includes onetime expenditure authority that will allow the District to convert the last seven branches to the Deweyless system.

I wish to offer my appreciation to the Board of Directors for their support and guidance during the budget development process. I believe this budget is sustainable, responsible, and aligns with the District's mission.

Sincerely,

David R. Smith, County Manager



Library District

Analysis by Carmine L. Davis, Management and Budget Analyst

Mission

The Mission of the Maricopa County Library District is to provide access to a wealth of informational and recreational resources for people of all ages and backgrounds so that they may have the opportunity to expand their horizons through reading and learning.

Vision

Maricopa County Library District's vision is to exceed customer expectations by giving our best and transforming ourselves daily through innovation and relationship building.

Strategic Goals

Citizen Satisfaction

Annually, by June 30 of each year, achieve and maintain customer satisfaction with the library's collection of books and other materials at 90%.

Status: Customer satisfaction was 87.3% in FY 2010 with the library's collection of books and other materials. The FY 2011 Customer Satisfaction Survey will commence in April 2011.

Individual Empowerment

Promote, expand, and improve County-sponsored programs and activities for young people in Maricopa County to help them build their skills, develop a sense of civic involvement in the community, and successfully complete their education.

Status: Through February 2011, 1,158 Youth Programs have been presented to 36,638 children. The Summer Reading Program in 2010 saw 29,708 children and teens registered. Pre-readers (0-5) had a 47.4% completion rate, kids (6-11) had a 43.6% completion rate and teens (12-18) had a 48% completion rate.

Department Specific

By December 31, 2010, have a new contract in place that includes the ability to add new formats and vendors.

Status: As of February 2011, the procurement of contract is complete, but is pending Board approval.

Budget Summary



Consolidated Sources, Uses and Fund Balance by Fund Type

	SPECIAL REVENUE	DEBT SERVICE	CAPITAL PROJECTS	SUBTOTAL	ELIMINATIONS	TOTAL
BEGINNING FUND BALANCE	\$ 15,523,850	\$ -	\$ 692,024	\$ 16,215,874	\$ -	\$ 16,215,874
SOURCES OF FUNDS						
OPERATING						
PROPERTY TAXES	18,879,365	-	-	18,879,365	-	18,879,365
PAYMENTS IN LIEU OF TAXES	320,352	-	-	320,352	-	320,352
INTERGOV CHARGES FOR SERVICES	2,945,144	-	-	2,945,144	-	2,945,144
OTHER CHARGES FOR SERVICES	23,629	-	-	23,629	-	23,629
FINES & FORFEITS	723,750	-	-	723,750	-	723,750
INTEREST EARNINGS	50,000	-	-	50,000	-	50,000
MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE	130,410	-	-	130,410	-	130,410
TOTAL OPERATING SOURCES	\$ 23,072,650	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 23,072,650	\$ -	\$ 23,072,650
NON-RECURRING						
GRANTS	43,564	-	-	43,564	-	43,564
MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE	40,000	-	-	40,000	-	40,000
TOTAL NON-RECURRING SOURCES	83,564	-	-	83,564	-	83,564
TOTAL SOURCES	\$ 23,156,214	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 23,156,214	\$ -	\$ 23,156,214
USES OF FUNDS						
OPERATING						
PERSONAL SERVICES	\$ 11,283,528	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 11,283,528	\$ -	\$ 11,283,528
SUPPLIES	5,210,159	-	-	5,210,159	-	5,210,159
SERVICES	5,556,699	-	-	5,556,699	-	5,556,699
TOTAL OPERATING USES	\$ 22,050,386	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 22,050,386	\$ -	\$ 22,050,386
NON-RECURRING						
SUPPLIES	1,560,000	-	-	1,560,000	-	1,560,000
SERVICES	576,564	-	-	576,564	-	576,564
CAPITAL	378,000	-	-	378,000	-	378,000
TOTAL NON-RECURRING USES	2,514,564	-	-	2,514,564	-	2,514,564
TOTAL USES	\$ 24,564,950	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 24,564,950	\$ -	\$ 24,564,950
STRUCTURAL BALANCE	\$ 1,022,264	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,022,264	\$ -	\$ 1,022,264
ENDING FUND BALANCE:						
RESTRICTED	\$ 14,115,114	\$ -	\$ 692,024	\$ 14,807,138	\$ -	\$ 14,807,138

Appropriated Expenditures and Other Uses by Department, Fund and Function Class

		FY 2011 ADOPTED	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2012 ADOPTED	(INC.)/DEC FROM REV.
650	LIBRARY DISTRICT				
244	LIBRARY DISTRICT				
	OPERATING	\$ 19,176,257	\$ 19,176,257	\$ 19,401,590	\$ (225,333)
	NON RECURRING NON PROJECT	368,582	377,282	2,398,000	(2,020,718)
	All Functions	\$ 19,544,839	\$ 19,553,539	\$ 21,799,590	\$ (2,246,051)
242	LIBRARY DISTRICT GRANTS				
	NON RECURRING NON PROJECT	\$ -	\$ 115,000	\$ 83,564	\$ 31,436
246	LIBRARY INTERGOVERNMENTAL				
	OPERATING	\$ 2,735,160	\$ 2,735,160	\$ 2,648,796	\$ 86,364
465	LIBRARY DIST CAP IMPROVEMENT				
	LIBRARY DISTRICT TECH PHASE II	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000	\$ -	\$ 500,000
	LIBRARY BLDG WHITE TANK	5,540,000	5,540,000	-	5,540,000
	All Functions	\$ 6,040,000	\$ 6,040,000	\$ -	\$ 6,040,000
900	ELIMINATIONS				
	OPERATING	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	NON RECURRING NON PROJECT	(231,582)	(231,582)	-	(231,582)
	All Functions	\$ (231,582)	\$ (231,582)	\$ -	\$ (231,582)
	TOTAL LIBRARY DISTRICT	\$ 28,088,417	\$ 28,212,117	\$ 24,564,950	\$ 3,647,167

Sources and Uses of Funds



Sources and Uses by Program and Activity

PROGRAM / ACTIVITY	FY 2010 ACTUAL	FY 2011 ADOPTED	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2011 FORECAST	FY 2012 ADOPTED	REVISED VS ADOPTED VAR	%
SOURCES							
MAPC - MATERIALS PROGS AND OUTREACH	\$ 3,967,148	\$ 4,504,728	\$ 4,628,428	\$ 5,074,292	\$ 3,882,868	\$ (745,560)	-16.1%
65PL - PUBLIC LIBRARY SERVICE	\$ 3,967,148	\$ 4,504,728	\$ 4,628,428	\$ 5,074,292	\$ 3,882,868	\$ (745,560)	-16.1%
FSAC - FINANCIAL SERVICES	\$ 51	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 50,000	\$ -	0.0%
ODIR - EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT	52,847	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
99AS - ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES	\$ 52,898	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 50,000	\$ -	0.0%
GGOV - GENERAL GOVERNMENT	\$ 16,502,606	\$ 20,573,091	\$ 20,573,091	\$ 20,629,209	\$ 19,199,717	\$ (1,373,374)	-6.7%
99GV - GENERAL GOVERNMENT	\$ 16,502,606	\$ 20,573,091	\$ 20,573,091	\$ 20,629,209	\$ 19,199,717	\$ (1,373,374)	-6.7%
BUAS - BUSINESS APPLICATION DEV SUPP	\$ 83,510	\$ 12,600	\$ 12,600	\$ -	\$ 23,629	\$ 11,029	87.5%
99IT - INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	\$ 83,510	\$ 12,600	\$ 12,600	\$ -	\$ 23,629	\$ 11,029	87.5%
TOTAL PROGRAMS	\$ 20,606,162	\$ 25,140,419	\$ 25,264,119	\$ 25,728,501	\$ 23,156,214	\$ (2,107,905)	-8.3%
USES							
MAPC - MATERIALS PROGS AND OUTREACH	\$ 22,348,277	\$ 23,245,697	\$ 23,369,404	\$ 22,380,363	\$ 19,693,447	\$ 3,675,957	15.7%
65PL - PUBLIC LIBRARY SERVICE	\$ 22,348,277	\$ 23,245,697	\$ 23,369,404	\$ 22,380,363	\$ 19,693,447	\$ 3,675,957	15.7%
BDGT - BUDGETING	\$ 122,614	\$ 125,126	\$ 125,125	\$ 124,990	\$ 127,082	\$ (1,957)	-1.6%
FSAC - FINANCIAL SERVICES	319,874	476,548	476,547	361,026	467,850	8,697	1.8%
HRAC - HUMAN RESOURCES	225,717	340,543	340,542	269,103	326,718	13,824	4.1%
ODIR - EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT	1,009,842	902,841	902,838	870,809	869,524	33,314	3.7%
RMGT - RISK MANAGEMENT	-	35,654	35,654	11,886	-	35,654	100.0%
99AS - ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES	\$ 1,678,047	\$ 1,880,712	\$ 1,880,706	\$ 1,637,814	\$ 1,791,174	\$ 89,532	4.8%
CSCA - CENTRAL SERVICE COST ALLOC	\$ 857,945	\$ 827,326	\$ 827,326	\$ 827,324	\$ 914,342	\$ (87,016)	-10.5%
GGOV - GENERAL GOVERNMENT	(4,251,154)	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
ISFC - INTERNAL SERVICE FUND CHARGES	35,652	-	-	-	41,578	(41,578)	N/A
99GV - GENERAL GOVERNMENT	\$ (3,357,557)	\$ 827,326	\$ 827,326	\$ 827,324	\$ 955,920	\$ (128,594)	-15.5%
BUAS - BUSINESS APPLICATION DEV SUPP	\$ 307,520	\$ 397,929	\$ 397,929	\$ 493,413	\$ 461,497	\$ (63,568)	-16.0%
DACR - DATA CENTER	165,735	752,947	752,946	517,241	767,997	(15,051)	-2.0%
DESK - DESKTOP SUPPORT	360,734	553,351	553,351	501,348	458,576	94,775	17.1%
VANS - INFRASTRUCTURE NETWORK SVCS	216,312	430,455	430,455	392,869	436,339	(5,884)	-1.4%
99IT - INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	\$ 1,050,301	\$ 2,134,682	\$ 2,134,681	\$ 1,904,871	\$ 2,124,409	\$ 10,272	0.5%
TOTAL PROGRAMS	\$ 21,719,068	\$ 28,088,417	\$ 28,212,117	\$ 26,750,372	\$ 24,564,950	\$ 3,647,167	12.9%

Sources and Uses by Category

CATEGORY	FY 2010 ACTUAL	FY 2011 ADOPTED	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2011 FORECAST	FY 2012 ADOPTED	REVISED VS ADOPTED VAR	%
TAXES							
0601 - PROPERTY TAXES	\$ 20,286,084	\$ 20,263,686	\$ 20,263,686	\$ 20,263,686	\$ 18,879,365	\$ (1,384,321)	-6.8%
SUBTOTAL	\$ 20,286,084	\$ 20,263,686	\$ 20,263,686	\$ 20,263,686	\$ 18,879,365	\$ (1,384,321)	-6.8%
INTERGOVERNMENTAL							
0615 - GRANTS	\$ 50,000	\$ 540,000	\$ 615,000	\$ 615,000	\$ 43,564	\$ (571,436)	-92.9%
0621 - PAYMENTS IN LIEU OF TAXES	226,004	269,405	269,405	274,312	320,352	50,947	18.9%
SUBTOTAL	\$ 276,004	\$ 809,405	\$ 884,405	\$ 889,312	\$ 363,916	\$ (520,489)	-58.9%
CHARGES FOR SERVICE							
0634 - INTERGOV CHARGES FOR SERVICES	\$ 2,574,722	\$ 3,052,843	\$ 3,052,843	\$ 3,288,952	\$ 2,945,144	\$ (107,699)	-3.5%
0635 - OTHER CHARGES FOR SERVICES	71,510	-	-	-	23,629	23,629	N/A
SUBTOTAL	\$ 2,646,232	\$ 3,052,843	\$ 3,052,843	\$ 3,288,952	\$ 2,968,773	\$ (84,070)	-2.8%
FINES & FOREFEITS							
0637 - FINES & FORFEITS	\$ 805,020	\$ 719,350	\$ 719,350	\$ 729,279	\$ 723,750	\$ 4,400	0.6%
SUBTOTAL	\$ 805,020	\$ 719,350	\$ 719,350	\$ 729,279	\$ 723,750	\$ 4,400	0.6%
MISCELLANEOUS							
0645 - INTEREST EARNINGS	\$ 241,723	\$ 90,000	\$ 90,000	\$ 116,211	\$ 50,000	\$ (40,000)	-44.4%
0650 - MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE	602,253	205,135	253,835	441,061	170,410	(83,425)	-32.9%
SUBTOTAL	\$ 843,976	\$ 295,135	\$ 343,835	\$ 557,272	\$ 220,410	\$ (123,425)	-35.9%
ALL REVENUES	\$ 24,857,316	\$ 25,140,419	\$ 25,264,119	\$ 25,728,501	\$ 23,156,214	\$ (2,107,905)	-8.3%
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES							
0680 - TRANSFERS IN	\$ (4,251,154)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	N/A
ALL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES	\$ (4,251,154)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	N/A
TOTAL SOURCES	\$ 20,606,162	\$ 25,140,419	\$ 25,264,119	\$ 25,728,501	\$ 23,156,214	\$ (2,107,905)	-8.3%

Maricopa County Annual Business Strategies
FY 2012 Adopted Budget

Library District

Sources and Uses by Category (continued)

CATEGORY	FY 2010 ACTUAL	FY 2011 ADOPTED	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2011 FORECAST	FY 2012 ADOPTED	REVISED VS ADOPTED VAR	%
PERSONAL SERVICES							
0701 - REGULAR PAY	\$ 7,273,192	\$ 7,351,979	\$ 7,351,979	\$ 7,147,112	\$ 7,321,522	\$ 30,457	0.4%
0705 - TEMPORARY PAY	796,406	1,109,975	1,109,975	972,288	965,325	144,650	13.0%
0710 - OVERTIME	(92)	-	-	4,372	-	-	N/A
0750 - FRINGE BENEFITS	2,423,014	2,578,261	2,578,261	2,568,069	2,941,506	(363,245)	-14.1%
0790 - OTHER PERSONNEL SERVICES	26,730	44,806	44,806	53,848	55,175	(10,369)	-23.1%
0795 - PERSONNEL SERVICES ALLOC-OUT	(320,872)	(282,315)	(282,315)	(12,766)	(12,800)	(269,515)	-95.5%
0796 - PERSONNEL SERVICES ALLOC-IN	320,870	282,315	282,315	257,618	12,800	269,515	95.5%
SUBTOTAL	\$ 10,519,248	\$ 11,085,021	\$ 11,085,021	\$ 10,990,541	\$ 11,283,528	\$ (198,507)	-1.8%
SUPPLIES							
0801 - GENERAL SUPPLIES	\$ 4,830,817	\$ 5,842,774	\$ 5,871,474	\$ 5,704,674	\$ 5,752,159	\$ 119,315	2.0%
0803 - FUEL	18,018	18,000	18,000	18,575	21,000	(3,000)	-16.7%
0804 - NON-CAPITAL EQUIPMENT	187,680	600,000	665,000	772,724	997,000	(332,000)	-49.9%
SUBTOTAL	\$ 5,036,515	\$ 6,460,774	\$ 6,554,474	\$ 6,495,973	\$ 6,770,159	\$ (215,685)	-3.3%
SERVICES							
0812 - OTHER SERVICES	\$ 1,113,653	\$ 754,597	\$ 754,597	\$ 649,294	\$ 1,093,775	\$ (339,178)	-44.9%
0820 - RENT & OPERATING LEASES	501,333	700,110	700,110	798,795	689,449	10,661	1.5%
0825 - REPAIRS AND MAINTENANCE	726,267	669,027	699,027	666,426	743,453	(44,426)	-6.4%
0830 - INTERGOVERNMENTAL PAYMENTS	2,396,583	2,735,809	2,735,809	2,141,457	2,546,827	188,982	6.9%
0841 - TRAVEL	25,848	49,700	49,700	41,987	47,650	2,050	4.1%
0842 - EDUCATION AND TRAINING	39,015	84,800	84,800	87,480	84,800	-	0.0%
0843 - POSTAGE/FREIGHT/SHIPPING	243,075	229,300	229,300	204,874	244,913	(15,613)	-6.8%
0850 - UTILITIES	522,872	648,245	648,245	642,313	682,396	(34,151)	-5.3%
0872 - SERVICES-ALLOCATION OUT	(92,041)	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
0873 - SERVICES-ALLOCATION IN	92,041	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
SUBTOTAL	\$ 5,568,646	\$ 5,871,588	\$ 5,901,588	\$ 5,232,626	\$ 6,133,263	\$ (231,675)	-3.9%
CAPITAL							
0915 - BUILDINGS AND IMPROVEMENTS	\$ 4,411,988	\$ 3,700,000	\$ 3,700,000	\$ 3,204,305	\$ -	\$ 3,700,000	100.0%
0920 - CAPITAL EQUIPMENT	361,306	800,000	800,000	655,413	378,000	422,000	52.8%
0930 - VEHICLES & CONSTRUCTION EQUIP	-	112,000	112,000	112,000	112,000	112,000	100.0%
0950 - DEBT SERVICE	72,519	59,034	59,034	59,514	-	59,034	100.0%
SUBTOTAL	\$ 4,845,813	\$ 4,671,034	\$ 4,671,034	\$ 4,031,232	\$ 378,000	\$ 4,293,034	91.9%
ALL EXPENDITURES	\$ 25,970,222	\$ 28,088,417	\$ 28,212,117	\$ 26,750,372	\$ 24,564,950	\$ 3,647,167	12.9%
OTHER FINANCING USES							
0880 - TRANSFERS OUT	\$ (4,251,154)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	N/A
ALL OTHER FINANCING USES	\$ (4,251,154)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	N/A
TOTAL USES	\$ 21,719,068	\$ 28,088,417	\$ 28,212,117	\$ 26,750,372	\$ 24,564,950	\$ 3,647,167	12.9%

Sources and Uses by Fund and Function

FUND / FUNCTION CLASS	FY 2010 ACTUAL	FY 2011 ADOPTED	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2011 FORECAST	FY 2012 ADOPTED	REVISED VS ADOPTED VAR	%
242 LIBRARY DISTRICT GRANTS							
NON-RECURRING	\$ 50,000	\$ -	\$ 115,000	\$ 85,000	\$ 83,564	\$ (31,436)	-27.3%
FUND TOTAL SOURCES	\$ 50,000	\$ -	\$ 115,000	\$ 85,000	\$ 83,564	\$ (31,436)	-27.3%
244 LIBRARY DISTRICT							
OPERATING	\$ 21,769,802	\$ 21,750,259	\$ 21,750,259	\$ 22,257,039	\$ 20,423,854	\$ (1,326,405)	-6.1%
NON-RECURRING	-	25,000	33,700	30,699	-	(33,700)	-100.0%
FUND TOTAL SOURCES	\$ 21,769,802	\$ 21,775,259	\$ 21,783,959	\$ 22,287,738	\$ 20,423,854	\$ (1,360,105)	-6.2%
246 LIBRARY INTERGOVERNMENTAL							
OPERATING	\$ 3,530,748	\$ 2,735,160	\$ 2,735,160	\$ 2,735,160	\$ 2,648,796	\$ (86,364)	-3.2%
FUND TOTAL SOURCES	\$ 3,530,748	\$ 2,735,160	\$ 2,735,160	\$ 2,735,160	\$ 2,648,796	\$ (86,364)	-3.2%
465 LIBRARY DIST CAP IMPROVEMENT							
OPERATING	\$ 49,010	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 34,320	\$ -	\$ -	N/A
NON-RECURRING	3,708,910	861,582	861,582	817,865	-	(861,582)	-100.0%
FUND TOTAL SOURCES	\$ 3,757,920	\$ 861,582	\$ 861,582	\$ 852,185	\$ -	\$ (861,582)	-100.0%
900 ELIMINATIONS							
OPERATING	\$ (1,318,938)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	N/A
NON-RECURRING	(7,183,370)	(231,582)	(231,582)	(231,582)	-	231,582	-100.0%
FUND TOTAL SOURCES	\$ (8,502,308)	\$ (231,582)	\$ (231,582)	\$ (231,582)	\$ -	\$ 231,582	-100.0%
DEPARTMENT OPERATING TOTAL SOURCES	\$ 24,030,622	\$ 24,485,419	\$ 24,485,419	\$ 25,026,519	\$ 23,072,650	\$ (1,412,769)	-5.8%
DEPARTMENT NON-RECURRING TOTAL SOURCES	\$ (3,424,460)	\$ 655,000	\$ 778,700	\$ 701,982	\$ 83,564	\$ (695,136)	-89.3%
DEPARTMENT TOTAL SOURCES	\$ 20,606,162	\$ 25,140,419	\$ 25,264,119	\$ 25,728,501	\$ 23,156,214	\$ (2,107,905)	-8.3%

Sources and Uses by Fund and Function (continued)

FUND / FUNCTION CLASS	FY 2010 ACTUAL	FY 2011 ADOPTED	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2011 FORECAST	FY 2012 ADOPTED	REVISED VS ADOPTED VAR	%
242 LIBRARY DISTRICT GRANTS NON-RECURRING	\$ 16,380	\$ -	\$ 115,000	\$ 75,000	\$ 83,564	\$ 31,436	27.3%
FUND TOTAL USES	\$ 16,380	\$ -	\$ 115,000	\$ 75,000	\$ 83,564	\$ 31,436	27.3%
244 LIBRARY DISTRICT OPERATING	\$ 17,606,677	\$ 19,176,257	\$ 19,176,257	\$ 18,378,878	\$ 19,401,590	\$ (225,333)	-1.2%
NON-RECURRING	4,131,913	368,582	377,282	374,282	2,431,000	(2,053,718)	-544.3%
FUND TOTAL USES	\$ 21,738,590	\$ 19,544,839	\$ 19,553,539	\$ 18,753,160	\$ 21,832,590	\$ (2,279,051)	-11.7%
246 LIBRARY INTERGOVERNMENTAL OPERATING	\$ 3,060,577	\$ 2,735,160	\$ 2,735,160	\$ 2,735,160	\$ 2,648,796	\$ 86,364	3.2%
FUND TOTAL USES	\$ 3,060,577	\$ 2,735,160	\$ 2,735,160	\$ 2,735,160	\$ 2,648,796	\$ 86,364	3.2%
465 LIBRARY DIST CAP IMPROVEMENT NON-RECURRING	\$ 5,405,829	\$ 6,040,000	\$ 6,040,000	\$ 5,418,634	\$ -	\$ 6,040,000	100.0%
FUND TOTAL USES	\$ 5,405,829	\$ 6,040,000	\$ 6,040,000	\$ 5,418,634	\$ -	\$ 6,040,000	100.0%
900 ELIMINATIONS OPERATING	\$ (1,318,938)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	N/A
NON-RECURRING	(7,183,370)	(231,582)	(231,582)	(231,582)	-	(231,582)	100.0%
FUND TOTAL USES	\$ (8,502,308)	\$ (231,582)	\$ (231,582)	\$ (231,582)	\$ -	\$ (231,582)	100.0%
DEPARTMENT OPERATING TOTAL USES	\$ 19,348,316	\$ 21,911,417	\$ 21,911,417	\$ 21,114,038	\$ 22,050,386	\$ (138,969)	-0.6%
DEPARTMENT NON-RECURRING TOTAL USES	\$ 2,370,752	\$ 6,177,000	\$ 6,300,700	\$ 5,636,334	\$ 2,514,564	\$ 3,786,136	60.1%
DEPARTMENT TOTAL USES	\$ 21,719,068	\$ 28,088,417	\$ 28,212,117	\$ 26,750,372	\$ 24,564,950	\$ 3,647,167	12.9%

Fund Transfers In

Fund/Function/Transfer	FY 2011 ADOPTED	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2011 FORECAST	FY 2012 ADOPTED
CAPITAL PROJECTS	\$ 231,582	\$ 231,582	\$ 231,582	\$ -
<u>Operating</u>	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
<u>Non-Recurring</u>	\$ 231,582	\$ 231,582	\$ 231,582	\$ -
465 - LIBRARY DIST CAP IMPROVEMENT NON-RECURRING	\$ 231,582	\$ 231,582	\$ 231,582	\$ -
TOTAL BEFORE ELIMINATIONS	\$ 231,582	\$ 231,582	\$ 231,582	\$ -
<u>Operating</u>	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
<u>Non-Recurring</u>	\$ 231,582	\$ 231,582	\$ 231,582	\$ -
ELIMINATIONS	\$ (231,582)	\$ (231,582)	\$ (231,582)	\$ -
<u>Operating</u>	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
<u>Non-Recurring</u>	\$ (231,582)	\$ (231,582)	\$ (231,582)	\$ -
ALL FUNDS	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Fund Transfers Out

Fund/Function/Transfer	FY 2011 ADOPTED	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2011 FORECAST	FY 2012 ADOPTED
OTHER SPECIAL REVENUE	\$ 231,582	\$ 231,582	\$ 231,582	\$ -
<u>Operating</u>	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
<u>Non-Recurring</u>	\$ 231,582	\$ 231,582	\$ 231,582	\$ -
244 - LIBRARY DISTRICT	\$ 231,582	\$ 231,582	\$ 231,582	\$ -
NON-RECURRING	\$ 231,582	\$ 231,582	\$ 231,582	\$ -
TOTAL BEFORE ELIMINATIONS	\$ 231,582	\$ 231,582	\$ 231,582	\$ -
<u>Operating</u>	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
<u>Non-Recurring</u>	\$ 231,582	\$ 231,582	\$ 231,582	\$ -
ELIMINATIONS	\$ (231,582)	\$ (231,582)	\$ (231,582)	\$ -
<u>Operating</u>	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
<u>Non-Recurring</u>	\$ (231,582)	\$ (231,582)	\$ (231,582)	\$ -
ALL FUNDS	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Staffing by Program and Activity

PROGRAM/ACTIVITY	FY 2010 ADOPTED	FY 2011 ADOPTED	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2011 FORECAST	FY 2012 ADOPTED	REVISED TO ADOPTED VARIANCE	VAR %
ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES							
BUDGETING	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	-	0.0%
EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	-	0.0%
FINANCIAL SERVICES	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	-	0.0%
HUMAN RESOURCES	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	-	0.0%
PROGRAM TOTAL	15.00	15.00	15.00	15.00	15.00	-	0.0%
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY							
BUSINESS APPLICATION DEV SUPP	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	-	0.0%
DATA CENTER	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	-	0.0%
DESKTOP SUPPORT	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	-	0.0%
INFRASTRUCTURE NETWORK SVCS	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	-	0.0%
PROGRAM TOTAL	14.00	14.00	14.00	14.00	14.00	-	0.0%
PUBLIC LIBRARY SERVICE							
MATERIALS PROGS AND OUTREACH	138.96	142.73	142.73	140.73	141.73	(1.00)	(0.7%)
PROGRAM TOTAL	138.96	142.73	142.73	140.73	141.73	(1.00)	(0.7%)
DEPARTMENT TOTAL	167.96	171.73	171.73	169.73	170.73	(1.00)	(0.6%)

Staffing by Market Range Title

MARKET RANGE TITLE	FY 2010 ADOPTED	FY 2011 ADOPTED	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2011 FORECAST	FY 2012 ADOPTED	REVISED TO ADOPTED VARIANCE	VAR %
Accountant	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	-	0.0%
Accounting Specialist	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	-	0.0%
Communicatn Ofcr/Govt Liaison	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	-	0.0%
Database Administrator	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	-	0.0%
Deputy Director	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	-	0.0%
Director - Library	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	-	0.0%
Executive Assistant	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	-	0.0%
Financial Supervisor - Dept	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	-	0.0%
Financial Support Supv - Dept	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	-	0.0%
Human Resources Analyst	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	-	0.0%
Human Resources Specialist	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	-	0.0%
Human Resources Supervisor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	-	0.0%
IT Division Manager	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	-	0.0%
IT Senior Manager	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Librarian	30.00	32.00	32.00	31.00	31.00	(1.00)	(3.1%)
Library Administrator	-	-	-	3.00	3.00	3.00	N/A
Library Clerk	42.72	48.49	48.49	49.49	49.49	1.00	2.1%
Library Coordinator	-	-	-	12.00	12.00	12.00	N/A
Library Manager	10.00	9.00	9.00	3.00	3.00	(6.00)	(66.7%)
Library Page	1.24	1.24	1.24	1.24	1.24	-	0.0%
Library Paraprofessional	27.00	25.00	25.00	22.00	22.00	(3.00)	(12.0%)
Library Supervisor	18.00	19.00	19.00	11.00	11.00	(8.00)	(42.1%)
Library Support Services Supv	6.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	1.00	25.0%
Management Analyst	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	-	0.0%
Media Specialist	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	-	0.0%
Network Engineer	1.00	1.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	1.00	100.0%
Network Engineer - Sr/Ld	1.00	1.00	1.00	-	-	(1.00)	(100.0%)
Office Assistant	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	-	0.0%
PC/LAN Tech Support	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	-	0.0%
Planner	2.00	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Planner - Facilities	-	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	-	0.0%
Systems Admin & Analysis Supv	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	-	0.0%
Systems/Network Administrator	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	-	0.0%
Systems/Network Admin-Sr/Ld	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Web Designer/Developer	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	-	0.0%
Web Designer/Developer-Sr/Ld	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	-	0.0%
Department Total	167.96	171.73	171.73	169.73	170.73	(1.00)	(0.6%)

Staffing by Fund

DEPARTMENT/FUND	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2011	FY 2011	FY 2012	REVISED TO ADOPTED	
	ADOPTED	ADOPTED	REVISED	FORECAST	ADOPTED	VARIANCE	VAR %
244 LIBRARY DISTRICT	130.97	140.73	140.73	138.73	139.73	(1.00)	(0.7%)
246 LIBRARY INTERGOVERNMENTAL	36.99	31.00	31.00	31.00	31.00	-	0.0%
Department Total	167.96	171.73	171.73	169.73	170.73	(1.00)	(0.6%)

Programs and Activities

Public Library Service Program

The purpose of the Public Library Service Program is to provide resources, activities and skilled assistance to our customers so they can meet their needs, interests and goals.

Program Results

Measure Description	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2011	FY 2012	REV VS ADOPTED	
	ACTUAL	REVISED	FORECAST	ADOPTED	VAR	%
Percent of customers who rate overall library services as excellent	48.1%	48.1%	48.1%	48.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Percent of customers who report that the program/activity was valuable to them	99.7%	99.7%	95.0%	95.0%	(4.7%)	-4.7%
Percent of customers who report they are satisfied with the range of library programs and activities offered	91.4%	91.4%	91.4%	91.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Percent of customers who say they can find items in a timely manner	85.8%	85.8%	85.8%	85.8%	0.0%	0.0%

Activities that comprise this program include:

- Public Library Services

Public Library Services Activity

The purpose of the Public Library Services Activity is to provide skilled assistance/referral, information literacy training, resources and activities to our customers so they can get the information they want in a timely manner and that they may find enjoyment, personal development and cultural enrichment.

Mandates: This is a non-mandated Activity.

Maricopa County Annual Business Strategies
FY 2012 Adopted Budget

Library District

Measure Type	Measure Description	FY 2010 ACTUAL	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2011 FORECAST	FY 2012 ADOPTED	REV VS ADOPTED VAR	%
Result	Percent of customers who rate overall library services as excellent	48.1%	48.1%	48.1%	48.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Result	Percent of customers who report that the program/activity was valuable to them	99.7%	99.7%	95.0%	95.0%	(4.7%)	-4.7%
Result	Percent of customers who report they are satisfied with the range of library programs and activities offered	91.4%	91.4%	91.4%	91.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Result	Percent of customers who say they can find items in a timely manner	85.8%	85.8%	85.8%	85.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Output	Number of items circulated	7,474,730	8,000,000	8,000,000	8,000,000	-	0.0%
Output	Number of library cards issued	57,756	57,756	49,112	50,000	(7,756)	-13.4%
Output	Number of programs/activities offered	3,224	3,224	3,224	3,000	(224)	-6.9%
Demand	Number of items to be circulated	8,400,000	8,400,000	8,400,000	8,400,000	-	0.0%
Efficiency	Expenditure per item circulated	\$ 2.99	\$ 2.92	\$ 2.80	\$ 2.46	\$ 0.46	15.7%
Revenue							
	242 - LIBRARY DISTRICT GRANTS	\$ 50,000	\$ 115,000	\$ 85,000	\$ 83,564	\$ (31,436)	-27.3%
	244 - LIBRARY DISTRICT	988,459	1,188,268	1,654,277	1,150,508	(37,760)	-3.2%
	246 - LIBRARY INTERGOVERNMENTAL	3,530,748	2,735,160	2,735,160	2,648,796	(86,364)	-3.2%
	465 - LIBRARY DIST CAP IMPROVEMENT	3,649,095	821,582	831,437	-	(821,582)	-100.0%
	900 - ELIMINATIONS	(4,251,154)	(231,582)	(231,582)	-	231,582	-100.0%
	TOTAL SOURCES	\$ 3,967,148	\$ 4,628,428	\$ 5,074,292	\$ 3,882,868	\$ (745,560)	-16.1%
Expenditure							
	242 - LIBRARY DISTRICT GRANTS	\$ 16,380	\$ 115,000	\$ 75,000	\$ 83,564	\$ 31,436	27.3%
	244 - LIBRARY DISTRICT	18,116,645	14,710,826	14,383,151	16,961,087	(2,250,261)	-15.3%
	246 - LIBRARY INTERGOVERNMENTAL	3,060,577	2,735,160	2,735,160	2,648,796	86,364	3.2%
	465 - LIBRARY DIST CAP IMPROVEMENT	5,405,829	6,040,000	5,418,634	-	6,040,000	100.0%
	900 - ELIMINATIONS	(4,251,154)	(231,582)	(231,582)	-	(231,582)	100.0%
	TOTAL USES	\$ 22,348,277	\$ 23,369,404	\$ 22,380,363	\$ 19,693,447	\$ 3,675,957	15.7%

Activity Narrative: The Library District Fund (244) has an in expenditures increase due to one-time costs for the De-Dewey Project, materials handling equipment replacement, Polaris implementation, miscellaneous computer replacements, Microsoft 2010 Software Assurance Upgrade and website redesign software and implementation. There are no scheduled projects in FY 2012, therefore, the Library District Capital Improvement Fund (465) has no revenues or expenditures.

Revenue Sources and Variance Commentary


Property Taxes

The Library District collects property taxes on the secondary net assessed values of real and personal property. Unlike the County primary property tax, there is no constitutional limitation on growth in secondary property taxes. However, the Library District Board of Directors has chosen to impose growth limitations similar to those imposed on the primary levy in order to minimize the burden on taxpayers. As a result, beginning in FY 2006-07 the secondary levy associated with the Library District was capped at 2% annual growth on property taxed in the prior year, resulting in a reduction in the tax rate.

The schedule below lists the secondary net assessed values, tax rates, and secondary property tax levies for the last ten fiscal years, plus the assessed values and tax rates for FY 2012. The tax levy will decrease slightly from FY 2011 to FY 2012. Due to the decline in property values county-wide, the tax rate will increase from \$0.0412 to \$0.0492 per \$100 of assessed value.

Library District Preliminary Tax Levy			
Fiscal Year	Net Assessed Value (Thousands)	Tax Rate (per \$100 N.A.V.)	Tax Levy
2002	22,913,134	0.0421	9,646,430
2003	24,457,047	0.0421	10,182,607
2004	27,477,988	0.0521	14,162,234
2005	30,066,987	0.0521	15,534,579
2006	33,197,218	0.0521	17,295,751
2007	36,294,693	0.0507	18,401,410
2008	49,534,573	0.0391	19,368,018
2009	58,303,635	0.0353	20,581,183
2010	57,984,051	0.0353	20,468,370
2011	49,707,952	0.0412	20,479,676
2012	38,760,297	0.0492	19,070,066

The Board of Directors must adopt the Library District's property tax levy on or before the third Monday in August for the fiscal year that begins on the previous July 1. Real property taxes are paid in arrears in two installments, due November 1 and May 1.



The FY 2012 budget includes an estimated secondary property tax levy (excluding Salt River Project) of \$19,070,066 a slight decrease from the FY 2011 adopted levy. As indicated in the table below, secondary property tax revenue growth was tempered in the past two fiscal years and is projected to continue in future fiscal years as the result of the Board of Director's commitment to reduce property tax rates by establishing self-imposed limits on the District's property tax levy.

FY 2012 PROPERTY TAX LEVY LIBRARY DISTRICT									
Description	Net Assessed Value	Salt River Proj. Effective Net Assessed Value	Total Net Assessed Value w/SRP	Revenue from 1- cent Levy	Tax Rates	Property Tax Levy	SRP Payment in Lieu of Taxes (PILT)	Total Tax Levy & PILT	
FY 2012 Adopted	\$ 38,760,296,714	\$ 651,121,529	\$ 39,411,418,243	\$ 3,941,142	\$ 0.0492	\$ 19,070,066	\$ 320,352	\$ 19,390,418	
FY 2011 Adopted	\$ 49,707,952,123	\$ 653,895,628	\$ 50,361,847,751	\$ 5,036,185	\$ 0.0412	\$ 20,479,676	\$ 269,405	\$ 20,749,081	
FY 2010 Adopted	\$ 57,984,051,718	\$ 642,894,514	\$ 58,626,946,232	\$ 5,862,695	\$ 0.0353	\$ 20,468,370	\$ 226,942	\$ 20,695,312	

Levy Limit

FY 2012 LIBRARY DISTRICT SECONDARY PROPERTY TAX LEVY vs. SELF-IMPOSED LEVY LIMIT			
FY 2012 Adjusted Allowable Levy Limit	\$	23,256,178	
Maximum Tax Rate (per \$100 Assessed Value)		0.0600	
FY 2012 Secondary Levy (excluding SRP)	\$	19,070,066	
Secondary Tax Rate (per \$100 Assessed Value)		0.0492	
Amount Under Limit:	\$	4,186,112	18.0%
		0.0108	

FY 2012 LIBRARY DISTRICT SECONDARY PROPERTY TAX LEVY vs. "TRUTH-IN-TAXATION" LEVY			
FY 2012 "Truth-in-Taxation" Secondary Levy	\$	20,697,998	
"Truth-in-Taxation" Tax Rate (per \$100 Assessed Value)		0.0534	
FY 2012 Secondary Levy	\$	19,070,066	
Secondary Tax Rate (per \$100 Assessed Value)		0.0492	
Amount Under/(Over) "Truth-in-Taxation" Levy	\$	1,627,932	7.9%
		0.0042	
FY 2012 Median Residential Full Cash Property Value	\$	124,500	
"Truth-in-Taxation" Tax Bill on Median-Valued Home	\$	6.65	
Property Tax Bill on Median-Valued Home		6.13	
Tax Bill Savings/(Increase)	\$	0.52	7.9%

LIBRARY DISTRICT SECONDARY PROPERTY TAX LEVY FY 2011 vs. FY 2012 TAX BILL IMPACT ON MEDIAN HOME			
FY 2011:			
Median Residential Full Cash Property Value	\$	148,800	
Secondary Tax Rate (per \$100 Assessed Value)		0.0412	
Property Tax Bill	\$	6.13	
FY 2012:			
Median Residential Full Cash Property Value	\$	124,500	
Secondary Tax Rate (per \$100 Assessed Value)		0.0492	
Property Tax Bill	\$	6.13	
Tax Bill Savings/(Increase)	\$	-	0.0%

Property tax revenue is budgeted in FY 2012 based on prior years' collection trends, rather than on the actual levy amount. Each year, approximately 99.0% of the levy amount is collected. The chart below illustrates the estimated collection for FY 2012.

Property Tax Collection Analysis Library District			
FY	Levy Amount	Estimated Collections	Rate
2012	\$ 19,070,066	\$ 18,879,365	99%

Intergovernmental Revenues

Intergovernmental Revenues are amounts received by the Library District from other government or public entities, and include payments in lieu of taxes, grants, and payments required by intergovernmental agreements, (IGAs). Intergovernmental Revenues come from a variety of sources including the Federal government, local cities and the State of Arizona. Included in the intergovernmental classification are grant revenues that typically carry restrictions on how they may be expended.

Payments in Lieu of Taxes

Payments in lieu of taxes are collected from the Salt River Project (SRP) and the Federal government. Although it is a public entity, SRP estimates its net assessed value and makes payments in lieu of property taxes to each taxing jurisdiction based on its property tax rates.

SRP Payments in Fiscal Year Lieu of Taxes	
2003	186,473
2004	252,593
2005	281,442
2006	270,953
2007	246,032
2008	202,829
2009	195,719
2010	226,942
2011*	269,405
2012**	320,352
* Forecast	
** Budget	

Charges for Service


Intergovernmental Charges for Service

Intergovernmental Charges for Service include a variety of payments from other jurisdictions, usually as required by Intergovernmental Agreements (IGAs) with the District. The following table shows the projected and budgeted intergovernmental revenue, by jurisdiction.

Intergovernmental Charges for Service		
	FY 2011	FY 2012
Gilbert, Perry Branch Library	\$ 967,206	\$ 1,140,289
Surprise/Hollyhock	41,470	41,470
Deer Valley Unified School Dist (lease & library services)	31,567	31,567
Maricopa County Special Healthcare District (automation services)	12,000	-
Gilbert, Southeast Regional Library	2,000,000	1,731,818
TOTAL	\$ 3,052,243	\$ 2,945,144


Fines and Forfeits

The District collects fines according to the rates approved by the Board of Directors. The chart below illustrates the fines collected from FY 2010 and the anticipated amount for FY 2011 and the budget for FY 2012.



Miscellaneous Revenue

The Library District classifies miscellaneous revenues as any revenues that do not fall within a more specific revenue category. Examples of miscellaneous revenues include vending receipts, sales of copies, interest earnings, building rental, and donations. The chart to the right illustrates the Miscellaneous Revenues from FY 2010 through the FY 2012 budget.



Fund Balance Summary and Variance Commentary

The following schedule lists the estimated beginning fund balances, projected revenues and expenditures for the upcoming fiscal year, along with resulting estimated fund balances. "Beginning Spendable Fund Balance" represents resources accumulated within each fund as of the start of the fiscal year, based on actual and projected revenues and expenditures for prior fiscal years. For budgeting purposes, Library District fund balances are "Restricted".

Library District Grants Fund (242) Fund Balance Summary

	FY 2010 ACTUAL	FY 2011 ADOPTED	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2011 FORECAST	FY 2012 ADOPTED
Beginning Spendable Fund Balance	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 33,620	\$ 43,620
Sources:					
Non-Recurring	50,000	-	115,000	85,000	83,564
Total Sources:	\$ 50,000	\$ -	\$ 115,000	\$ 85,000	\$ 83,564
Uses:					
Non-Recurring	16,380	-	115,000	75,000	83,564
Total Uses:	\$ 16,380	\$ -	\$ 115,000	\$ 75,000	\$ 83,564
Ending Spendable Fund Balance:					
Restricted	\$ 33,620	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 43,620	\$ 43,620
Total Ending Spendable Fund Balance	\$ 33,620	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 43,620	\$ 43,620

Library District Operating Fund (244) Fund Balance Summary

	FY 2010 ACTUAL	FY 2011 ADOPTED	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2011 FORECAST	FY 2012 ADOPTED
Beginning Spendable Fund Balance	\$ 11,534,608	\$ 10,436,233	\$ 10,436,233	\$ 11,565,828	\$ 15,100,406
Sources:					
Operating	\$ 21,769,802	\$ 21,750,259	\$ 21,750,259	\$ 22,257,039	\$ 20,423,854
Non-Recurring	-	25,000	33,700	30,699	-
Total Sources:	\$ 21,769,802	\$ 21,775,259	\$ 21,783,959	\$ 22,287,738	\$ 20,423,854
Uses:					
Operating	\$ 17,606,677	\$ 19,176,257	\$ 19,176,257	\$ 18,378,878	\$ 19,401,590
Non-Recurring	4,131,913	368,582	377,282	374,282	2,431,000
Total Uses:	\$ 21,738,590	\$ 19,544,839	\$ 19,553,539	\$ 18,753,160	\$ 21,832,590
Structural Balance	\$ 4,163,125	\$ 2,574,002	\$ 2,574,002	\$ 3,878,161	\$ 1,022,264
Accounting Adjustments	\$ 8	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Ending Spendable Fund Balance:					
Restricted	\$ 11,565,828	\$ 12,666,653	\$ 12,666,653	\$ 15,100,406	\$ 13,691,670
Total Ending Spendable Fund Balance	\$ 11,565,828	\$ 12,666,653	\$ 12,666,653	\$ 15,100,406	\$ 13,691,670

Library District Intergovernmental Fund (246) Fund Balance Summary

	FY 2010 ACTUAL	FY 2011 ADOPTED	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2011 FORECAST	FY 2012 ADOPTED
Beginning Spendable Fund Balance	\$ (90,347)	\$ 865,662	\$ 865,662	\$ 379,824	\$ 379,824
Sources:					
Operating	\$ 3,530,748	\$ 2,735,160	\$ 2,735,160	\$ 2,735,160	\$ 2,648,796
Total Sources:	\$ 3,530,748	\$ 2,735,160	\$ 2,735,160	\$ 2,735,160	\$ 2,648,796
Uses:					
Operating	\$ 3,060,577	\$ 2,735,160	\$ 2,735,160	\$ 2,735,160	\$ 2,648,796
Total Uses:	\$ 3,060,577	\$ 2,735,160	\$ 2,735,160	\$ 2,735,160	\$ 2,648,796
Structural Balance	\$ 470,171	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Ending Spendable Fund Balance:					
Restricted	\$ 379,824	\$ 865,662	\$ 865,662	\$ 379,824	\$ 379,824
Total Ending Spendable Fund Balance	\$ 379,824	\$ 865,662	\$ 865,662	\$ 379,824	\$ 379,824

Library District Intergovernmental Fund revenue is received as a reimbursement, which may result in a deficit balance at the close of fiscal year-end.

Library District Capital Fund (465) Fund Balance Summary

	FY 2010 ACTUAL	FY 2011 ADOPTED	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2011 FORECAST	FY 2012 ADOPTED
Beginning Spendable Fund Balance	\$ 6,906,381	\$ 5,348,416	\$ 5,348,416	\$ 5,258,473	\$ 692,024
Sources:					
Operating	\$ 49,010	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 34,320	\$ -
Non-Recurring	3,708,910	861,582	861,582	817,865	-
Total Sources:	\$ 3,757,920	\$ 861,582	\$ 861,582	\$ 852,185	\$ -
Uses:					
Non-Recurring	5,405,829	6,040,000	6,040,000	5,418,634	-
Total Uses:	\$ 5,405,829	\$ 6,040,000	\$ 6,040,000	\$ 5,418,634	\$ -
Structural Balance	\$ 49,010	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 34,320	\$ -
Accounting Adjustments	\$ 1	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Ending Spendable Fund Balance:					
Restricted	\$ 5,258,473	\$ 169,998	\$ 169,998	\$ 692,024	\$ 692,024
Total Ending Spendable Fund Balance	\$ 5,258,473	\$ 169,998	\$ 169,998	\$ 692,024	\$ 692,024

Appropriated Budget Reconciliations

Library District Grants Fund (242)

	Expenditures	Revenue
NON RECURRING NON PROJECT		
FY 2011 Adopted Budget	\$ -	\$ -
Adjustments:		
Grants, Donations and Intergovernmental Agreements		
Donations	\$ 40,000	\$ 40,000
Elizabeth C Jones Trust	40,000	40,000
Grants	\$ 75,000	\$ 75,000
LSTA Physical Collection Grant	10,000	10,000
Library District AZLAPR Grant	25,000	25,000
Library District AZLAPR Grant 2	30,000	30,000
Library District CW Modene Neely Grant	10,000	10,000
FY 2011 Revised Budget	\$ 115,000	\$ 115,000
Adjustments:		
Grants, Donations and Intergovernmental Agreements		
Donations	\$ (40,000)	\$ (40,000)
Elizabeth C Jones Trust	(40,000)	(40,000)
Grants	\$ (75,000)	\$ (75,000)
LSTA Physical Collection Grant	(10,000)	(10,000)
Library District AZLAPR Grant	(25,000)	(25,000)
Library District AZLAPR Grant 2	(30,000)	(30,000)
Library District CW Modene Neely Grant	(10,000)	(10,000)
FY 2012 Budget Target	\$ -	\$ -
Adjustments:		
Grants	\$ 73,564	\$ 73,564
Library District AZLAPR Grant 2	73,564	73,564
Non Recurring	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000
Non Recurring Carry Forward	10,000	10,000
FY 2012 Adopted Budget	\$ 83,564	\$ 83,564

Library District Fund (244)

	Expenditures	Revenue
OPERATING		
FY 2011 Adopted Budget	\$ 19,176,257	\$ 21,750,259
FY 2011 Revised Budget	\$ 19,176,257	\$ 21,750,259
FY 2012 Budget Target	\$ 19,176,257	\$ 21,750,259
Adjustments:		
Employee Compensation and Benefits		
Employee Retirement and Benefits	\$ 225,333	\$ -
Emp Health Dental Prem Realloc	167,285	-
Retirement Contributions	58,048	-
Fees and Other Revenues	\$ -	\$ (35,494)
ProgRevenue Volume Inc/Dec	-	(35,494)
General Revenues	\$ -	\$ (1,290,911)
Payments in Lieu of Taxes	-	93,410
Property Taxes	-	(1,384,321)
FY 2012 Adopted Budget	\$ 19,401,590	\$ 20,423,854
Percent Change from Target Amount	1.2%	-6.1%

Library District Fund (244) (continued)

		Expenditures	Revenue
NON RECURRING NON PROJECT			
FY 2011 Adopted Budget		\$ 368,582	\$ 25,000
Adjustments:	Agenda Item:		
Grants, Donations and Intergovernmental Agreements			
Donations		\$ 8,700	\$ 8,700
<i>Friends of Littichfield Library</i>	<i>C-65-11-001-M-00</i>	<i>5,700</i>	<i>5,700</i>
<i>Friends of Library Donation</i>	<i>C-65-11-016-M-00</i>	<i>3,000</i>	<i>3,000</i>
FY 2011 Revised Budget		\$ 377,282	\$ 33,700
Adjustments:	Agenda Item:		
Grants, Donations and Intergovernmental Agreements			
Donations		\$ (8,700)	\$ (8,700)
<i>Friends of Littichfield Library</i>	<i>C-65-11-001-M-00</i>	<i>(5,700)</i>	<i>(5,700)</i>
<i>Friends of Library Donation</i>	<i>C-65-11-016-M-00</i>	<i>(3,000)</i>	<i>(3,000)</i>
Non Recurring		\$ (368,582)	\$ (25,000)
<i>Other Non-Recurring</i>		<i>(368,582)</i>	<i>(25,000)</i>
FY 2012 Budget Target		\$ -	\$ -
Adjustments:			
Non Recurring		\$ 2,398,000	\$ -
<i>Other Non-Recurring</i>		<i>2,398,000</i>	<i>-</i>
<i>De-Dewey Project - Remaining Libraries</i>	<i>\$ 1,173,000</i>		
<i>Materials Handling Equipment Replacement</i>	<i>395,000</i>		
<i>Polaris Implementation for Glendale Public Libraries</i>	<i>300,000</i>		
<i>Computer Replacement</i>	<i>300,000</i>		
<i>Microsoft 2010 Software Assurance Upgrade</i>	<i>80,000</i>		
<i>Website Redesign Software & Implementation</i>	<i>150,000</i>		
FY 2012 Tentative Budget		\$ 2,398,000	\$ -
Adjustments:			
Non Recurring		\$ 33,000	\$ -
<i>Other Non-Recurring</i>		<i>33,000</i>	<i>-</i>
<i>Summer Reading Program & the New Friends of the North Valley Regional Library</i>	<i>\$ 33,000</i>		
FY 2012 Adopted Budget		\$ 2,431,000	\$ -

Library Intergovernmental Fund (246)

	Expenditures	Revenue
OPERATING		
FY 2011 Adopted Budget	\$ 2,735,160	\$ 2,735,160
FY 2011 Revised Budget	\$ 2,735,160	\$ 2,735,160
FY 2012 Budget Target	\$ 2,735,160	\$ 2,735,160
Adjustments:		
Employee Compensation and Benefits		
Employee Retirement and Benefits	\$ 45,524	\$ -
<i>Emp Health Dental Prem Realloc</i>	<i>34,596</i>	<i>-</i>
<i>Retirement Contributions</i>	<i>10,928</i>	<i>-</i>
Base Adjustments	\$ (45,524)	\$ -
<i>Personnel Savings</i>	<i>(45,524)</i>	<i>-</i>
Fees and Other Revenues	\$ (86,364)	\$ (86,364)
<i>ProgRevenue Volume Inc/Dec</i>	<i>(86,364)</i>	<i>(86,364)</i>
FY 2012 Adopted Budget	\$ 2,648,796	\$ 2,648,796
<i>Percent Change from Target Amount</i>	<i>-3.2%</i>	<i>-3.2%</i>

Library District Capital Improvement Fund (465)

	Expenditures	Revenue
NON RECURRING NON PROJECT		
FY 2011 Adopted Budget	\$ -	\$ 321,582
FY 2011 Revised Budget	\$ -	\$ 321,582
Adjustments:		
Non Recurring	\$ -	\$ (321,582)
<i>Other Non-Recurring</i>	-	(321,582)
FY 2012 Budget Target	\$ -	\$ -
FY 2012 Adopted Budget	\$ -	\$ -
	Expenditures	Revenue
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS		
FY 2011 Adopted Budget	\$ 5,540,000	\$ 540,000
FY 2011 Revised Budget	\$ 5,540,000	\$ 540,000
Adjustments:		
Capital Improvement Program	\$ (5,540,000)	\$ (540,000)
<i>Capital Improvement Prog Adj</i>	(5,540,000)	(540,000)
FY 2012 Budget Target	\$ -	\$ -
FY 2012 Adopted Budget	\$ -	\$ -
	Expenditures	Revenue
TECHNOLOGY		
FY 2011 Adopted Budget	\$ 500,000	\$ -
FY 2011 Revised Budget	\$ 500,000	\$ -
Adjustments:		
Capital Improvement Program	\$ (500,000)	\$ -
<i>Capital Improvement Prog Adj</i>	(500,000)	-
FY 2012 Budget Target	\$ -	\$ -
FY 2012 Adopted Budget	\$ -	\$ -

Stadium District

Motion

Approve the Stadium District FY 2012 Budget in the amount of \$8,391,083 by total appropriation for each fund and function for the Stadium District.

Also, pursuant to A.R.S. §48-252, submit a copy of the Stadium District's FY 2012 Final Budget to the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors and the Maricopa County Treasurer, and pursuant to A.R.S. §48-4232 submit a copy of the Stadium District FY 2012 Final Budget to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.




Stadium District Transmittal Letter

To: Andrew Kunasek, Chairman, District 3
Fulton Brock, District 1
Don Stapley, District 2
Max Wilson, District 4
Mary Rose Wilcox, District 5

The Stadium District's Adopted expenditure budget for FY 2012 is \$8,391,083 and the Adopted revenue budget is \$9,871,619.

Chase Field is now entering its 14th season of baseball. In keeping with the District's mission to provide a well-maintained, state-of-the-art facility and the strategic goal of generating on-going revenue, the District began the Roof Coating and Party Suites Renovation projects in FY 2010. These projects have been completed in this fiscal year. Under the Agreement with the Arizona Diamondbacks, the District will receive 10% of the party suites premium upon completion of the renovation.

Car Rental Surcharge Revenue Cactus League by Fiscal Year



Car Rental Surcharge revenues have been decreasing and fund balance will be used to cover the debt service payment on the Cactus League bonds. This decrease in revenue will also require the use of fund balance for Cactus League operations which are normally funded with the car rental surcharge revenue. In addition, because there is no excess car rental surcharge revenue, there will be no funding turned over to the Arizona Sports and Tourism Authority in FY 2012.

Car Rental Surcharge revenues have also affected the rating by

Fitch's Bond Rating on Maricopa County Stadium District, Arizona's revenue bonds. The bonds have been downgraded from 'BBB+' to 'BBB-' during this fiscal year due to the expected weakness in car rental tax collections for FY 2012.

I wish to offer my appreciation to the Board of Directors for their support and guidance during the budget development process. I believe this budget is sustainable, responsible, and aligns with the District's mission.

Sincerely,

David R. Smith, County Manager



Stadium District

Analysis by Mary Driessen, Senior Management and Budget Analyst

Mission

The mission of the Maricopa County Stadium District is to provide fiscal resources and asset management for the community and visitors to Maricopa County so they can attend Major League Baseball games and other entertainment events in state-of-the-art, well-maintained facilities.

Vision

Citizens serving citizens by working collaboratively, efficiently, and innovatively. We will be responsive to our customers while being fiscally prudent.

Strategic Goals

Citizen Satisfaction

By June, 2013, completion of preventive maintenance will increase by 10% from 80% to 90% of items that are scheduled for the Stadium to maintain the facility for the safety and convenience of the attendees.

Status: As of June 30, 2010, the preventive maintenance items were 100% compliant. It is estimated that as of June 30, 2011, 100% compliance will be maintained.

Citizen Satisfaction

By June, 2013, the number of District events held at the ballpark will increase by 5% from 108 to 113 non-baseball events.

Status: As of June 30, 2010, the number of District events held at the ballpark was 129. This is a 14% increase over the baseline number of 108.

Department Specific

By June, 2014, increase the number of major league baseball spring training facilities by 20% from 9 to 11 spring training facilities.

Status: As of June 30, 2010, there were 10 spring training facilities. This is an 11% increase over the baseline number of 9 spring training facilities. The Department anticipates reaching the goal by the target date.

Department Specific

By June, 2014, the District will increase facility revenue from \$600,000 to \$630,000 by 5% for non-baseball events to provide resources to ensure that Chase Field is a well-maintained, state-of-the-art facility.

Status: As of June 30, 2010, the District received \$600,000 for non-baseball events. The Department anticipates reaching the goal by the target date.

Budget Summary



Consolidated Sources, Uses and Fund Balance by Fund Type

	SPECIAL REVENUE	DEBT SERVICE	CAPITAL PROJECTS	SUBTOTAL	ELIMINATIONS	TOTAL
BEGINNING FUND BALANCE	\$ 11,836,807	\$ 8,713,547	\$ 9,122,152	\$ 29,672,506	\$ -	\$ 29,672,506
SOURCES OF FUNDS						
OPERATING						
SALES TAXES	\$ -	\$ 4,321,745	\$ 100	\$ 4,321,845	\$ -	\$ 4,321,845
LICENSES AND PERMITS	3,200,901	-	-	3,200,901	-	3,200,901
OTHER CHARGES FOR SERVICES	1,198,123	-	-	1,198,123	-	1,198,123
INTEREST EARNINGS	99,750	300,000	1,000	400,750	-	400,750
MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE	-	-	750,000	750,000	-	750,000
TRANSFERS IN	115,500	1,200,000	864,221	2,179,721	(2,179,721)	-
TOTAL OPERATING SOURCES	\$ 4,614,274	\$ 5,821,745	\$ 1,615,321	\$ 12,051,340	\$ (2,179,721)	\$ 9,871,619
NON-RECURRING						
TOTAL SOURCES	\$ 4,614,274	\$ 5,821,745	\$ 1,615,321	\$ 12,051,340	\$ (2,179,721)	\$ 9,871,619
USES OF FUNDS						
OPERATING						
PERSONAL SERVICES	\$ 334,153	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 334,153	\$ -	\$ 334,153
SUPPLIES	9,930	-	-	9,930	-	9,930
SERVICES	1,408,312	11,250	3,000	1,422,562	-	1,422,562
CAPITAL	3,720	6,620,718	-	6,624,438	-	6,624,438
OTHER FINANCING USES	2,064,221	115,500	-	2,179,721	(2,179,721)	-
TOTAL OPERATING USES	\$ 3,820,336	\$ 6,747,468	\$ 3,000	\$ 10,570,804	\$ (2,179,721)	\$ 8,391,083
NON-RECURRING						
TOTAL USES	\$ 3,820,336	\$ 6,747,468	\$ 3,000	\$ 10,570,804	\$ (2,179,721)	\$ 8,391,083
STRUCTURAL BALANCE	\$ 793,938	\$ (925,723)	\$ 1,612,321	\$ 1,480,536	\$ -	\$ 1,480,536
ENDING FUND BALANCE:						
RESTRICTED	\$ 12,630,745	\$ 7,787,824	\$ 10,734,473	\$ 31,153,042	\$ -	\$ 31,153,042

Appropriated Expenditures and Other Uses by Department, Fund and Function Class

		FY 2011 ADOPTED	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2012 RECOMM	FY 2012 TENTATIVE	FY 2012 ADOPTED	(INC.)/DEC FROM REV.
680	STADIUM DISTRICT						
250	CACTUS LEAGUE OPERATIONS						
	OPERATING	\$ 99,143	\$ 99,143	\$ 99,143	\$ 99,143	\$ 99,143	\$ -
253	BALLPARK OPERATIONS						
	OPERATING	\$ 4,444,083	\$ 4,444,083	\$ 3,721,193	\$ 3,721,193	\$ 3,721,193	\$ 722,890
	NON RECURRING NON PROJECT	2,097,500	3,497,500	-	-	-	3,497,500
	All Functions	\$ 6,541,583	\$ 7,941,583	\$ 3,721,193	\$ 3,721,193	\$ 3,721,193	\$ 4,220,390
370	STADIUM DIST DEBT SERIES 2002						
	OPERATING	\$ 6,611,335	\$ 6,611,335	\$ 6,747,468	\$ 6,747,468	\$ 6,747,468	\$ (136,133)
450	LONG TERM PROJECT RESERVE						
	OPERATING	\$ 3,000	\$ 3,000	\$ 3,000	\$ 3,000	\$ 3,000	\$ -
	NON RECURRING NON PROJECT	2,097,500	3,497,500	-	-	-	3,497,500
	All Functions	\$ 2,100,500	\$ 3,500,500	\$ 3,000	\$ 3,000	\$ 3,000	\$ 3,497,500
900	ELIMINATIONS						
	OPERATING	\$ (2,787,111)	\$ (2,787,111)	\$ (2,179,721)	\$ (2,179,721)	\$ (2,179,721)	\$ (607,390)
	NON-RECURRING	(2,097,500)	(3,497,500)	-	-	-	(3,497,500)
	All Functions	\$ (4,884,611)	\$ (6,284,611)	\$ (2,179,721)	\$ (2,179,721)	\$ (2,179,721)	\$ (4,104,890)
	TOTAL STADIUM DISTRICT	\$ 10,467,950	\$ 11,867,950	\$ 8,391,083	\$ 8,391,083	\$ 8,391,083	\$ 3,476,867

Sources and Uses of Funds



Sources and Uses by Program and Activity

PROGRAM / ACTIVITY	FY 2010 ACTUAL	FY 2011 ADOPTED	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2011 FORECAST	FY 2012 ADOPTED	REVISED VS ADOPTED VAR	%
SOURCES							
CACT - CACTUS LEAGUE SUPPORT	\$ 5,116,294	\$ 4,646,495	\$ 4,646,495	\$ 4,849,240	\$ 3,421,745	\$ (1,224,750)	-26.4%
STOP - STADIUM OPERATIONS	5,365,640	5,449,083	5,449,083	5,231,185	6,350,024	900,941	16.5%
68SD - STADIUM DISTRICT	\$ 10,481,934	\$ 10,095,578	\$ 10,095,578	\$ 10,080,425	\$ 9,771,769	\$ (323,809)	-3.2%
GGOV - GENERAL GOVERNMENT	\$ 134,773	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 103,496	\$ 99,850	\$ 99,850	N/A
99GV - GENERAL GOVERNMENT	\$ 134,773	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 103,496	\$ 99,850	\$ 99,850	N/A
TOTAL PROGRAMS	\$ 10,616,707	\$ 10,095,578	\$ 10,095,578	\$ 10,183,921	\$ 9,871,619	\$ (223,959)	-2.2%
USES							
CACT - CACTUS LEAGUE SUPPORT	\$ 5,549,898	\$ 5,530,094	\$ 5,530,094	\$ 5,501,172	\$ 5,529,626	\$ 468	0.0%
STOP - STADIUM OPERATIONS	2,057,707	4,781,944	6,181,947	5,315,796	2,623,755	3,558,192	57.6%
68SD - STADIUM DISTRICT	\$ 7,607,605	\$ 10,312,038	\$ 11,712,041	\$ 10,816,968	\$ 8,153,381	\$ 3,558,660	30.4%
BDGT - BUDGETING	\$ 4,539	\$ 18,170	\$ 18,169	\$ 15,490	\$ 15,410	\$ 2,759	15.2%
FSAC - FINANCIAL SERVICES	9,446	16,033	16,033	24,878	40,079	(24,046)	-150.0%
HRAC - HUMAN RESOURCES	48,693	38,221	38,220	46,822	53,190	(14,970)	-39.2%
ODIR - EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT	111,405	31,066	31,065	90,607	102,087	(71,022)	-228.6%
99AS - ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES	\$ 174,083	\$ 103,490	\$ 103,487	\$ 177,797	\$ 210,766	\$ (107,279)	-103.7%
CSCA - CENTRAL SERVICE COST ALLOC	\$ 31,921	\$ 40,967	\$ 40,967	\$ 40,974	\$ 26,190	\$ 14,777	36.1%
ISFC - INTERNAL SERVICE FUND CHARGES	1,303	11,455	11,455	1,172	746	10,709	93.5%
99GV - GENERAL GOVERNMENT	\$ 33,224	\$ 52,422	\$ 52,422	\$ 42,146	\$ 26,936	\$ 25,486	48.6%
TOTAL PROGRAMS	\$ 7,814,912	\$ 10,467,950	\$ 11,867,950	\$ 11,036,911	\$ 8,391,083	\$ 3,476,867	29.3%

Sources and Uses by Category

CATEGORY	FY 2010 ACTUAL	FY 2011 ADOPTED	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2011 FORECAST	FY 2012 ADOPTED	REVISED VS ADOPTED VAR	%
TAXES							
0606 - SALES TAXES	4,668,706	4,321,745	4,321,745	4,541,941	4,321,845	100	0.0%
SUBTOTAL	\$ 4,668,706	\$ 4,321,745	\$ 4,321,745	\$ 4,541,941	\$ 4,321,845	\$ 100	0.0%
LICENSES AND PERMITS							
0610 - LICENSES AND PERMITS	\$ -	\$ 3,172,991	\$ 3,172,991	\$ 3,174,496	\$ 3,200,901	\$ 27,910	0.9%
SUBTOTAL	\$ -	\$ 3,172,991	\$ 3,172,991	\$ 3,174,496	\$ 3,200,901	\$ 27,910	0.9%
CHARGES FOR SERVICE							
0635 - OTHER CHARGES FOR SERVICES	\$ -	\$ 1,196,092	\$ 1,196,092	\$ 1,036,604	\$ 1,198,123	\$ 2,031	0.2%
SUBTOTAL	\$ -	\$ 1,196,092	\$ 1,196,092	\$ 1,036,604	\$ 1,198,123	\$ 2,031	0.2%
MISCELLANEOUS							
0645 - INTEREST EARNINGS	\$ 473,687	\$ 404,750	\$ 404,750	\$ 412,290	\$ 400,750	\$ (4,000)	-1.0%
0650 - MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE	\$ 5,356,908	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,018,590	\$ 750,000	\$ (250,000)	-25.0%
SUBTOTAL	\$ 5,830,595	\$ 1,404,750	\$ 1,404,750	\$ 1,430,880	\$ 1,150,750	\$ (254,000)	-18.1%
ALL REVENUES	\$ 10,499,301	\$ 10,095,578	\$ 10,095,578	\$ 10,183,921	\$ 9,871,619	\$ (223,959)	-2.2%
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES							
0680 - TRANSFERS IN	\$ 117,406	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	N/A
ALL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES	\$ 117,406	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	N/A
TOTAL SOURCES	\$ 10,616,707	\$ 10,095,578	\$ 10,095,578	\$ 10,183,921	\$ 9,871,619	\$ (223,959)	-2.2%
CATEGORY	FY 2010 ACTUAL	FY 2011 ADOPTED	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2011 FORECAST	FY 2012 ADOPTED	REVISED VS ADOPTED VAR	%
PERSONAL SERVICES							
0701 - REGULAR PAY	\$ 241,845	\$ 284,773	\$ 284,773	\$ 229,394	\$ 256,973	\$ 27,800	9.8%
0705 - TEMPORARY PAY	-	9,084	9,084	3,063	9,085	(1)	0.0%
0710 - OVERTIME	2,248	-	-	1,739	-	-	N/A
0750 - FRINGE BENEFITS	66,485	75,124	75,124	76,855	84,296	(9,172)	-12.2%
0790 - OTHER PERSONNEL SERVICES	772	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
0795 - PERSONNEL SERVICES ALLOC-OUT	(11,412)	(11,354)	(11,354)	(45,224)	(41,087)	29,733	261.9%
0796 - PERSONNEL SERVICES ALLOC-IN	11,412	11,354	11,354	75,966	24,886	(13,532)	-119.2%
SUBTOTAL	\$ 311,350	\$ 368,981	\$ 368,981	\$ 341,793	\$ 334,153	\$ 34,828	9.4%
SUPPLIES							
0801 - GENERAL SUPPLIES	\$ 1,666	\$ 3,430	\$ 3,430	\$ 2,358	\$ 3,430	\$ -	0.0%
0804 - NON-CAPITAL EQUIPMENT	-	2,500	2,500	2,500	6,500	(4,000)	-160.0%
SUBTOTAL	\$ 1,666	\$ 5,930	\$ 5,930	\$ 4,858	\$ 9,930	\$ (4,000)	-67.5%
SERVICES							
0810 - LEGAL SERVICES	\$ 64,029	\$ 65,000	\$ 65,000	\$ 68,022	\$ 75,298	\$ (10,298)	-15.8%
0812 - OTHER SERVICES	272,951	727,619	727,619	603,758	776,414	(48,795)	-6.7%
0825 - REPAIRS AND MAINTENANCE	23,689	2,098,921	3,498,921	2,985,005	1,421	3,497,500	100.0%
0830 - INTERGOVERNMENTAL PAYMENTS	417,178	575,335	575,335	409,400	545,929	29,406	5.1%
0839 - INTERNAL SERVICE CHARGES	1,544	1,684	1,684	1,227	1,600	84	5.0%
0841 - TRAVEL	416	3,850	3,850	2,280	3,850	-	0.0%
0842 - EDUCATION AND TRAINING	1,176	5,550	5,550	5,277	5,550	-	0.0%
0843 - POSTAGE/FREIGHT/SHIPPING	111	400	400	162	400	-	0.0%
0850 - UTILITIES	91	875	875	337	875	-	0.0%
0873 - SERVICES-ALLOCATION IN	-	-	-	951	11,225	(11,225)	N/A
SUBTOTAL	\$ 781,185	\$ 3,479,234	\$ 4,879,234	\$ 4,076,419	\$ 1,422,562	\$ 3,456,672	70.8%
CAPITAL							
0950 - DEBT SERVICE	\$ 6,603,305	\$ 6,613,805	\$ 6,613,805	\$ 6,613,805	\$ 6,624,438	\$ (10,633)	-0.2%
0956 - CAPITAL-ALLOCATION IN	-	-	-	36	-	-	N/A
SUBTOTAL	\$ 6,603,305	\$ 6,613,805	\$ 6,613,805	\$ 6,613,841	\$ 6,624,438	\$ (10,633)	-0.2%
ALL EXPENDITURES	\$ 7,697,506	\$ 10,467,950	\$ 11,867,950	\$ 11,036,911	\$ 8,391,083	\$ 3,476,867	29.3%
OTHER FINANCING USES							
0880 - TRANSFERS OUT	\$ 117,406	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	N/A
ALL OTHER FINANCING USES	\$ 117,406	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	N/A
TOTAL USES	\$ 7,814,912	\$ 10,467,950	\$ 11,867,950	\$ 11,036,911	\$ 8,391,083	\$ 3,476,867	29.3%

Sources and Uses by Fund and Function

FUND / FUNCTION CLASS	FY 2010 ACTUAL	FY 2011 ADOPTED	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2011 FORECAST	FY 2012 ADOPTED	REVISED VS ADOPTED VAR	%
250 CACTUS LEAGUE OPERATIONS							
OPERATING	\$ 154,744	\$ 24,750	\$ 24,750	\$ 147,770	\$ 140,250	\$ 115,500	466.7%
FUND TOTAL SOURCES	\$ 154,744	\$ 24,750	\$ 24,750	\$ 147,770	\$ 140,250	\$ 115,500	466.7%
253 BALLPARK OPERATIONS							
OPERATING	\$ 4,407,578	\$ 4,444,083	\$ 4,444,083	\$ 4,280,937	\$ 4,474,024	\$ 29,941	0.7%
NON-RECURRING	23,689	2,097,500	3,497,500	3,002,193	-	(3,497,500)	-100.0%
FUND TOTAL SOURCES	\$ 4,431,267	\$ 6,541,583	\$ 7,941,583	\$ 7,283,130	\$ 4,474,024	\$ (3,467,559)	-43.7%
370 STADIUM DIST DEBT SERIES 2002							
OPERATING	\$ 6,005,607	\$ 5,800,986	\$ 5,800,986	\$ 6,028,218	\$ 5,821,745	\$ 20,759	0.4%
FUND TOTAL SOURCES	\$ 6,005,607	\$ 5,800,986	\$ 5,800,986	\$ 6,028,218	\$ 5,821,745	\$ 20,759	0.4%
450 LONG TERM PROJECT RESERVE							
OPERATING	\$ 2,519,143	\$ 2,612,870	\$ 2,612,870	\$ 2,109,281	\$ 1,615,321	\$ (997,549)	-38.2%
NON-RECURRING	126	-	-	2,474	-	-	N/A
FUND TOTAL SOURCES	\$ 2,519,269	\$ 2,612,870	\$ 2,612,870	\$ 2,111,755	\$ 1,615,321	\$ (997,549)	-38.2%
900 ELIMINATIONS							
OPERATING	\$ (2,477,897)	\$ (2,787,111)	\$ (2,787,111)	\$ (2,383,259)	\$ (2,179,721)	\$ 607,390	-21.8%
NON-RECURRING	(16,283)	(2,097,500)	(3,497,500)	(3,003,693)	-	3,497,500	-100.0%
FUND TOTAL SOURCES	\$ (2,494,180)	\$ (4,884,611)	\$ (6,284,611)	\$ (5,386,952)	\$ (2,179,721)	\$ 4,104,890	-65.3%
DEPARTMENT OPERATING TOTAL SOURCES	\$ 10,609,175	\$ 10,095,578	\$ 10,095,578	\$ 10,182,947	\$ 9,871,619	\$ (223,959)	-2.2%
DEPARTMENT NON-RECURRING TOTAL SOURCES	\$ 7,532	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 974	\$ -	\$ -	N/A
DEPARTMENT TOTAL SOURCES	\$ 10,616,707	\$ 10,095,578	\$ 10,095,578	\$ 10,183,921	\$ 9,871,619	\$ (223,959)	-2.2%
FUND / FUNCTION CLASS	FY 2010 ACTUAL	FY 2011 ADOPTED	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2011 FORECAST	FY 2012 ADOPTED	REVISED VS ADOPTED VAR	%
250 CACTUS LEAGUE OPERATIONS							
OPERATING	\$ 20,421	\$ 99,143	\$ 99,143	\$ 70,223	\$ 99,143	\$ -	0.0%
FUND TOTAL USES	\$ 20,421	\$ 99,143	\$ 99,143	\$ 70,223	\$ 99,143	\$ -	0.0%
253 BALLPARK OPERATIONS							
OPERATING	\$ 3,708,449	\$ 4,444,083	\$ 4,444,083	\$ 3,621,352	\$ 3,721,193	\$ 722,890	16.3%
NON-RECURRING	23,689	2,097,500	3,497,500	3,000,259	-	3,497,500	100.0%
FUND TOTAL USES	\$ 3,732,138	\$ 6,541,583	\$ 7,941,583	\$ 6,621,611	\$ 3,721,193	\$ 4,220,390	53.1%
370 STADIUM DIST DEBT SERIES 2002							
OPERATING	\$ 6,530,344	\$ 6,611,335	\$ 6,611,335	\$ 6,726,836	\$ 6,747,468	\$ (136,133)	-2.1%
FUND TOTAL USES	\$ 6,530,344	\$ 6,611,335	\$ 6,611,335	\$ 6,726,836	\$ 6,747,468	\$ (136,133)	-2.1%
450 LONG TERM PROJECT RESERVE							
OPERATING	\$ 2,500	\$ 3,000	\$ 3,000	\$ 3,000	\$ 3,000	\$ -	0.0%
NON-RECURRING	23,689	2,097,500	3,497,500	3,002,193	-	3,497,500	100.0%
FUND TOTAL USES	\$ 26,189	\$ 2,100,500	\$ 3,500,500	\$ 3,005,193	\$ 3,000	\$ 3,497,500	99.9%
900 ELIMINATIONS							
OPERATING	\$ (2,477,897)	\$ (2,787,111)	\$ (2,787,111)	\$ (2,383,259)	\$ (2,179,721)	\$ (607,390)	21.8%
NON-RECURRING	(16,283)	(2,097,500)	(3,497,500)	(3,003,693)	-	(3,497,500)	100.0%
FUND TOTAL USES	\$ (2,494,180)	\$ (4,884,611)	\$ (6,284,611)	\$ (5,386,952)	\$ (2,179,721)	\$ (4,104,890)	65.3%
DEPARTMENT OPERATING TOTAL USES	\$ 7,783,817	\$ 8,370,450	\$ 8,370,450	\$ 8,038,152	\$ 8,391,083	\$ (20,633)	-0.2%
DEPARTMENT NON-RECURRING TOTAL USES	\$ 31,095	\$ 2,097,500	\$ 3,497,500	\$ 2,998,759	\$ -	\$ 3,497,500	100.0%
DEPARTMENT TOTAL USES	\$ 7,814,912	\$ 10,467,950	\$ 11,867,950	\$ 11,036,911	\$ 8,391,083	\$ 3,476,867	29.3%

Fund Transfers In

Fund/Function/Transfer	FY 2011 ADOPTED	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2011 FORECAST	FY 2012 REQUESTED	FY 2012 ADOPTED
OTHER SPECIAL REVENUE	\$ 2,097,500	\$ 3,497,500	\$ 3,117,693	\$ 115,500	\$ 115,500
<u>Operating</u>	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 115,500	\$ 115,500	\$ 115,500
<u>Non-Recurring</u>	\$ 2,097,500	\$ 3,497,500	\$ 3,002,193	\$ -	\$ -
250 - CACTUS LEAGUE OPERATIONS	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 115,500	\$ 115,500	\$ 115,500
OPERATING	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 115,500	\$ 115,500	\$ 115,500
253 - BALLPARK OPERATIONS	\$ 2,097,500	\$ 3,497,500	\$ 3,002,193	\$ -	\$ -
NON-RECURRING	\$ 2,097,500	\$ 3,497,500	\$ 3,002,193	\$ -	\$ -
DEBT SERVICE	\$ 1,179,241	\$ 1,179,241	\$ 1,179,241	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 1,200,000
<u>Operating</u>	\$ 1,179,241	\$ 1,179,241	\$ 1,179,241	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 1,200,000
<u>Non-Recurring</u>	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
370 - STADIUM DIST DEBT SERIES 2002	\$ 1,179,241	\$ 1,179,241	\$ 1,179,241	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 1,200,000
OPERATING	\$ 1,179,241	\$ 1,179,241	\$ 1,179,241	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 1,200,000
CAPITAL PROJECTS	\$ 1,607,870	\$ 1,607,870	\$ 1,090,018	\$ 864,221	\$ 864,221
<u>Operating</u>	\$ 1,607,870	\$ 1,607,870	\$ 1,088,518	\$ 864,221	\$ 864,221
<u>Non-Recurring</u>	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,500	\$ -	\$ -
450 - LONG TERM PROJECT RESERVE	\$ 1,607,870	\$ 1,607,870	\$ 1,090,018	\$ 864,221	\$ 864,221
OPERATING	\$ 1,607,870	\$ 1,607,870	\$ 1,088,518	\$ 864,221	\$ 864,221
NON-RECURRING	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,500	\$ -	\$ -
TOTAL BEFORE ELIMINATIONS	\$ 4,884,611	\$ 6,284,611	\$ 5,386,952	\$ 2,179,721	\$ 2,179,721
<u>Operating</u>	\$ 2,787,111	\$ 2,787,111	\$ 2,383,259	\$ 2,179,721	\$ 2,179,721
<u>Non-Recurring</u>	\$ 2,097,500	\$ 3,497,500	\$ 3,003,693	\$ -	\$ -
ELIMINATIONS	\$ (4,884,611)	\$ (6,284,611)	\$ (5,386,952)	\$ (2,179,721)	\$ (2,179,721)
<u>Operating</u>	\$ (2,787,111)	\$ (2,787,111)	\$ (2,383,259)	\$ (2,179,721)	\$ (2,179,721)
<u>Non-Recurring</u>	\$ (2,097,500)	\$ (3,497,500)	\$ (3,003,693)	\$ -	\$ -
ALL FUNDS	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Fund Transfers Out

Fund/Function/Transfer	FY 2011 ADOPTED	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2011 FORECAST	FY 2012 REQUESTED	FY 2012 ADOPTED
OTHER SPECIAL REVENUE	\$ 2,787,111	\$ 2,787,111	\$ 2,269,259	\$ 2,064,221	\$ 2,064,221
<u>Operating</u>	\$ 2,787,111	\$ 2,787,111	\$ 2,267,759	\$ 2,064,221	\$ 2,064,221
<u>Non-Recurring</u>	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,500	\$ -	\$ -
253 - BALLPARK OPERATIONS	\$ 2,787,111	\$ 2,787,111	\$ 2,269,259	\$ 2,064,221	\$ 2,064,221
OPERATING	\$ 2,787,111	\$ 2,787,111	\$ 2,267,759	\$ 2,064,221	\$ 2,064,221
NON-RECURRING	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,500	\$ -	\$ -
DEBT SERVICE	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 115,500	\$ 115,500	\$ 115,500
<u>Operating</u>	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 115,500	\$ 115,500	\$ 115,500
<u>Non-Recurring</u>	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
370 - STADIUM DIST DEBT SERIES 2002	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 115,500	\$ 115,500	\$ 115,500
OPERATING	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 115,500	\$ 115,500	\$ 115,500
CAPITAL PROJECTS	\$ 2,097,500	\$ 3,497,500	\$ 3,002,193	\$ -	\$ -
<u>Operating</u>	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
<u>Non-Recurring</u>	\$ 2,097,500	\$ 3,497,500	\$ 3,002,193	\$ -	\$ -
450 - LONG TERM PROJECT RESERVE	\$ 2,097,500	\$ 3,497,500	\$ 3,002,193	\$ -	\$ -
NON-RECURRING	\$ 2,097,500	\$ 3,497,500	\$ 3,002,193	\$ -	\$ -
TOTAL BEFORE ELIMINATIONS	\$ 4,884,611	\$ 6,284,611	\$ 5,386,952	\$ 2,179,721	\$ 2,179,721
<u>Operating</u>	\$ 2,787,111	\$ 2,787,111	\$ 2,383,259	\$ 2,179,721	\$ 2,179,721
<u>Non-Recurring</u>	\$ 2,097,500	\$ 3,497,500	\$ 3,003,693	\$ -	\$ -
ELIMINATIONS	\$ (4,884,611)	\$ (6,284,611)	\$ (5,386,952)	\$ (2,179,721)	\$ (2,179,721)
<u>Operating</u>	\$ (2,787,111)	\$ (2,787,111)	\$ (2,383,259)	\$ (2,179,721)	\$ (2,179,721)
<u>Non-Recurring</u>	\$ (2,097,500)	\$ (3,497,500)	\$ (3,003,693)	\$ -	\$ -
ALL FUNDS	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Staffing by Program and Activity

PROGRAM/ACTIVITY	FY 2010 ADOPTED	FY 2011 ADOPTED	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2011 FORECAST	FY 2012 ADOPTED	REVISED TO ADOPTED VARIANCE	VAR %
680 STADIUM DISTRICT							
ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES							
BUDGETING	.20	.25	.25	.25	.20	(.05)	(20.0%)
EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT	2.00	.30	.30	.30	.95	.65	216.7%
FINANCIAL SERVICES	.40	.30	.30	.30	.65	.35	116.7%
HUMAN RESOURCES	.30	.40	.40	.40	.70	.30	75.0%
PROGRAM TOTAL	2.90	1.25	1.25	1.25	2.50	1.25	100.0%
STADIUM DISTRICT							
STADIUM OPERATIONS	2.10	3.75	3.75	3.75	2.50	(1.25)	(33.3%)
PROGRAM TOTAL	2.10	3.75	3.75	3.75	2.50	(1.25)	(33.3%)
DEPARTMENT TOTAL	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	-	0.0%

Staffing by Market Range Title

MARKET RANGE TITLE	FY 2010 ADOPTED	FY 2011 ADOPTED	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2011 FORECAST	FY 2012 ADOPTED	REVISED TO ADOPTED VARIANCE	VAR %
STADIUM DISTRICT							
Director - Stadium District	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	-	0.0%
Executive Assistant	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	-	0.0%
Finan/Business Analyst - Dept	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	-	0.0%
Office Assistant	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	-	0.0%
Project Manager	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	-	0.0%
Department Total	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	-	0.0%

Staffing By Fund

DEPARTMENT/FUND	FY 2010 ADOPTED	FY 2011 ADOPTED	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2011 FORECAST	FY 2012 ADOPTED	REVISED TO ADOPTED VARIANCE	VAR %
680 STADIUM DISTRICT							
253 BALLPARK OPERATIONS	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	-	0.0%
Department Total	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	-	0.0%

General Adjustments

Base Adjustments:

Ballpark Operations Fund (253)

- Decrease Regular Benefits by (\$2,100) for employee health and dental fixed benefit rate based on switching from an individual department rate to a countywide rate.
- Increase Regular Benefits by \$2,603 for retirement contribution rate increase.

Programs and Activities

Stadium District Program

The purpose of the Stadium District Program is to provide facilities and events to communities, residents and visitors so they can enjoy the benefits of baseball games and other events.

Program Results

Measure Description	FY 2010 ACTUAL	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2011 FORECAST	FY 2012 ADOPTED	REV VS ADOPTED VAR	%
Percent of routine maintenance items completed on schedule during current fiscal	N/A	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Percent of event attendees reporting that they are satisfied with facility during the current fiscal year.	N/A	95.0%	70.8%	70.8%	(24.2%)	-25.4%
Percent change in economic benefit from Cactus League over prior year.	N/A	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Activities that comprise this program include:

- Cactus League Support
- Stadium Operations

Cactus League Support Activity

The purpose of the Cactus League Activity is to provide spring training facilities to Maricopa County communities so they can enjoy the economic benefit resulting from games and events.

Mandates: This is a non-mandated Activity.

Measure Type	Measure Description	FY 2010 ACTUAL	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2011 FORECAST	FY 2012 ADOPTED	REV VS ADOPTED VAR	%
Result	Percent change in economic benefit from Cactus League over prior year.	N/A	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Output	Number of existing spring training facilities in Maricopa County for the current Fiscal Year.	N/A	10	10	10	-	0.0%
Demand	Number of existing and planned spring training facilities for the current Fiscal Year.	N/A	10	10	10	-	0.0%
Efficiency	Cost per existing spring training facility in Maricopa County for the current fiscal year.	N/A	\$ 553,009.40	\$ 550,117.20	\$ 552,962.60	\$ 46.80	0.0%
Revenue							
	250 - CACTUS LEAGUE OPERATIONS	\$ 110,000	\$ 24,750	\$ 115,500	\$ 115,500	\$ 90,750	366.7%
	370 - STADIUM DIST DEBT SERIES 2002	5,006,294	4,621,745	4,849,240	4,621,745	-	0.0%
	900 - ELIMINATIONS	-	-	(115,500)	(1,315,500)	(1,315,500)	N/A
	TOTAL SOURCES	\$ 5,116,294	\$ 4,646,495	\$ 4,849,240	\$ 3,421,745	\$ (1,224,750)	-26.4%
Expenditure							
	250 - CACTUS LEAGUE OPERATIONS	\$ 19,554	\$ 98,000	\$ 69,077	\$ 97,658	\$ 342	0.3%
	370 - STADIUM DIST DEBT SERIES 2002	5,530,344	5,432,094	5,547,595	5,547,468	(115,374)	-2.1%
	900 - ELIMINATIONS	-	-	(115,500)	(115,500)	115,500	N/A
	TOTAL USES	\$ 5,549,898	\$ 5,530,094	\$ 5,501,172	\$ 5,529,626	\$ 468	0.0%

Activity Narrative: Cactus League Operations Fund (250) revenues are increasing by 366.7% since the FY 2011 Revised budget did not include the \$115,500 operating revenue that will be sent by the Bond Trustee to Stadium District Debt Series 02 Fund (370) and transferred to Cactus League Operations Fund (250) in accordance with the Bond Document in FY12. The revenue of \$24,750 in the Cactus League Operations Fund (250) in FY 2011 Revised revenue is interest earnings.

It is anticipated that Revenue from the Rental Car Tax will not increase in comparison to FY 2011. Therefore, the Stadium District Debt Series 02 Fund (370) will remain out of structural balance by \$925,723. The beginning fund balance in FY 2012 is sufficient to absorb the costs.

Stadium Operations Activity

The purpose of the Stadium Operations Activity is to provide Stadium events, capital improvement projects and a well-maintained facility to attendees so they can attend and enjoy events in an up-to-date well maintained facility.

Mandates: This is a non-mandated Activity.

Measure Type	Measure Description	FY 2010 ACTUAL	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2011 FORECAST	FY 2012 ADOPTED	REV VS ADOPTED VAR	%
Result	Percent of routine maintenance items completed on schedule during current fiscal year.	N/A	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Result	Percent of event attendees reporting that they are satisfied with facility during the current fiscal year.	N/A	95.0%	70.8%	70.8%	(24.2%)	-25.4%
Output	Number of total routine maintenance items scheduled for the current fiscal year.	N/A	16	16	16	-	0.0%
Output	Number of attendees at all events for the current Fiscal Year	N/A	2,264,472	2,175,539	2,264,472	-	0.0%
Demand	Number of expected attendees at events for the current fiscal year.	N/A	2,264,472	2,175,138	2,264,472	-	0.0%
Efficiency	Cost per attendee for the current fiscal year.	N/A	\$ 2.73	\$ 2.44	\$ 1.16	\$ 1.57	57.6%
Revenue							
	253 - BALLPARK OPERATIONS	\$ 4,340,808	\$ 7,941,583	\$ 7,213,483	\$ 4,399,024	\$ (3,542,559)	-44.6%
	370 - STADIUM DIST DEBT SERIES 2002	1,000,000	1,179,241	1,179,241	1,200,000	20,759	1.8%
	450 - LONG TERM PROJECT RESERVE	2,519,012	2,612,870	2,109,913	1,615,221	(997,649)	-38.2%
	900 - ELIMINATIONS	(2,494,180)	(6,284,611)	(5,271,452)	(864,221)	5,420,390	-86.2%
	TOTAL SOURCES	\$ 5,365,640	\$ 5,449,083	\$ 5,231,185	\$ 6,350,024	\$ 900,941	16.5%
Expenditure							
	253 - BALLPARK OPERATIONS	\$ 3,525,698	\$ 7,786,817	\$ 6,402,814	\$ 3,484,976	\$ 4,301,841	55.2%
	370 - STADIUM DIST DEBT SERIES 2002	1,000,000	1,179,241	1,179,241	1,200,000	(20,759)	-1.8%
	450 - LONG TERM PROJECT RESERVE	26,189	3,500,500	3,005,193	3,000	3,497,500	99.9%
	900 - ELIMINATIONS	(2,494,180)	(6,284,611)	(5,271,452)	(2,064,221)	(4,220,390)	67.2%
	TOTAL USES	\$ 2,057,707	\$ 6,181,947	\$ 5,315,796	\$ 2,623,755	\$ 3,558,192	57.6%

Activity Narrative: The percent of event attendees reporting that they are satisfied with the facility during the current fiscal year is decreasing by 25.4% due a change in methodology in measuring this result that was recommended by the Internal Audit Department. While the Stadium District does not have direct control to improve this measure, the District spent \$3,497,500 on major maintenance projects in FY 2011. It is anticipated that that the experience of baseball fans and District Event participants while attending baseball games and other events at the Stadium will increase with the improvements to the Stadium, thereby increasing customer satisfaction.

Revenue for the Ballpark Operations Fund (253) is decreasing by 44.6% due to the decrease in transfers for non-recurring projects such as the Roof Replacement and the Suites Renovations projects and a decrease in Interest Earnings. Revenue for the Long Term Project Reserve Fund (450) is decreasing due to the decrease in the estimated 50% net revenue transfer from the Ballpark Operations Fund (253), a decrease in payment per agreement with the Arizona Diamondbacks Baseball Team and a decrease in interest earnings.

Expenses for the Ballpark Operations Fund (253) are decreasing by 52.2% due to a decrease in non-recurring projects as such as the Roof Replacement and Suites Renovations project and the decrease in estimated 50% net revenue transfer of from the Long Term Project Reserve Fund (450). Expenses for the Long Term Project Reserve Fund (450) are decreasing by 99.9% due to decrease in Transfers Out for the non-recurring projects.

Revenue Sources and Variance Commentary

Special Sales Tax

The Car Rental Surcharge established by A.R.S. §48-4234 is used to repay Stadium District Revenue Bonds for Chase Field or the Cactus League and to fund Cactus League operations. Any net revenue is transferred to the Arizona Tourism and Sport Authority (AZTSA) according to an intergovernmental agreement. Pursuant to A.R.S. §48-4234, the District may set the car rental surcharge at \$2.50 for each lease or rental of a motor vehicle licensed for hire for less than one year and designed to carry fewer than 15 passengers, regardless of whether such vehicle is licensed in the State of Arizona. The District Board of Directors initially levied a car rental surcharge at a rate of \$1.50 beginning in January 1992. The District Board of Directors increased the surcharge to \$2.50, the maximum amount permitted by Statute, in January 1993.

Stadium District Car Rental Surcharge		
Fiscal Year	Annual Collections	Growth Rate
2002-03	4,865,038	-12.1%
2003-04	5,556,717	14.2%
2004-05	6,024,416	8.4%
2005-06	6,498,814	7.9%
2006-07	6,288,093	-3.2%
2007-08	6,132,465	-2.5%
2008-09	5,304,567	-13.5%
2009-10	4,668,575	-12.0%
2010-11*	4,540,274	-14.4%
2011-12**	4,321,845	-7.4%

* Forecasted
** Budgeted

Licenses and Permits

The Stadium District receives revenue for naming rights, intellectual property rights and commissions on suite rental which it classifies as License and Permit revenue. In addition, there are receipts under agreements with the Arizona Diamondbacks baseball organization that fall into this category.

Other Charges for Service

The Stadium District classifies revenues from the booking manager for events, parking charges, and reimbursements for security services as Other Charges for Service. The Stadium District also receives a fee from Northwind related to the utilization of the District's cooling system which is included as Other Charges for Service.

Miscellaneous Revenue

The Stadium District classifies miscellaneous revenues as any revenues that do not fall within a more specific revenue category. Examples of miscellaneous revenues include certain receipts from the agreements with the Arizona Diamondbacks baseball organization and interest earnings.

Other Financing Sources

Other Financing Sources solely comprise Fund Transfers In.

Fund Transfers

Revenue is transferred between the Stadium District funds to provide resources for operations and capital improvements. Under an intergovernmental agreement with the Arizona Sports and Tourism Authority (AZSTA), the District transfers any remaining revenue after debt payments, required reserves, and operations from the Stadium District Debt Service Fund (370) to the Cactus League Operations Fund (250). The remaining revenue is then sent to the AZSTA to be used for Cactus League purposes.

Additionally each year, half the net revenue from the Ballpark Operations Fund (253) is transferred to the Long Term Project Reserve Fund (450). These transfers are made as required under the agreements with the baseball team. The funds will be utilized to maintain and improve the facility. In addition, transfers from Stadium District Ballpark Operations Fund (253) to Stadium District Debt Series

02 Fund (370) will be made for repayments of loans used for projects and renovations. As discussed previously, the revenue expected in FY 2012 will result in no net revenue being paid to the AZSTA. For further detail on Fund Transfers, refer to the Fund Transfer section earlier in this document.

Fund Balance Summary and Variance Commentary

The following schedule lists the estimated beginning fund balances, projected revenues and expenditures for the upcoming fiscal year, as well as resulting estimated fund balances at the end of FY 2012. "Beginning fund balance" represents resources accumulated within each fund as of the start of the fiscal year, based on actual and projected revenues and expenditures for prior fiscal years.

Cactus League Operations (250) Fund Balance Summary

	FY 2010 ACTUAL	FY 2011 ADOPTED	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2011 FORECAST	FY 2012 ADOPTED
Beginning Spendable Fund Balance	\$ 3,314,176	\$ 3,251,965	\$ 3,251,965	\$ 3,448,496	\$ 3,526,043
Sources:					
Operating	\$ 154,744	\$ 24,750	\$ 24,750	\$ 147,770	\$ 140,250
Total Sources:	\$ 154,744	\$ 24,750	\$ 24,750	\$ 147,770	\$ 140,250
Uses:					
Operating	\$ 20,421	\$ 99,143	\$ 99,143	\$ 70,223	\$ 99,143
Total Uses:	\$ 20,421	\$ 99,143	\$ 99,143	\$ 70,223	\$ 99,143
Structural Balance	\$ 134,323	\$ (74,393)	\$ (74,393)	\$ 77,547	\$ 41,107
Accounting Adjustments	\$ (3)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Ending Spendable Fund Balance:					
Restricted	\$ 3,448,496	\$ 3,177,572	\$ 3,177,572	\$ 3,526,043	\$ 3,567,150
Total Ending Spendable Fund Balance	\$ 3,448,496	\$ 3,177,572	\$ 3,177,572	\$ 3,526,043	\$ 3,567,150

Ballpark Operations (253) Fund Balance Summary

	FY 2010 ACTUAL	FY 2011 ADOPTED	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2011 FORECAST	FY 2012 ADOPTED
Beginning Spendable Fund Balance	\$ 6,989,310	\$ 7,348,557	\$ 7,348,557	\$ 7,688,449	\$ 8,349,968
Sources:					
Operating	\$ 4,407,578	\$ 4,444,083	\$ 4,444,083	\$ 4,280,937	\$ 4,474,024
Non-Recurring	23,689	2,097,500	3,497,500	3,002,193	-
Total Sources:	\$ 4,431,267	\$ 6,541,583	\$ 7,941,583	\$ 7,283,130	\$ 4,474,024
Uses:					
Operating	\$ 3,708,449	\$ 4,444,083	\$ 4,444,083	\$ 3,621,352	\$ 3,721,193
Non-Recurring	23,689	2,097,500	3,497,500	3,000,259	-
Total Uses:	\$ 3,732,138	\$ 6,541,583	\$ 7,941,583	\$ 6,621,611	\$ 3,721,193
Structural Balance	\$ 699,129	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 659,585	\$ 752,831
Accounting Adjustments	\$ 10	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Ending Spendable Fund Balance:					
Restricted	\$ 7,688,449	\$ 7,348,557	\$ 7,348,557	\$ 8,349,968	\$ 9,102,799
Total Ending Spendable Fund Balance	\$ 7,688,449	\$ 7,348,557	\$ 7,348,557	\$ 8,349,968	\$ 9,102,799

Stadium District Debt Series 02 (370) Fund Balance Summary

	FY 2010 ACTUAL	FY 2011 ADOPTED	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2011 FORECAST	FY 2012 ADOPTED
Beginning Spendable Fund Balance	\$ 9,993,482	\$ 9,224,780	\$ 9,224,780	\$ 9,468,739	\$ 8,770,121
Sources:					
Operating	\$ 6,005,607	\$ 5,800,986	\$ 5,800,986	\$ 6,028,218	\$ 5,821,745
Non-Recurring	-	-	-	-	-
Total Sources:	\$ 6,005,607	\$ 5,800,986	\$ 5,800,986	\$ 6,028,218	\$ 5,821,745
Uses:					
Operating	\$ 6,530,344	\$ 6,611,335	\$ 6,611,335	\$ 6,726,836	\$ 6,747,468
Non-Recurring	-	-	-	-	-
Total Uses:	\$ 6,530,344	\$ 6,611,335	\$ 6,611,335	\$ 6,726,836	\$ 6,747,468
Structural Balance	\$ (524,737)	\$ (810,349)	\$ (810,349)	\$ (698,618)	\$ (925,723)
Accounting Adjustments	\$ (6)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Ending Spendable Fund Balance:					
Restricted	\$ 9,468,739	\$ 8,414,431	\$ 8,414,431	\$ 8,770,121	\$ 7,844,398
Total Ending Spendable Fund Balance	\$ 9,468,739	\$ 8,414,431	\$ 8,414,431	\$ 8,770,121	\$ 7,844,398

Long Term Project Reserve (450) Fund Balance Summary

	FY 2010 ACTUAL	FY 2011 ADOPTED	FY 2011 REVISED	FY 2011 FORECAST	FY 2012 ADOPTED
Beginning Spendable Fund Balance	\$ 7,509,358	\$ 9,918,465	\$ 9,918,465	\$ 10,002,435	\$ 9,108,997
Sources:					
Operating	\$ 2,519,143	\$ 2,612,870	\$ 2,612,870	\$ 2,109,281	\$ 1,615,321
Non-Recurring	126	-	-	2,474	-
Total Sources:	\$ 2,519,269	\$ 2,612,870	\$ 2,612,870	\$ 2,111,755	\$ 1,615,321
Uses:					
Operating	\$ 2,500	\$ 3,000	\$ 3,000	\$ 3,000	\$ 3,000
Non-Recurring	23,689	2,097,500	3,497,500	3,002,193	-
Total Uses:	\$ 26,189	\$ 2,100,500	\$ 3,500,500	\$ 3,005,193	\$ 3,000
Structural Balance	\$ 2,516,643	\$ 2,609,870	\$ 2,609,870	\$ 2,106,281	\$ 1,612,321
Accounting Adjustments	\$ (3)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Ending Spendable Fund Balance:					
Restricted	\$ 10,002,435	\$ 10,430,835	\$ 9,030,835	\$ 9,108,997	\$ 10,721,318
Total Ending Spendable Fund Balance	\$ 10,002,435	\$ 10,430,835	\$ 9,030,835	\$ 9,108,997	\$ 10,721,318

Appropriated Budget Reconciliations

Cactus League Operations Fund (250)

	Expenditures	Revenue
OPERATING		
FY 2011 Adopted Budget	\$ 99,143	\$ 24,750
FY 2011 Revised Budget	\$ 99,143	\$ 24,750
FY 2012 Budget Target	\$ 99,143	\$ 24,750
Adjustments:		
Base Adjustments	\$ -	\$ 115,500
<i>Stad Net Op Transfer to/from Debt Service</i>	-	115,500
FY 2012 Adopted Budget	\$ 99,143	\$ 140,250
<i>Percent Change from Target Amount</i>	0.0%	466.7%

Ballpark Operations Fund (253)

OPERATING		
FY 2011 Adopted Budget	\$ 4,444,083	\$ 4,444,083
FY 2011 Revised Budget	\$ 4,444,083	\$ 4,444,083
FY 2012 Budget Target	\$ 4,444,083	\$ 4,444,083
Adjustments:		
Employee Compensation and Benefits		
Employee Retirement and Benefits	\$ 503	\$ -
<i>Emp Health Dental Prem Realloc</i>	(2,100)	-
<i>Retirement Contributions</i>	2,603	-
Base Adjustments	\$ (723,393)	\$ 29,941
<i>Other Base Adjustments</i>	(503)	29,941
<i>Stad Net Op Transfer to Long Term Res</i>	(722,890)	-
FY 2012 Adopted Budget	\$ 3,721,193	\$ 4,474,024
<i>Percent Change from Target Amount</i>	-16.3%	0.7%

Stadium District Debt Series 02 Fund (370)

	Expenditures	Revenue
OPERATING		
FY 2011 Adopted Budget	\$ 6,611,335	\$ 5,800,986
FY 2011 Revised Budget	\$ 6,611,335	\$ 5,800,986
FY 2012 Budget Target	\$ 6,611,335	\$ 5,800,986
Adjustments:		
Base Adjustments	\$ 136,133	\$ 20,759
<i>Other Base Adjustments</i>	-	20,759
<i>Debt Service</i>	20,633	-
<i>Stad Net Op Transfer to/from Debt Service</i>	115,500	-
FY 2012 Adopted Budget	\$ 6,747,468	\$ 5,821,745
<i>Percent Change from Target Amount</i>	2.1%	0.4%

Long Term Project Reserve Fund (450)

	Expenditures	Revenue
OPERATING		
FY 2011 Adopted Budget	\$ 3,000	\$ 2,612,870
FY 2011 Revised Budget	\$ 3,000	\$ 2,612,870
FY 2012 Budget Target	\$ 3,000	\$ 2,612,870
Adjustments:		
Base Adjustments	\$ -	\$ (997,549)
Other Base Adjustments	-	(274,659)
Stad Net Op Transfer to Long Term Res	-	(722,890)
FY 2012 Adopted Budget	\$ 3,000	\$ 1,615,321
Percent Change from Target Amount	0.0%	-38.2%

Eliminations Fund (900)

	Expenditures	Revenue
OPERATING		
FY 2011 Adopted Budget	\$ (2,787,111)	\$ (2,787,111)
FY 2011 Revised Budget	\$ (2,787,111)	\$ (2,787,111)
FY 2012 Budget Target	\$ (2,787,111)	\$ (2,787,111)
Adjustments:		
Base Adjustments	\$ 607,390	\$ 607,390
Stad Net Op Transfer to/from Debt Service	(115,500)	(115,500)
Stad Net Op Transfer to Long Term Res	722,890	722,890
FY 2012 Adopted Budget	\$ (2,179,721)	\$ (2,179,721)
Percent Change from Target Amount	-21.8%	-21.8%

Debt Service

The Stadium District was formed through action of the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors in September 1991 pursuant to the A.R.S., Title 48, Chapter 26. The Stadium District has two purposes:

- To oversee the operation and maintenance of Chase Field, a major league baseball stadium, and;
- Enhance and promote major league baseball spring training in the County through the development of new, and the improvement of, existing baseball training facilities.

To accomplish these purposes, the Stadium District possesses the statutory authority to issue special obligation bonds to provide financial assistance for the development and improvement of baseball training facilities located within the County.

Debt Issuance History

The Stadium District has used debt financing for many years to finance capital projects. The following chart illustrates the amount of debt, as well as categories of outstanding debt for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010.

LONG-TERM LIABILITIES

All Categories of Debt

**Maricopa County Stadium District,
As of June 30, 2010**

	Year Ending June 30				
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES:					
Bonds, loans, and other payables:					
Stadium District revenue bonds	\$ 50,050,000	\$ 47,230,000	\$ 44,270,000	\$ 41,165,000	\$ 37,905,000
Stadium District contractual obligations	2,428,888	0	0	0	0
Stadium District loans payable	0	978,394	10,864,916	10,465,338	9,286,098
Total Governmental activities	\$ 52,478,888	\$ 48,208,394	\$ 55,134,916	\$ 51,630,338	\$ 47,191,098

The Stadium District Revenue Bonds are special obligations of the District. The bonds are payable solely from pledged revenues, consisting of car rental surcharges levied and collected by the District pursuant to A.R.S., Title 48, Chapter 26, Article 2, §48-4234. The bonds do not constitute a debt or a pledge of the faith or credit of Maricopa County, the State of Arizona, or any other political subdivision. The payment of the bonds is enforceable solely out of the pledged revenues, and no owner shall have any right to compel any exercise of taxing power of the District, except for surcharges.

The following tables illustrate the existing debt service for the outstanding Stadium District Revenue Bonds.

DEBT SERVICE REQUIREMENTS TO MATURITY

Stadium District Revenue Bonds

Maricopa County, Arizona

As of June 30, 2010

Year Ending June 30	Principal	Interest	Total Debt Service
2011	\$ 3,390,000	\$ 2,028,694	\$ 5,418,694
2012	3,570,000	1,850,718	5,420,718
2013	3,760,000	1,663,294	5,423,294
2014	3,960,000	1,461,194	5,421,194
2015	4,170,000	1,248,344	5,418,344
2016-19	19,055,000	2,627,568	21,682,568
Total	\$ 37,905,000	\$ 10,879,812	\$ 48,784,812


SUMMARY OF PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OUTSTANDING BY ISSUE

As of June 30, 2010

Bond Issue	Amount
Total Stadium District Revenue Bonds, Series 2002	\$ 37,905,000

DEBT SERVICE REQUIREMENTS

Stadium District Revenue Bonds



Rating Agency Analysis

Independent assessments of the relative credit worthiness of municipal securities are provided by rating agencies. They furnish letter grades that convey their assessment of the ability and willingness of a borrower to repay its debt in full and on time. Credit ratings issued by these agencies are a major function in determining the cost of borrowed funds in the municipal bond market.

Moody's Investors Service, Standard and Poor's Corporation, and Fitch Ratings are the three major rating agencies that rate municipal debt. These rating agencies have provided a rating assessment of credit worthiness for the Stadium District. There are five primary factors that comprise their ratings:

- Economic conditions – stability of trends,
- Debt-history of District – debt and debt position,
- Governmental/administration – leadership and organizational structure of the District,
- Financial performance – current financial status and the history of financial reports,
- Debt management – debt policies, including long-term planning.

Each of the rating agencies has its own method of assigning a rating on the ability and willingness of a borrower to repay in full and on time. Issuers must pay a fee for the opportunity to have one or more rating agencies rate existing and proposed debt issuance. The following chart outlines how the ratings reflect creditworthiness, ranging from very strong securities to speculative and default situations.

Examples of the rating systems are:

BOND RATINGS Explanation of corporate/municipal bond ratings	RATING AGENCIES		
	Fitch	Moody's	Standard & Poor's
Premium quality	AAA	Aaa	AAA
High quality	AA	Aa	AA
Medium quality	A	A	A
Medium grade, lower quality	BBB	Baa	BBB
Predominantly speculative	BB	Ba	BB
Speculative, low grade	B	B	B
Poor to default	CCC	Caa	CCC
Highest speculation	CC	Ca	CC
Lowest quality, no interest	C	C	C
In default, in arrears	DDD		DDD
Questionable value	DD		DD
	D		D

Fitch and Standard & Poor's may use "+" or "-" to modify ratings while Moody's may use numerical modifiers such as 1 (highest), 2, or 3.

The following illustrates the Stadium District's debt rating.

Type of Debt	Fitch	Moody's	Standard & Poor's
Stadium District Revenue Bonds	BBB- (1)	WR (2)	AAA (3)

- (1) Bonds are insured, underlying rating.
- (2) Withdrawn
- (3) Bonds are insured, no underlying rating

Stadium District Loans Payable

On January 23, 2007, the Stadium District entered into a cost-sharing agreement with the Arizona Diamondbacks (Team) for the renovation of suites at Chase Field. Under the terms of the agreement, the Team provided \$537,723 of the funding for the renovations; and the agreement states that the Stadium District will pay the Team back over two years, ending December 2010.

On September 10, 2007, the Stadium District entered into a cost-sharing agreement with the Team for the purchase of a video board and related equipment. Under the terms of the agreement, the Team provided \$8,273,928 of the funding for the purchase; and the agreement states that the Stadium District will pay the Team back over nine years, beginning December 2009 and ending in December 2017.

On October 12, 2007, the Stadium District entered into a cost-sharing agreement with the Team for phase II of the suite renovations at Chase Field. Under the terms of the agreement, the Team provided \$1,832,928 of the funding for the renovations; and the agreement states that the Stadium District will pay the Team back over ten years, beginning December 2011 and ending in December 2020.

DEBT SERVICE REQUIREMENTS TO MATURITY

Stadium District Loans Payable
Maricopa County, Arizona
As of June 30, 2010

Year Ending June 30	Principal
2011	\$ 1,179,241
2012	1,200,000
2013	1,200,000
2014	1,200,000
2015	1,200,000
2016-20	3,273,928
2021	32,929
Total	\$ 9,286,098



Improvement Districts

Motion

Pursuant to ARS §48-954, adopt the Fiscal Year 2012 Budgets for the County Improvement Districts per the FY 2012 Budget Schedules entitled “Direct Assessment Special Districts” and “Street Lighting Improvement Districts.”



Direct Assessment Special Districts

DIST. NO.	DISTRICT NAME	LEVY PURPOSE	ESTIMATED		2011-12 BUDGET REQUEST	LESS AVAILABLE FUNDS	2011-12 DIRECT ASSESSMENT
			2010-11 BUDGET	EXPENDITURES 2010-11			
K-91	Queen Creek Water Improv	Bond Interest	\$ 756	\$ 756	\$ 540	\$ -	\$ 540
		Bond redemption	2,216	2,216	1,844	-	1,844
			<u>\$ 2,972</u>	<u>\$ 2,972</u>	<u>\$ 2,384</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 2,384</u>
K-100	Marquerite Drive	Bond Interest	\$ 290	\$ 290	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Bond redemption	3,212	3,212	-	-	-
			<u>\$ 3,502</u>	<u>\$ 3,502</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>
K-106	7th Street North Improv.	Bond Interest	\$ 1,606	\$ 1,606	\$ 1,037	\$ -	\$ 1,037
		Bond redemption	4,113	4,113	1,897	-	1,897
			<u>\$ 5,719</u>	<u>\$ 5,719</u>	<u>\$ 2,934</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 2,934</u>
K-109	Plymouth Street	Bond Interest	\$ 11,993	\$ 11,993	\$ 6,072	\$ -	\$ 6,072
		Bond redemption	24,138	24,138	14,597	-	14,597
			<u>\$ 36,131</u>	<u>\$ 36,131</u>	<u>\$ 20,669</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 20,669</u>
	28795 Circle City Community Park	General	\$ 8,000	\$ 8,000	\$ 7,000	\$ -	\$ 7,000
	28529 Estrella Dells	General	100,000	100,000	100,000	-	100,000
	28793 Queen Creek Water Improv	General	10,000	10,000	10,900	-	10,900
	28835 20th Street	General	8,258	8,258	7,787	-	7,787
		Subtotal	<u>\$ 126,258</u>	<u>\$ 126,258</u>	<u>\$ 125,687</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 125,687</u>
		Total	<u>\$ 174,582</u>	<u>\$ 174,582</u>	<u>\$ 151,674</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 151,674</u>

Street Lighting Improvement Districts

STREET LIGHTING IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT LEVIES
SECONDARY ROLL
FISCAL YEAR 2011-12

DIST #	DESCRIPTION	2010-11 BUDGET	Estimated 2011-12 BUDGET	Estimated TAX LEVY	TAX YEAR 2011 NET ASSESSED VALUE	Estimated 2011 TAX RATE
13001	Sun City 38B	3,362	3,571	600	807,174	0.0743
13003	Sunrise Unit 5 Ph 2	3,668	3,895	3,000	1,081,460	0.2774
13005	Golden West 2	10,183	11,342	13,311	1,849,032	0.7199
13010	Empire Gardens 2	1,244	1,395	1,671	243,910	0.6851
13051	Towne Meadows	25,254	8,345	787	7,867,037	0.0100
13056	The Vineyards of Mesa	11,185	12,492	15,202	2,032,655	0.7479
13057	Clark Acres	936	1,036	1,248	383,730	0.3252
13059	Country Meadows 9	23,241	23,382	28,657	1,776,396	1.6132
13069	Sun Lakes 09	2,879	3,212	3,357	849,914	0.3950
13070	Camelot Golf Club Est. 1	5,040	5,580	5,412	3,343,405	0.1619
13072	Desert Sands Golf & CC 3	6,725	7,497	7,635	893,455	0.8545
13075	Litchfield Park 19	6,978	7,336	7,962	1,728,840	0.4605
13078	Sunrise Meadows 1	249	279	362	1,903,330	0.0190
13079	Estate Ranchos	1,133	1,269	1,524	550,650	0.2768
13103	Desert Foothills Est 5	4,394	4,916	5,911	1,720,220	0.3436
13107	Desert Foothills Est 6	6,091	6,802	8,272	1,828,962	0.4523
13109	Apache Wells Mobile P 3A	2,488	2,790	2,670	595,836	0.4481
13121	Desert Sands Golf & CC 4	11,137	11,782	12,416	3,086,576	0.4023
13122	Sun Lakes 07	4,041	4,521	4,820	1,176,312	0.4098
13128	Litchfield Park 17	5,045	5,473	6,286	786,090	0.7997
13132	Valencia Village	8,236	7,605	8,102	1,474,491	0.5495
13147	Superstition View #1	4,132	3,843	3,659	579,603	0.6313
13169	Sun Lakes 22	5,062	5,658	6,023	3,899,506	0.1545
13176	Villa Royale	747	837	902	645,862	0.1397
13177	Coronado Acres	717	797	1,054	260,370	0.4048
13178	Sun Lakes 10	8,744	9,773	10,328	3,920,259	0.2635
13184	Hopeville	2,025	2,154	2,581	200,496	1.2873
13188	Sun Lakes 21	13,116	14,660	15,775	5,927,130	0.2661
13191	Dreamland Villa-19	979	1,098	1,099	352,402	0.3119
13203	Sun Lakes 19	6,645	7,402	7,999	2,781,656	0.2876
13210	Crestview Manor	979	1,098	1,385	156,100	0.8873
13219	Sun Lakes 12	8,974	10,031	10,400	3,116,686	0.3337
13220	Sun Lakes 14	7,364	8,230	8,934	2,546,276	0.3509
13221	Sun Lakes 16 & 16A	11,232	12,536	13,098	3,722,255	0.3519
13223	Sun Lakes 18	16,123	17,928	18,984	4,438,956	0.4277
13226	Sun Lakes 11 & 11A	1,611	1,800	1,849	1,331,112	0.1389
13228	Crimson Cove	2,203	2,471	2,942	288,981	1.0181
13247	Sun City 57	13,889	14,743	16,678	1,994,644	0.8361
13248	Apache Wells Mobile P 3B	3,732	4,185	4,107	990,092	0.4148
13263	Sun City 10	32,338	33,860	35,064	4,732,339	0.7409
13264	Sun Lakes 03A	2,327	2,599	2,713	589,586	0.4602
13268	Sun Lakes 08	3,982	4,439	4,408	764,701	0.5764
13271	Mesquite Trails	4,348	4,862	5,817	738,424	0.7878
13281	Sun City 10A	30,255	32,110	34,157	3,922,072	0.8709
13287	Empire Gardens 3	1,244	1,395	1,570	257,790	0.6090
13288	Empire Gardens 4	1,464	1,634	1,918	279,950	0.6851

Street Lighting Improvement Districts (continued)

DIST #	DESCRIPTION	2010-11 BUDGET	Estimated 2011-12 BUDGET	Estimated TAX LEVY	TAX YEAR 2011 NET ASSESSED VALUE	Estimated 2011 TAX RATE
13290	Sun Lakes 15	6,903	7,716	8,273	2,740,698	0.3019
13291	Sun City 50A	4,727	5,019	5,337	434,308	1.2289
13298	Sun City West	968,229	1,025,362	1,101,842	228,349,365	0.4825
13303	Sun Lakes 17	12,886	14,403	15,393	3,741,134	0.4115
13310	Casa Mia 2A	2,488	2,790	3,213	282,642	1.1368
13311	Pomeroy Estates	2,863	3,069	3,364	703,656	0.4781
13312	Rio Vista West 2	221	240	23	228,002	0.0101
13315	Apache Wells Mobile P 6	3,234	3,627	3,404	1,053,113	0.3232
13316	Sun City 44	23,992	25,350	27,009	3,073,663	0.8787
13325	Queen Creek Plaza	2,569	2,853	3,341	231,347	1.4442
13326	Rio Vista West	6,187	6,840	8,050	597,950	1.3463
13329	Desert Saguaro Estates 1	4,651	5,216	6,265	584,874	1.0712
13330	Sun City 45	18,942	20,102	21,845	2,751,350	0.7940
13331	Sun City 46	12,649	13,424	14,845	2,059,332	0.7209
13335	Casa Mia 2B	2,986	3,347	4,052	427,030	0.9489
13343	Knott Manor	2,278	2,535	2,647	207,384	1.2764
13346	Circle City	3,813	4,175	4,889	787,744	0.6206
13348	Desert Saguaro Estates 2	1,958	2,196	2,757	455,810	0.6049
13349	Sun City 47	27,559	29,243	32,756	3,646,537	0.8983
13351	Sun City 38	3,603	3,824	4,032	348,762	1.1561
13352	Mesa East	24,413	27,324	26,038	3,364,720	0.7739
13354	Sun City 49	29,894	31,727	34,420	4,311,788	0.7983
13356	Desert Sands Golf & CC 6	2,986	3,347	3,602	729,598	0.4937
13357	Desert Sands Golf & CC 7	4,976	5,580	6,157	874,135	0.7044
13358	Sun City 38A	3,662	3,888	3,733	339,690	1.0989
13359	Velda Rose Estates East 5	2,937	3,294	3,245	570,826	0.5685
13361	Sun Lakes 04	7,365	8,256	8,659	2,814,355	0.3077
13362	Sun Lakes 05	14,442	16,195	17,128	3,488,501	0.4910
13363	Sun Lakes 06	11,753	13,158	13,909	3,324,422	0.4184
13364	Sun City 48	22,071	23,427	27,884	4,131,285	0.6749
13371	Oasis Verde	8,269	9,252	11,298	1,140,018	0.9910
13372	Sun City 15D	6,024	6,396	6,951	773,349	0.8988
13374	Sun City 51	18,766	19,916	21,828	2,690,789	0.8112
13375	Sun City 52	17,525	18,599	19,437	2,893,605	0.6717
13376	Sun City 50	11,781	12,504	13,529	1,900,946	0.7117
13383	Sun City West Expansion I	167,825	177,996	184,414	38,649,232	0.4771
13386	Litchfield Park 18	5,998	6,306	7,034	1,145,250	0.6142
13392	Sun City 41	14,262	15,145	16,118	2,033,771	0.7925
13393	Sun City 53	41,818	44,382	46,709	8,119,225	0.5753
13394	Sun City 54	25,644	27,217	29,200	4,590,983	0.6360
13395	Sun City 55	25,967	27,560	28,841	3,985,349	0.7237
13396	Desert Skies 2	2,487	2,811	3,495	469,870	0.7438
13397	Sun City 56	5,974	6,341	6,484	970,006	0.6684
13401	Sun City 33	30,449	32,314	33,564	4,492,768	0.7471
13402	Rancho Del Sol 2	3,182	780	221	2,210,803	0.0100
13404	Sun City 17E F&G	12,360	13,120	14,031	2,382,791	0.5888
13417	Western Ranchettes	3,671	1,138	91	905,556	0.0100
13418	AZ Skies Mobile Est E2	3,672	4,118	4,275	417,113	1.0249
13419	Sun City 35	37,261	39,541	42,778	5,333,791	0.8020
13420	Az Skies Mobil Estates	4,651	5,216	5,276	759,171	0.6950
13421	Sun City 28A	3,361	3,568	3,845	659,659	0.5829

Street Lighting Improvement Districts (continued)

DIST #	DESCRIPTION	2010-11 BUDGET	Estimated 2011-12 BUDGET	Estimated TAX LEVY	TAX YEAR 2011 NET ASSESSED VALUE	Estimated 2011 TAX RATE
13422	Velda Rose Estates East 3	1,224	1,372	1,507	285,160	0.5285
13423	Velda Rose Estates East 4	1,713	1,922	2,018	210,930	0.9567
13424	Linda Vista	4,478	5,043	5,908	623,359	0.9478
13432	Sun City 17H	4,859	5,160	6,171	755,744	0.8165
13433	Sun Lakes 01	7,344	8,235	8,533	1,740,844	0.4902
13434	Sun Lakes 02	7,778	8,695	9,210	1,755,410	0.5247
13437	Granite Reef Vista Park	1,042	1,165	1,390	208,550	0.6665
13438	Sun City 34	5,163	5,478	5,907	926,746	0.6374
13439	Sun City 34A	25,513	27,079	28,856	3,742,056	0.7711
13440	Sun City 35A	24,069	25,542	27,070	3,704,639	0.7307
13441	Sun City 36	7,808	8,288	10,131	3,609,881	0.2806
13444	Velda Rose Estates East 2	1,958	2,196	2,185	358,216	0.6100
13446	Apache Wells Mobil P 1&2	17,442	19,370	18,752	5,305,490	0.3534
13447	Apache Cntry Club Est. 5	4,976	5,580	6,104	1,666,794	0.3662
13448	Apache Wells Mobile P 4B	1,244	1,395	1,396	266,162	0.5245
13450	Casa Mia	7,531	8,484	10,090	679,118	1.4858
13451	Desert Skies	1,990	2,232	2,607	293,470	0.8883
13452	Dreamland Villa 16	12,483	13,996	14,931	2,208,163	0.6762
13453	Dreamland Villa 17	3,888	4,353	4,848	712,654	0.6803
13454	Linda Vista 2	3,821	4,252	4,977	583,907	0.8524
13455	Lucy T. Homesites 2	3,356	3,752	4,169	582,299	0.7160
13456	Luke Field Homes	11,211	11,255	11,659	1,288,820	0.9046
13459	McAfee Mobile Manor	2,034	2,274	2,331	531,473	0.4386
13460	Rancho Grande Tres	8,368	9,357	11,146	1,801,540	0.6187
13463	Sun Lakes 03	11,873	13,304	13,965	2,440,072	0.5723
13465	Western Ranchettes 2	3,672	1,138	85	847,240	0.0100
13485	Sun City 32A	25,454	27,010	31,444	4,122,275	0.7628
13486	Sun City 31A	34,557	36,669	40,131	4,744,581	0.8458
13487	Sun City 39	13,647	14,488	17,598	3,740,213	0.4705
13488	Sun City 40	8,010	8,504	9,215	1,956,379	0.4710
13490	Brentwood Acres	1,958	607	34	336,490	0.0101
13492	Desert Sands Golf & CC 8	5,225	5,858	7,063	1,222,533	0.5777
13494	Sun City 37	21,650	22,976	27,410	3,844,998	0.7129
13495	Sun City 42	11,649	12,369	13,464	1,610,604	0.8360
13496	Sun City 43	26,540	28,166	29,748	3,409,282	0.8726
13499	Sun City 28B	3,917	4,157	4,568	443,952	1.0289
13510	Camelot Golf Club Est. 2	4,251	4,764	5,438	1,438,688	0.3780
13801	Scottsdale Estates 01	5,220	1,595	205	2,053,169	0.0100
13802	Scottsdale Highlands 1	2,237	684	110	1,098,400	0.0100
13810	Melville 1	7,116	2,203	208	2,076,118	0.0100
13812	Scottsdale Estates 04	15,272	4,681	557	5,566,883	0.0100
13813	Scottsdale Highlands 2	2,486	760	100	999,080	0.0100
13816	Scottsdale Estates 02	6,463	1,975	240	2,403,224	0.0100
13817	Cavalier	7,500	2,321	242	2,421,446	0.0100
13820	Hidden Village	1,989	608	489	4,891,010	0.0100
13821	Scottsdale Estates 03	8,203	2,507	383	3,834,172	0.0100
13825	Mesa Country Club Park	3,483	3,906	4,535	751,752	0.6033
13827	Scottsdale Estates 05	13,239	4,089	556	5,563,701	0.0100
13830	Trail West	1,989	608	84	841,276	0.0100
13836	Dreamland Villa	2,224	2,491	2,640	344,912	0.7654
13837	Scottsdale Cntry Acres	4,723	1,443	216	2,158,634	0.0100

Street Lighting Improvement Districts (continued)

DIST #	DESCRIPTION	2010-11 BUDGET	Estimated 2011-12 BUDGET	Estimated TAX LEVY	TAX YEAR 2011 NET ASSESSED VALUE	Estimated 2011 TAX RATE
13838	Cox Heights 1	4,474	1,367	174	1,737,440	0.0100
13839	Cox Heights 2	12,472	3,840	375	3,750,406	0.0100
13840	Dreamland Villa 02	3,182	3,569	3,652	1,186,587	0.3078
13844	Esquire Villa 1	6,469	7,253	8,770	860,052	1.0197
13848	Scottsdale Estates 07	13,672	4,178	462	4,618,302	0.0100
13849	Scottsdale Estates 06	13,813	4,378	487	4,868,541	0.0100
13850	Scottsdale Estates 08	8,949	2,734	405	4,049,209	0.0100
13851	Scottsdale Estates 09	5,717	1,747	176	1,763,126	0.0100
13853	Cox Hgths 3 & Scot Est 12	11,435	3,494	471	4,705,200	0.0100
13855	Glenmar	2,447	2,745	3,128	392,720	0.7965
13859	Dreamland Villa 03	6,004	6,588	6,925	902,322	0.7675
13862	Tow n & Country Scottsdale	2,642	835	88	880,777	0.0100
13863	Country Place at Chandler	8,097	8,946	11,121	2,227,037	0.4994
13864	Scottsdale Highlands 4	1,555	503	65	649,800	0.0100
13865	Trail West 2	2,486	760	110	1,103,270	0.0100
13868	Scottsdale Estates 16	7,706	2,355	227	2,268,538	0.0100
13869	J & O Frontier Place	3,139	988	119	1,188,229	0.0100
13870	McCormick Estates 1	1,898	2,127	2,451	235,773	1.0396
13872	Dreamland Villa 04	2,266	2,538	2,540	467,200	0.5437
13874	Hallcraft 1	33,061	10,103	1,218	12,178,387	0.0100
13875	Hallcraft 2	19,726	6,184	731	7,313,314	0.0100
13876	Hallcraft 3	13,921	4,254	1,048	10,484,454	0.0100
13879	Apache Cntry Club Est. 1	9,204	10,322	11,456	2,557,221	0.4480
13882	Scottsdale Cntry Acres 2	7,749	2,397	322	3,217,049	0.0100
13884	Merew ay Manor	6,463	1,975	149	1,486,854	0.0100
13885	Cox Heights 7	2,237	684	63	633,810	0.0099
13886	Cox Heights 6	1,492	456	54	540,500	0.0100
13888	Cox Heights 4	5,469	1,671	216	2,155,144	0.0100
13890	Dreamland Villa 05	7,496	7,961	8,387	1,093,376	0.7671
13896	Scottsdale Highlands 5	1,989	608	59	589,320	0.0100
13901	Velda Rose Estates 1	1,469	1,647	1,721	223,354	0.7705
13908	Apache Cntry Club Est. 3	13,929	15,623	17,540	3,681,925	0.4764
13909	Dreamland Villa 06	5,070	5,624	5,941	1,159,766	0.5123
13911	Velda Rose Estates 2	2,203	2,471	2,460	278,150	0.8844
13912	Velda Rose Estates 3	2,497	2,745	2,917	557,134	0.5236
13916	Sun City 06	43,135	45,781	50,416	5,933,690	0.8497
13917	Sun City 05	19,097	20,269	23,058	2,997,614	0.7692
13919	Dreamland Villa 07	7,833	8,784	9,422	1,355,683	0.6950
13921	Dreamland Villa 08	5,519	6,069	6,342	1,059,837	0.5984
13922	Velda Rose Cntry Club Add	3,153	3,529	4,134	317,080	1.3038
13923	Sun City 06C	35,373	37,542	42,097	5,207,770	0.8083
13924	Sun City 06D	30,768	32,656	34,971	4,270,038	0.8190
13925	Sun City 06G	15,178	16,109	17,185	2,198,274	0.7817
13926	Sun City 07	13,776	14,619	16,345	2,083,657	0.7844
13927	Sun City 08	16,805	17,835	19,754	2,660,451	0.7425
13928	Sun City 09	13,511	14,338	15,682	1,512,802	1.0366
13929	Velda Rose Estates 4	2,448	2,745	2,789	500,894	0.5568
13930	Dreamland Villa 09	7,737	8,509	8,775	1,290,028	0.6802
13931	Sun City 11	54,747	58,113	64,065	7,200,648	0.8897
13932	Sun City 12	41,824	44,397	49,130	4,629,865	1.0612
13933	Sun City 15	4,894	5,196	5,472	686,494	0.7971

Street Lighting Improvement Districts (continued)

DIST #	DESCRIPTION	2010-11 BUDGET	Estimated 2011-12 BUDGET	Estimated TAX LEVY	TAX YEAR 2011 NET ASSESSED VALUE	Estimated 2011 TAX RATE
13934	Sun City 17	5,323	5,652	6,164	647,846	0.9515
13935	Sun City 01	378,646	399,453	458,523	34,772,730	1.3186
13936	Velda Rose Gardens	4,749	5,322	5,187	724,208	0.7162
13937	Dreamland Villa 10	7,794	7,961	8,376	1,220,664	0.6862
13938	Sun City 15B	6,738	7,154	7,999	1,135,051	0.7047
13939	Sun City 18 & 18A	42,214	44,908	47,506	5,972,368	0.7954
13940	Sun City 17A	2,948	3,129	3,344	413,262	0.8092
13941	Sun City 17B & 17C	10,590	11,242	12,112	1,463,920	0.8274
13942	Sun City 19 & 20	47,590	50,509	53,891	6,386,948	0.8438
13943	Dreamland Villa 11	10,278	11,529	11,953	1,707,672	0.7000
13944	Sun City 23	26,893	28,543	30,763	2,981,533	1.0318
13950	Sun City 21 & 21A	42,905	45,532	48,662	5,574,001	0.8730
13951	Dreamland Villa 12	8,562	9,608	9,908	1,375,932	0.7201
13952	Sun City 11A	14,559	15,451	17,190	1,466,106	1.1725
13953	Sun City 15C	15,493	16,445	20,655	3,586,526	0.5759
13954	Sun City 22 & 22A	38,038	40,054	42,214	3,652,692	1.1557
13955	Apache Wells Mobile P 5	3,268	3,652	3,356	657,847	0.5101
13962	Velda Rose Estates East	4,406	4,941	5,409	570,862	0.9475
13964	Sun City 14	7,215	7,659	8,401	1,199,020	0.7007
13965	Sun City 22B	11,792	12,515	15,394	2,848,901	0.5403
13966	Sun City 25	49,891	52,951	56,155	7,577,041	0.7411
13967	Sun City 25A	26,788	28,430	30,545	4,070,198	0.7505
13968	Sun City 27	13,610	14,442	15,614	2,123,104	0.7354
13969	Sun City 30	50,150	53,222	57,385	6,700,836	0.8564
13970	Sun City 16	24,453	23,969	29,688	9,226,200	0.3218
13972	Apache Wells Mobile P 3	9,952	11,438	11,923	3,186,655	0.3742
13973	Dreamland Villa 14	16,671	18,691	19,465	3,265,988	0.5960
13974	Apache Wells Mobile P 4	7,464	8,369	8,117	2,804,599	0.2894
13978	Apache Wells Mobile P 4A	3,234	3,627	3,537	1,054,100	0.3355
13985	Sun City 24	10,463	11,105	11,940	1,994,992	0.5985
13986	Sun City 26	24,626	26,130	27,871	4,115,723	0.6772
13989	Sun City 26A	21,038	22,326	24,011	2,488,174	0.9650
13990	Sun City 31	19,429	20,616	21,427	2,746,202	0.7802
13991	Suburban Ranchettes	3,916	1,214	138	1,375,128	0.0100
13992	Sun City 24B	9,971	10,586	13,017	2,796,573	0.4655
13993	Sun City 28	4,426	4,697	5,309	706,439	0.7515
13994	Sun City 32	20,034	21,257	23,027	2,678,106	0.8598
13995	Dreamland Villa 15	10,770	12,119	12,903	2,022,292	0.6380
13999	Sun City 24C	6,816	7,233	7,985	1,671,618	0.4777
23076	Pinnacle Ranch at 83rd Ave	3,515	3,732	4,518	1,313,750	0.3439
23137	Country Meadows 10	16,887	17,930	23,129	2,305,590	1.0032
23145	Litchfield Vista Views II	2,556	2,714	3,268	1,129,570	0.2893
23176	Crystal Manor	8,935	9,492	10,660	1,125,942	0.9468
23189	Anthem I	777,934	760,681	908,585	113,770,854	0.7986
23254	Cloud Creek Ranch	1,365	1,487	1,701	460,604	0.3693
23255	Citrus Point	7,254	7,719	9,783	2,355,170	0.4154
23324	SCW Expansion 17	98,404	104,486	112,226	21,848,959	0.5136
23344	Dreaming Summit 1,2a,2b	50,046	53,197	68,258	14,459,120	0.4721
23352	Sun Lakes Unit 41	1,713	1,922	2,075	844,436	0.2457
23353	Wigwam Creek N.Ph.1	15,192	16,161	19,866	3,590,196	0.5533
23360	Dreaming Summit 3	23,239	24,715	30,888	8,502,005	0.3633

Street Lighting Improvement Districts (continued)

DIST #	DESCRIPTION	2010-11 BUDGET	Estimated 2011-12 BUDGET	Estimated TAX LEVY	TAX YEAR 2011 NET ASSESSED VALUE	Estimated 2011 TAX RATE
23375	Russell Ranch PH 1	4,328	4,600	5,412	3,307,882	0.1636
23399	Wigwam Creek South	53,008	56,322	70,829	19,554,552	0.3622
23452	Litchfield Vista Views IIIA&B	1,310	1,394	1,631	1,735,287	0.0940
23502	Dos Rios Units 1&2	4,645	4,940	6,098	5,037,411	0.1211
23567	White Tank Foothills	29,171	31,036	41,218	8,817,560	0.4675
23568	Capistrano North&South	5,716	6,082	7,413	1,807,760	0.4101
23572	Wigwam Creek N 2&2b	32,557	34,630	42,310	8,596,994	0.4921
23574	Coldwater Ranch	9,521	10,129	12,471	1,351,778	0.9226
23578	Cortessa Sub SLID	76,292	80,905	93,905	20,982,025	0.4475
23579	Crossriver	16,227	17,260	21,806	14,273,441	0.1528
23580	SanTan Vista Unit III	3,784	4,198	4,997	5,050,701	0.0989
23594	Rancho Cabrillo	49,773	21,019	8,145	3,838,825	0.2122
23595	Jackrabbit Estates	4,163	4,428	5,921	3,620,962	0.1635
23596	Sundero	667	709	868	1,046,090	0.0830

		5,331,866	5,360,496	5,863,514		
					2011 SQUARE FOOTAGE	
13435	Az Skies Mobile Est. W 2	2,448	2,745	2,938	313,262	0.9379
23104	Litchfield Vista Views	2,280	2,418	2,910	1,369,683	0.2125